

Mass Spectrometry in Environmental Sciences

Susan D. Richardson

National Exposure Research Laboratory, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Athens, Georgia 30605

Received April 4, 2000

Contents

I. Introduction	211
II. Historical Perspective	211
III. General Reviews	213
IV. Regulatory Methods	214
V. Air Analyses	215
A. Aerosols/Particulate Matter: Organics	215
B. Aerosols/Particulate Matter: Inorganics	217
C. Industrial Air Emissions/Flue Gas	217
D. Biogenic Air Emissions	217
VI. Drinking Water, Surface Waters, Wastewater, and Soil	217
A. Organic Analyses	218
1. General Organic Analyses	218
2. Pesticides	219
3. PAHs and PCBs	221
4. Dioxin	222
5. VOCs	223
6. Textile Dyes	223
7. Surfactants	223
8. Disinfection Byproducts	224
9. Taste and Odor Compounds	226
10. Algal and Bacterial Toxins	226
11. Other Organic Pollutants	227
B. Inorganic Analyses	229
1. Disinfection Byproducts	229
2. Perchlorate and Dissolved Gases	230
3. Multiple Elements	230
4. Arsenic and Selenium	231
5. Lithium, Boron, Nitrogen, Sulfur, and Halogens	232
6. Group IIIA Elements	232
7. Group IVB and VB Elements	232
8. Group IIA Elements	232
9. Heavy Metals	232
10. Rare-Earth Elements (Lanthanides)	234
11. Radionuclides	234
12. Other Inorganics	234
VII. Microorganisms	234
VIII. Natural Organic Matter	235
IX. Biological Samples	236
A. Organic Analyses	237
B. Inorganic Analyses	239
X. Field-Portable Mass Spectrometry	240
XI. Conclusions	240

XII. Acknowledgments	241
XIII. References	241

I. Introduction

This review covers applications of mass spectrometry to the environmental sciences. From the early applications of mass spectrometry to environmental research in the 1960s and 1970s, mass spectrometry has played an important role in aiding our understanding of environmental pollution and processes. Due to the tremendous amount of environmental research in the literature, this review must by necessity be selective. A brief historical perspective on the early impacts of mass spectrometry on environmental research will be presented, with the remainder of the review focusing mainly on the last 8–10 years of environmental research. Numerous papers and abstracts were consulted before choosing selected ones to present here. The organization of this review is somewhat different from most reviews that cover a shorter period of time. Air analyses are grouped together, and biological samples are grouped together, but because many of the same types of analytical methods were used for the measurement of pollutants in different media (e.g., drinking water, surface waters, groundwater, wastewater, and soils), those pollutants are organized into compound classes. For example, pesticide papers will be grouped together and dioxin papers are grouped together. It is anticipated that this organization would be helpful to the environmental scientist who wants an update on the types of mass spectrometry methods used for a particular pollutant. Geological measurements are included in this review, and they can be found in the Inorganic Analyses section. As there are many acronyms used throughout this review (such as GC/MS), a table of acronyms is provided for reference (Table 1).

II. Historical Perspective

A key finding in the early 1970s helped to launch the use of mass spectrometry for environmental analyses. In 1974, Rook discovered the first chemical disinfection byproduct (DBP) in drinking water—chloroform.¹ Chloroform was formed when waters containing natural humic substances were chlorinated. Also in 1974, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) found 66 organics in New Orleans tap water.² The next year, the EPA published the



Susan D. Richardson was born (1962) on St. Simons Island, GA. She received her B.S. degree in Chemistry and Mathematics from Georgia College in 1984 and her Ph.D. degree in Chemistry from Emory University in 1989. She started her career at the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's National Exposure Research Laboratory in Athens, GA in 1989, where she is a currently a Research Chemist. At the U.S. EPA, Richardson leads a team of chemists in developing and applying multispectral analysis techniques (GC/MS, LC/MS, and GC/IR) to environmental problems. Her recent research has focused on the identification/characterization of drinking water disinfection byproducts (DBPs), with special emphasis on alternative disinfectants and polar byproducts.

results of a national survey which showed that chloroform was ubiquitous in chlorinated drinking water.³ In 1976, the National Cancer Institute published results linking chloroform to cancer in laboratory animals. Thus, an important public health issue was born and mass spectrometry would soon become the key tool used for environmental analyses, not just for drinking water, but for other environmental samples, such as surface water, wastewater, biological samples, and air. Due to the public health significance, drinking water continued to be a focus, both for the discovery and study of DBPs and also for the identification of other pollutants present in drinking water. In 1975, the First Chemical Congress of the North American Continent was convened to address the identification of pollutants in water. This conference was entitled 'Identification and Analysis of Organic Pollutants in Water', and a significant book of the same title was published, edited by Dr. Larry Keith.⁴ In the preface of this book, Keith states that "A new phase of environmental chemistry, the identification and analysis of specific organic pollutants in water, has begun... Only five years ago a book of this nature would not have been practical because of the scant knowledge available and the few chemists working in this area". Keith credited the recent advances in computer-assisted gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GC/MS) for significant advances in the field of environmental chemistry. Prior to 1970, only about 100 different organic compounds had been identified in water, but already in 1976, over 1500 organic compounds had been identified.⁴ Of course today there have been many more compounds identified—both organic and inorganic—through the use of mass spectrometry. In addition, mass spectrometry has also enabled a much better understanding of environmental processes, such as disinfection of drinking water, fate of chemicals in the environment, and photolytic processes in the atmosphere.

Table 1. List of Acronyms

AAS	atomic absorption spectrometry
AED	atomic emission detection
AES	atomic emission spectrometry
APCI	atmospheric pressure chemical ionization
API	atmospheric pressure ionization
BTEX	benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene isomers
CE	capillary electrophoresis
CI	chemical ionization
CID	collisionally induced dissociation
CLSA	closed-loop stripping analysis
CRIMS	chemical reaction interface mass spectrometry
DAI	direct aqueous injection
DBPs	disinfection byproducts
DCI	desorption chemical ionization
DNA	deoxyribonucleic acid
DNPH	2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine
EC	electron capture
ECD	electron capture detection
ECNI	electron capture negative ionization
EDCs	endocrine disrupting chemicals
EDTA	ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
EI	electron ionization
ELISA	enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
ESI	electrospray ionization
FAIMS	high-field asymmetric waveform ion mobility spectrometry
FAB	fast atom bombardment
GC	gas chromatography
HG	hydride generation
IC	ion chromatography
ICP	inductively coupled plasma
ICR	ion cyclotron resonance
IR	infrared spectroscopy
LAS	linear alkylbenzene sulfonates
LC	liquid chromatography
LDI	laser desorption ionization
MALDI	matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization
MIB	2-methylisoborneol
MIMS	membrane introduction mass spectrometry
MS	mass spectrometry
MTBE	methyl <i>tert</i> -butyl ether
MX	3-chloro-4-(dichloromethyl)-5-hydroxy-2(5 <i>H</i>)-furanone
NCI	negative chemical ionization
NDMA	<i>N</i> -nitrosodimethylamine
NMR	nuclear magnetic resonance
NOM	natural organic matter
PAHs	polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons
PB	particle beam
PCBs	polychlorinated biphenyls
PCDDs	polychlorinated dibenzo- <i>p</i> -dioxins
PCDFs	polychlorinated dibenzofurans
PFBHA	pentafluorobenzylhydroxylamine
PFBOH	pentafluorobenzyl alcohol
REMPI	resonance-enhanced multiphoton ionization
SFC	supercritical fluid chromatography
SIMS	secondary ion mass spectrometry
SPE	solid phase extraction
SPME	solid phase microextraction
TCDDs	tetrachlorodibenzo- <i>p</i> -dioxins
TOF	time-of-flight
TOC	total organic carbon
TOX	total organic halide
TSP	thermospray
VOCs	volatile organic chemicals

Early work presented at the 1975 Conference included results of the National Organic Reconnaissance Survey of volatile organics in drinking water³ and several other studies of organics in municipal drinking water supplies.⁵⁻⁹ Contaminants in groundwater,¹⁰ surface waters,¹¹ municipal wastewaters,^{12,13} and industrial wastewaters¹⁴ were also studied with

GC/MS. High-resolution gas chromatography, a technique that today we take for granted, was a relatively new technique during this time, allowing much improved separations over the traditional packed GC columns of the day.¹¹ Five years after the first conference on organic pollutants in water, the Second Chemical Congress of the North American Continent was held and another seminal book was published, 'Advances in the Identification & Analysis of Organic Pollutants in Water'.¹⁶ In this book, Keith states that "the knowledge of specific organic pollutants in water has increased exponentially over the past five years" even though there was little new technology introduced over this period. One new method investigated during this time was tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS), which promised analyses without the tedious concentration and separation requirements of conventional analyses.¹⁶ In 1985, Don Hunt published a scheme for the direct analysis of organics in environmental samples using tandem mass spectrometry.¹⁷ Now we often take tandem mass spectrometry for granted, especially with the availability of less expensive instrumentation (e.g., ion-trap and triple-quadrupole mass spectrometers). Another innovation in 1980 was the increased automation of analyses.

At this 1980 conference, reports of the following were announced: priority pollutants were measured in wastewater,^{18–20} chlorinated organics were measured in pulp mill bleachery effluents,²¹ industrial organic compounds were measured in the Niagara River watershed,²² and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) were measured in fish, rainwater, and human milk.²³ The last study utilized negative chemical ionization (NCI)-MS, which was a "new and promising technique" at the time.²³ Today, NCI-MS is more commonly referred to as electron capture negative ionization mass spectrometry. Christman et al. studied the oxidation of aquatic humic material using GC/MS (electron and chemical ionization).²⁴ In this second book, Keith states that "I think we have reached the stage in the evolution of analysis of organic pollutants in water where the easy methods and the easy compounds have been exploited. Now we will have to work harder and be more clever to produce newer and better methodology with which to uncover the more difficult organic pollutants".¹⁶ These were truly prophetic words, but the rapid rate at which new technologies would develop was probably not anticipated at this time.

Just 20 years later, we have a whole host of new mass spectrometry technologies available, which are helping us to "uncover the more difficult organic pollutants" and advance our knowledge of environmental chemistry in ways that could not have been fathomed 20 years ago. For example, liquid chromatography/electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (LC/ESI-MS) and capillary electrophoresis/mass spectrometry (CE/MS) have permitted the analysis of nonvolatile ionic pesticides, textile dyes, and surfactants in water; matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization mass spectrometry (MALDI-MS) has allowed the identification of pathogenic microorganisms; and high-resolution inductively coupled plasma

(ICP)-MS has permitted the trace-level determination of inorganic species in environmental samples. LC/MS interfaces have improved over the years with the earlier developments of moving belt, thermospray, and particle beam interfaces to later developments of electrospray and atmospheric pressure chemical ionization (APCI) interfaces. Fast atom bombardment mass spectrometry (FAB-MS) and liquid secondary-ion mass spectrometry (SIMS) were also developed over this time. There has also been a tremendous increase in the number of sample concentration and separation devices developed and used, often on-line, with mass spectrometry. Separation devices now coupled to mass spectrometry and used for environmental applications include LC, ion chromatography (IC), supercritical fluid chromatography (SFC), and capillary electrophoresis (CE). There have also been direct analysis methods developed, such as membrane introduction mass spectrometry (MIMS) and aerosol time-of-flight (TOF)-mass spectrometry, that have permitted the direct, real-time analysis of environmental samples, and many field-portable mass spectrometers have been developed to allow analyses to be performed at the site of contamination. Improvements in sample concentration have also taken place, with techniques such as solid-phase extraction (SPE) and solid-phase microextraction (SPME) allowing analytes to be concentrated with little or no solvent used. This review will attempt to cover the highlights of the use of these techniques for studying interesting and important environmental samples.

III. General Reviews

Numerous reviews have been published that included environmental applications of mass spectrometry. Historical examples of reviews include ones by Alford,^{25–27} Freudenthal,²⁸ Keith,²⁹ Safe,³⁰ and Schulten³¹ published in the 1970s and ones by Dougherty,^{32,33} Cairns et al.,^{34,35} and Bursey and Hass³⁶ in the early 1980s. These reviews covered general reviews of environmental mass spectrometry applications, field-ionization and field-desorption-MS,³¹ NCI-MS,³³ and tandem mass spectrometry³⁶ and reviews of mass spectrometry applied to specific analytes, such as dioxins,³⁴ pesticides,³⁵ and industrial chemicals.³⁵

More recent reviews include general environmental analysis reviews (which include mass spectrometry and other analytical techniques) and reviews of specific mass spectrometry techniques with environmental applications. Clement and co-authors have published a series of reviews for *Analytical Chemistry* entitled 'Environmental Analysis' that cover the years 1991–1999.^{37–40} Another series published in *Analytical Chemistry*, entitled 'Water Analysis', covers the years 1991–1999 and includes many environmental applications of mass spectrometry.^{41–43} Dietrich and co-authors have published a series on 'Chemical Species' in the journal *Water Environment Research* that covers the years 1993–1998.^{44–48} Lopez-Avila published a review on the trends in environmental analysis in 1999;⁴⁹ Charles and Feinberg published a review on the key role mass spectrometry

has played in advancing environmental research;⁵⁰ Barcelo published a review on the use of mass spectrometry in environmental organic analyses;⁵¹ and Hites published a general review of mass spectrometry and environmental sciences.⁵² Koester and Clement published a review of the analysis of drinking water for trace organics,⁵³ and Burlingame et al. published general reviews of mass spectrometry that contained a few environmental applications.^{54,55}

Several reviews covered the use of LC/MS for environmental studies. Berger et al. presented the use of LC with ion-trap mass spectrometry for structural elucidation and quantitation.⁵⁶ Moder and Popp presented the application of SPME-LC/MS for determining contaminants in environmental samples.⁵⁷ In 1999, Niessen published an overview of the state-of-the-art in LC/MS.⁵⁸ Several general overviews of LC/MS applications were also published,^{59–62} and earlier reviews in 1991–1996 covered environmental applications of thermospray and particle beam-LC/MS.^{63–67} Van Emon and Lopez-Avila published a review on immunoaffinity extraction with on-line LC/MS, which included applications to several environmental pollutants.⁶⁸ Other reviews included environmental applications of membrane introduction mass spectrometry (MIMS),^{69,70} CE/MS,^{71–73} CE/ICP-MS,⁷⁴ GC/MS,^{75,76} GC/infrared spectroscopy (IR) and GC/MS,⁷⁷ electron-capture (EC)-MS,⁷⁸ and chemical ionization (CI)-MS.⁷⁸ Boyd reviewed quantitative trace analysis with chromatography–mass spectrometry.⁸⁰ Isotope dilution-MS,⁸¹ GC/isotope ratio-MS,⁸² GC/combustion-isotope ratio-MS,⁸³ and continuous-flow isotope ratio-MS⁸⁴ were the subject of other reviews. Surface analysis with SIMS was reviewed by Benninghoven.⁸⁵

Many reviews on mass spectrometry techniques for trace element analysis were also published. In 1999, Bacon et al. presented a general review on atomic mass spectrometry⁸⁶ and Haraguchi presented a review of multielement profiling analyses of biological, geochemical, and environmental samples as studied by atomic spectrometry.⁸⁷ Mach et al. published a review of methods for metal speciation in 1996,⁸⁸ and Bersier et al. compared advanced electroanalytical techniques to atomic absorption spectrometry, ICP-atomic emission spectrometry (AES), and ICP-MS for environmental analysis in a 1994 review.⁸⁹ The state-of-the-art of GC coupled with optical and mass spectral detection for trace metal speciation was reviewed in 1994 by Lobinski,⁹⁰ and Cresser et al. provided a general review of atomic spectrometry for environmental analyses in 1993.⁹¹ Several reviews covered ICP-MS specifically. Reviews in 1999 covered general applications of ICP-MS,⁹² metal speciation by SPME-combustion-ICP-MS,⁹³ and the analysis of geological materials by ICP-MS.⁹⁴ Reviews in 1998 covered the use of ICP-MS for elemental speciation studies⁹⁵ and applications of multiple-collector-ICP-MS to cosmochemistry, geochemistry, and paleoceanography.⁹⁶ Several other reviews were published from 1992 to 1997, including general environmental applications of ICP-MS^{97–101} and applications to radionuclides,¹⁰² rare-earth compounds,¹⁰³ biological and environmental samples,¹⁰⁴

and geochemistry and hydrology.¹⁰⁵ Two reviews discussed chromatography coupled with ICP-MS.^{106,107} In 1995, Heumann et al. reviewed recent developments in thermal ionization-MS techniques for isotope analyses.¹⁰⁸ Accelerator-MS applications were the focus of three reviews; one discussed applications for measurement of trace isotopes and elements,¹⁰⁹ another discussed environmental geoscience measurements,¹¹⁰ and another discussed radionuclide dating and trace-element analysis.¹¹⁰ In 1992, Koropchak and Veber published a review on thermospray sample introduction to atomic spectrometry.¹¹²

Reviews with an emphasis on the analysis of specific types of environmental pollutants included the use of LC/MS or GC/MS for measuring pesticides,^{113–116} LC/MS or CE/MS for measuring textile dyes,^{117,118} LC/MS and MS/MS for measuring surfactants,¹¹⁹ and LC/MS methods for measuring seafood toxins.¹²⁰ Reviews were also presented on methods for determining mutagenic heterocyclic amines,¹²¹ carbonyl-containing compounds,¹²² and individual particles in environmental samples.¹²³ Inorganic application reviews included the determination of arsenic species in environmental and biological samples,¹²⁴ LC/MS determinations of organotin compounds,¹²⁵ and the determination of organomercurials in biological and environmental samples.^{126,127}

IV. Regulatory Methods

Many EPA-approved methods utilize mass spectrometry. There are too many to list here, but they can be found at the U.S. EPA's website: www.epa.gov/epahome/Standards.html. At this site, hundreds of EPA methods are listed, covering numerous organic and inorganic analytes and many sample matrices. From this website, there is a link to another important website: www.epa.gov/nerlcwww/methmans.html, where a compendium called 'The Manual of Manuals' can be found. This site carries information for eight laboratory analytical chemistry method manuals that were published by the EPA laboratory in Cincinnati between 1988 and 1995. Examples of methods available include EPA Method 525.2, 'Determination of Organic Compounds in Drinking Water by Liquid–Solid Extraction and Capillary Column Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry', and EPA Method 200.8, 'Determination of Trace Elements in Water and Wastes by Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry'. In the last 2–3 years, there have been a few new regulatory methods published that utilize mass spectrometry. EPA Method 321.8, 'Determination of Bromate in Drinking Waters by Ion Chromatography Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry', provides a lower detection limit for bromate of 0.3 $\mu\text{g/L}$ and provides a degree of selectivity that was not available with former methodologies.¹²⁸ EPA Method 1653, 'Chlorinated Phenolics in Wastewater by In Situ Acetylation and GC/MS', applies to certain pulp and paper mills regulated under the Pulp and Paper Cluster Rule that was promulgated in 1998.¹²⁹ EPA Method 1666, 'Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) Specific to the Pharmaceutical Manufacturing Industry by Isotope Dilution GC/MS', represents a revised pharmaceutical method

that is part of a compendium supporting the Effluents Guidelines Rule promulgated in 1998.¹³⁰

V. Air Analyses

Many environmental mass spectrometry applications focused on air studies. These included studies and measurements of aerosols/particulate matter, industrial emissions, and biogenic emissions.

A. Aerosols/Particulate Matter: Organics

Aerosols are, by definition, any particulate matter suspended in a gas (e.g., dust, fog, smoke, or smog);¹³¹ therefore, the terms aerosols and particulate matter will be used interchangeably throughout this section. A 1999 review by Suess and Prather discussed mass spectrometry analyses of aerosols.¹³¹ This review included an overview of laser probe MS, SIMS, and ICP-MS applications to aerosol research. Grohse reviewed trace element analysis of airborne particles by atomic absorption spectroscopy, ICP-emission spectroscopy, and ICP-MS.¹³² Mitra et al. developed a microtrap interface for on-line MS monitoring of air emissions which eliminated background moisture interferences.¹³³ Brinkman et al. reported the use of ion-trap mass spectrometry for measuring trace VOCs in real-time.¹³⁴ Shchekina et al. reported the development of a new desktop time-of-flight (TOF)-mass spectrometer for measuring air samples,¹³⁵ and Tobias and Ziemann reported the use of temperature-programmed thermal desorption particle beam-MS for identifying organic compounds in aerosols.¹³⁶ Pelzing used Curie point pyrolysis-GC/MS to characterize organic compounds in airborne particulate matter.¹³⁷

Indoor air was the focus of three studies reported here. De Bortoli et al. reported the results of a multilaboratory study involving 10 European countries, where the emission of VOCs from indoor building products were measured.¹³⁸ In this study, GC/MS was used to measure VOCs emitting from carpet, cushion vinyl, and paint. Gorlo et al. used SPME with GC/MS to measure carbon tetrachloride, benzene, toluene, chlorobenzene, *p*-xylene, and *n*-decane in the indoor air of several apartments.¹³⁹ Concentrations ranged from below detection limits to 6.9 mg/m³ for benzene, with higher levels in newly built or renovated apartments. Karpe et al. used a thermal desorption-GC/MS-flame ionization detection sniffer to determine odorous VOCs in indoor air.¹⁴⁰

Several studies focused on reactions of ozone, hydroxyl radicals, and other ions with organic compounds in air. Grosjean and Grosjean used LC, LC/particle beam (PB)-MS, and GC/MS to determine the major reaction products of unsaturated aliphatic oxygenates with ozone.¹⁴¹ The major products formed were methyl formate and methyl glyoxylate from methyl *trans*-3-methoxy acrylate, acetaldehyde and 2-oxobutanal from 4-hexen-3-one, propanal and succinic dialdehyde from *cis*-4-heptenal, hydroxyacetaldehyde and acetone from 3-methyl-2-buten-1-ol, and ethyl formate and acetaldehyde from *cis*- and *trans*-ethyl-1-propenyl ether. Anderson and Hites developed a system to measure relative rate constants of

reactions of semivolatile organic compounds with hydroxyl radicals.¹⁴² Letzel et al. used LC/APCI-MS to separate and identify 29 different polar degradation products of benzo[*a*]pyrene with ozone; some reaction products were reported for the first time.¹⁴³ Aschmann and Atkinson used GC and atmospheric pressure ionization (API)-MS/MS to identify products of gas-phase reactions of hydroxyl radicals with *n*-butyl methyl ether and 2-isopropoxyethanol.¹⁴⁴ Spanel and Smith studied reactions of H₃O⁺, NO⁺, and O₂⁺ with aromatic and aliphatic monosubstituted halocarbons.¹⁴⁵

Yu et al. used pentafluorobenzylhydroxylamine (PFBHA) derivatization and GC/MS to identify airborne carbonyl compounds formed by the photooxidation of isoprene.¹⁴⁶ The use of pentafluorobenzyl bromide (PFBBBr) and pentafluorobenzyl alcohol (PFBOH) as derivatizing agents for measuring airborne organic compounds was the focus of three papers.^{147–149} The first paper discussed the analysis of carboxylic acids and phenols with PFBBBr derivatization and GC/ion-trap-MS using a novel chemical ionization reagent, PFBOH.¹⁴⁷ This method allowed the first-time measurement of methacrylic acid from the oxidation of isoprene in indoor and outdoor smog. The second paper discussed the successful measurement of hydroxy carbonyls and other carbonyls in ambient air through the use of PFBOH as a chemical ionization reagent.¹⁴⁸ In this study, methyl vinyl ketone, methacrolein, methylglyoxal, hydroxyacetone, glyoxal, and 3-hydroxy-2-butanone were identified in air from Davis, CA. The third paper detailed the formation of unique ions (PFBOH derivatives) under chemical ionization MS conditions that aid in the identification of oxygenated polar organics in air.¹⁴⁹ Two papers discussed the use of 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine (DNPH) as a derivatizing agent with LC/MS for measuring carbonyl compounds in ambient air.^{150,151} The first paper by Kölliker et al. detailed the structural elucidation of DNPH-derivatized carbonyls in air and presented detailed fragmentation pathways obtained through MS/MS/MS and MS/MS/MS/MS with only 1–10 ng of material.¹⁵⁰ The second paper by Grosjean et al. detailed results from the study of 78 carbonyls derivatized by DNPH and analyzed with both diode array-ultraviolet (UV) spectroscopy and APCI-MS.¹⁵¹ Thomas et al. used derivatization with 2-hydroxymethylpiperidine (coated in a denuder tube), recovery by thermal desorption, and analysis by GC/MS to measure formaldehyde in air.¹⁵² Tsai and Hee discussed the use of a new solid sorbent passive sampler for measuring aldehydes in air,¹⁵³ and Zurek et al. presented an LC/APCI-MS method for analyzing carbonyls in air, including automobile exhaust.¹⁵⁴

Polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and nitro-PAHs were the focus of several studies. Cecinato et al. studied the distribution of *n*-alkanes, PAHs, and nitro-PAHs between fine and coarse fractions of inhalable dust particles in downtown Rome.¹⁵⁵ Preferential accumulation was observed on fine particles. Niederer used GC/ion-trap-MS/MS to measure PAHs, nitro-PAHs, and oxy-PAHs in urban airborne particulate matter and soil.¹⁵⁶ Nitro-PAHs

were found to be less persistent in soil than nonsubstituted PAHs, and they were 10–100 times lower in concentration than oxy-PAHs and the parent PAHs in air. PAHs, nitro-PAHs, and hydroxy-PAHs were also measured by SFC with GC/MS,¹⁵⁷ laser desorption ionization (LDI)-TOF-MS,¹⁵⁸ and on-line LC-GC-ion-trap-MS.¹⁵⁹ Hachimi et al. used laser microprobes at short wavelengths and low energy to allow the in-situ desorption of high molecular weight PAHs and nitro-PAHs.¹⁶⁰ Vincenti et al. used GC/electron capture-MS/MS to determine nitro-PAHs at sub-ppb levels in airborne particulate matter and soils.¹⁶¹ Haeffliger et al. used laser desorption/laser photoionization-TOF-MS to measure aromatic compounds in urban aerosols over the course of a day.¹⁶² Yang and Baumann studied the seasonal variations of PAHs in street dust collected from highways, urban streets, a gas station, pedestrian tunnels, a civil house, and a parking deck in German cities, using SFE-GC/MS.¹⁶³ In this study, it was found that PAH concentrations showed distinct variations with the sampling area and were 2–12 times higher in the winter than in the summer and that fluoranthene, pyrene, and phenanthrene were the highest in concentration. GC/MS was also used to measure PAHs^{164,165} and oxygenated PAHs¹⁶⁶ in other studies. Koeber et al. used LC/MS to determine benzo[a]pyrene diones, which are photooxidation products of benzo[a]pyrene, in air particulate matter.¹⁶⁷ Asano et al. used parallel monitoring for multiple-targeted compounds with ion-trap mass spectrometry to measure trace explosives in air (2,4-dinitrotoluene, 2,4,6-trinitrotoluene, and 2,4,6-trinitrophenyl-methyl nitramine).¹⁶⁸

Several air studies focused on the measurement of organic compounds in automobile exhaust. Two studies reported the real-time characterization of organics in automobile exhaust using ion-trap-MS¹⁶⁹ or aerosol TOF-MS.^{170–172} The development of aerosol TOF-MS by Prather et al. has enabled the real-time analysis of single particles.^{173,174} GC/MS was used by other authors to quantify toxic hydrocarbons in engine exhaust and air¹⁷⁵ and to measure benzene and alkylated benzene from vehicular emissions¹⁷⁶ and PAHs and dioxin-receptor ligands in diesel exhaust particulate extracts.¹⁷⁷ Franzen et al. developed a new procedure using resonant multiphoton ionization and TOF-MS to enable the dynamic study of combustion processes.¹⁷⁸ Other studies focused on the detection of VOCs in ambient air. Yassaa et al. reported the use of chiral GC for analyzing VOCs in the ambient air of Algiers.¹⁷⁹ The cyclodextrin column used allowed good separations of aromatic hydrocarbons and *p*- and *m*-xylenes. Hassoun et al. used thermal desorption-GC/MS to study urban hydrocarbons in Leeds, U.K.¹⁸⁰ This method permitted the simultaneous measurement of 68 C6–C12 hydrocarbons at ppb levels. Wedel et al. used a new on-line GC/MS technique to measure VOCs in a nationwide study in Germany of photooxidants formed by the reaction of organic plant emissions with hydroxyl radicals in air.¹⁸¹ In this study, approximately 250 C6–C15 hydrocarbons and C4–C12 oxygenated VOCs and aldehydes were monitored. Fernandez-Martinez

et al. developed a new method using thermal desorption-GC/MS for determining C6–C9 VOCs and applied it to urban and rural air in Northwest Spain.¹⁸² Chai and Pawliszyn used SPME-GC/ion-trap-MS to measure VOCs in air at ppt to sub-ppb levels.¹⁸³ Two studies reported the measurement of VOCs in arctic air. One used serial GC/MS to study variations of 11 halocarbons, 3 hydrocarbons, and acetone in arctic air,¹⁸⁴ and the other used GC/MS to study the seasonal variation of four natural halocarbons and three anthropogenic halocarbons in the arctic troposphere.¹⁸⁵ Oliver et al. reported a method using sorbent preconcentration, cryofocusing, and GC/MS analysis for measuring toxic VOCs in air.¹⁸⁶ This method produced detection limits less than 0.10 ppb and was linear over a range of 1–40 ppb. MIMS was used by Cisper et al. for the on-line detection of VOCs in air at ppt (ng/L) levels.¹⁸⁷ Finally, Haunold et al. reported an improved sampling strategy for VOCs based on cooled sampling and analysis by thermodesorption-GC/MS.¹⁸⁸

Halogenated organic compounds were studied by several researchers. Airborne concentrations of toxaphene congeners were measured in Ontario using GC/electron capture-negative-ion (ECNI)-MS.¹⁸⁹ Ulrich and Hites measured enantiomeric ratios of chlordane-related compounds in air near the Great Lakes using chiral GC with ECNI-MS.¹⁹⁰ In this study, 48 air samples were taken near Lake Erie, 5 air samples were taken near Lake Michigan, and 6 air samples were taken near Lake Superior. Differing enantiomeric ratios were found—e.g., for *cis*-chlordane, the overall enantiomeric ratio was 1.05 (racemic mixture), but for *trans*-chlordane, it was 0.88, indicating that *trans*-chlordane and *cis*-chlordane may be degraded differently in the environment. Takasuga et al. reported that chlordane-related compounds were potential interferences to polychlorinated dibenzofurans (PCDFs) in the GC/high-resolution-MS analyses of ambient air.¹⁹¹ Koester et al. compared high-resolution electron ionization (EI)-MS and low-resolution ECNI-MS for measuring dioxins and furans in air¹⁹² and reported how wet and dry deposition contribute to the observed dioxin and furan profiles between sources and sinks.¹⁹³ Yang and Baumann used SFE-GC/MS to study PCBs in street dust in Germany,¹⁹⁴ and Unwin and Groves used GC/MS to measure bis(chloromethyl)ether in air at ppb levels.¹⁹⁵ Methyl halides were measured in marine atmospheres using canister sampling and GC/MS.¹⁹⁶ In this study, methyl chloride levels were found to increase at night and were negatively correlated with surface ozone concentrations. Engen et al. used GC/high-resolution-MS to measure brominated compounds in the background air of rural Montana.¹⁹⁷ In this study, most of the brominated compounds were identified at sub-ppt levels; the method permitted detection down to ppq (pg/L) levels. Sharp et al. also measured organobromine compounds in air using adsorbent trapping and GC/MS, with detection limits of 0.1–0.2 ppt.¹⁹⁸

In other air studies, GC/NCI-MS was used to measure peroxyacetyl nitrate at ppt levels in air,¹⁹⁹ and EI- and NCI-MS were used to measure arylalkyl

nitrites in urban air.²⁰⁰ In the latter study, the following arylalkyl nitrates were identified in urban air: benzyl nitrate, xylyl nitrates, phenyl-*n*-propyl-1-nitrate, and phenyl-*n*-propyl-2-nitrate, with benzyl nitrate being the dominant compound of this group. Glasius et al. used LC/ion-trap-MS to determine polar terpene oxidation products in aerosols, which allowed the identification of three new compounds, tentatively identified as 10-hydroxy-pinonic acid, 9-hydroxynorpinonic acid, and pinolic-4-acid.²⁰¹ IC-GC/MS was used to determine mono- and dicarboxylic acids in aerosol particles,²⁰² and GC/ion-trap-MS was used to monitor muramic acid, which is a chemical biomarker for bacterial peptidoglycan.²⁰³ In this study, muramic acid was monitored in airborne dust collected in a horse stable and in a dairy. Jonsson et al. used derivatization with PFBBr or BF₃/methanol, followed by GC/MS analysis, to determine organic anhydrides in air,²⁰⁴ and Sturaro et al. used GC/MS to determine vinyl acetate at ppt levels in air.²⁰⁵ Karlsson et al. used LC/MS to determine aliphatic isocyanates and amines in air at 20 nmol/L detection limits with good linearity over 50–500 nmol/L.²⁰⁶ Nolte et al. used trimethylsilylation derivatization with GC/MS to determine highly polar compounds in meat smoke.²⁰⁷ In this study, silylation enabled the detection of monoglycerides and enhanced the detection of cholesterol. Finally, Shao et al. used accelerator-MS to study the source identification of aerosols in China.²⁰⁸

B. Aerosols/Particulate Matter: Inorganics

Many air studies involved the measurement of inorganics in air particulate matter. Sich and Russow used GC/MS to measure nitric oxide and nitrous oxide in air,²⁰⁹ and Reiner et al. used mass spectrometry with chemical conversion/ion–molecule reactions for aircraft-borne measurements of peroxy radicals in the troposphere over South Germany.²¹⁰ Iodine-129 was measured in atmospheric samples using accelerator-MS,²¹¹ and HOCl was measured using API-MS, which permitted air analyses at higher pressures—up to 1 atm in air.²¹² Arsenic and vanadium were measured in airborne reference materials using ICP-MS.²¹³ Multiple-element analyses were the focus of four papers using ICP-MS methods.^{214–217} One of these studies measured the atmospheric deposition of 18 elements,²¹⁵ and another study measured 33 elements deposited on 495 moss samples collected in a nationwide Norwegian study.²¹⁷ In the latter study, it was found that there was a decreased contribution of most long-range transported elements in Southern Norway, as compared to studies conducted in earlier years. Ludke et al. determined trace metals in particles from arctic air using electrothermal vaporization-ICP-MS and studied the long-range transport of particles.²¹⁸ Ortner et al. presented a brief overview of the characterization of particles, which included a discussion of the use of SIMS for studying trace elemental distributions and isotopic ratios.²¹⁹ Wang et al. used high-resolution laser ablation-ICP-MS to determine chromium in airborne particulate matter.²²⁰ Hachimi et al. used laser microprobe-TOF-MS to study the speciation of chro-

mium, lead, and nickel in atmospheric and biological samples;²²¹ Nusko and Heumann measured Cr(III)/Cr(VI) speciation in aerosol particles using extractive separation and thermal ionization-isotope dilution-MS.²²² Lead isotope ratios were determined in another study by ICP-MS to monitor mining-derived metal particulates from atmospheric fallout in Australia.²²³ Zufiaurre et al. studied the speciation of trimethyllead in rainwater by derivatization and GC/MS;²²⁴ Nerin et al. determined ionic alkyllead compounds in road dust using GC/MS;²²⁵ Nerin and Pons determined organolead species in air using GC/MS, achieving low pg detection limits.²²⁶ Vlasankova et al. measured platinum-group metals using preconcentration on modified silica gel and ICP-MS determination,²²⁷ and Moldovan et al. determined platinum, rhodium, and palladium in exhaust fumes using ICP-MS.²²⁸

C. Industrial Air Emissions/Flue Gas

Zimmermann et al. reported the on-line monitoring of traces of aromatic, phenolic, and chlorinated compounds in flue gases of industrial-scale incinerators and cigarette smoke by direct-inlet laser ionization-MS.²²⁹ In another study, Zimmermann et al. used mobile resonance laser ionization-TOF-MS for on-line measurements of chlorobenzene in waste incineration flue gas.²³⁰ Several studies focused on the measurement of dibenzodioxins and furans in industrial emissions. Dejong et al. presented an overview of methods that have been used to measure polychlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxins (PCDDs) and PCDFs in municipal waste incinerator emissions.²³¹ Marti et al. presented a new absorbent-GC-high-resolution-MS method for dioxin and furan analyses in flue gases;²³² Takasuga et al. discussed the use of mass peak profiling with GC/high-resolution-MS for dioxin analyses;²³³ Oehme and Muller used mass spectrometry to study the levels and congener patterns of PCDDs and PCDFs in wood-fired boiler emissions.²³⁴ Diaz-Somoano et al. used ICP-MS to measure arsenic in flue gases from coal combustion and gasification processes,²³⁵ and Liao and Jiang used electrothermal vaporization-ICP-MS to determine cadmium, mercury, and lead in coal fly ash.²³⁶

D. Biogenic Air Emissions

Two recent studies focused on biogenic air emissions. Helmig et al. used GC/MS to study the emissions of biogenic VOCs from the dominant vegetation species found in Atlanta, GA, Rhineland, WI, and Hayden, CO.²³⁷ Fall et al. measured VOCs emitted after leaf wounding, using proton-transfer-reaction MS.²³⁸ In this study, a family of hexenal compounds and their metabolites were identified from the wounding of aspen leaves.

VI. Drinking Water, Surface Waters, Wastewater, and Soil

The analysis of drinking water, surface waters, wastewaters, and soils are combined in this section due to the similarity of the methods and the chemicals studied for these sample media. Organic chemi-

cals are grouped according to type—general organic analyses (involving multiple types of chemicals), pesticides, PAHs and PCBs, dioxin, textile dyes, surfactants, disinfection byproducts, VOCs, and other organic pollutants. Inorganics are also organized in this way, with disinfection byproducts and groups of elements organized together. The types of organic and inorganic compounds studied have not changed much over the years, but the types of methods and measurements have advanced significantly, permitting increasingly lower detection limits (ppt and sometimes ppq) and the direct analysis of ionic and polar species that in previous years was not possible. Improved low- or no-solvent extraction techniques, such as solid-phase microextraction (SPME), have become more important as researchers and regulators seek environmentally friendly and more rapid methods. There has also been an increase in the use of on-line separation techniques with mass spectrometry, including LC/MS, SFC/MS, IC/ICP-MS, and IC/ESI-MS, CE/MS, and CE/ICP-MS. ESI-MS and APCI-MS have also been extremely beneficial for the measurement of highly polar pesticides, such as diquat and paraquat. Before the advent of LC/MS techniques, polar compounds were difficult and sometimes impossible to measure. Now they can be measured at very low detection levels. There has also been a recent push to separate enantiomeric forms of chemicals due to differences in their degradations in the environment. Chiral cyclodextrin columns have made these measurements possible. Thus, although many of the chemicals and elements that are being measured now have not changed, the level of information that can be obtained has changed significantly.

A. Organic Analyses

1. General Organic Analyses

Two studies combined the use of infrared spectroscopy (IR) with GC/MS. One used GC/IR and GC/MS (high-resolution EI- and CI-MS) to identify drinking water contaminants in connection with a suspected childhood cancer cluster in Toms River, NJ,²³⁹ and the other used GC/IR/MS to analyze contaminated water, clay, and soil samples.²⁴⁰ Benfenatia et al. compared GC/MS, LC/nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (NMR), and LC/MS for identifying chemicals in an industrial landfill leachate,²⁴¹ and Fuoco et al. used on-line SFC-cryotrap-GC/MS to analyze priority pollutants in sediment reference samples and seawater.²⁴² Several studies used toxicity measurements to direct MS analyses. Kuehl et al. used *in vitro* reactions of chemicals with deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) as an analytical technique to detect and identify genotoxic contaminants in aqueous environmental samples.²⁴³ This new method involves the incubation of DNA with the contaminated aqueous samples, followed by enzymatic hydrolysis of the DNA to deoxynucleosides and LC/MS analysis of the resulting solution. In this work, it was found that adduct formation was linear with both contaminant concentration and electrophilic reactivity potential. Three studies used toxicity-directed fractionation of effluents using the bioluminescence

of *Vibrio-fischeri*, followed by GC/MS detection^{244,245} or LC/MS detection.²⁴⁶ This approach was shown to be beneficial for expanding the number of toxicants identified in wastewater effluents. Castillo et al. evaluated enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kits followed by LC/APCI-MS for determining organic pollutants in industrial effluents.²⁴⁷

Several studies used LC/MS techniques to measure organic chemicals in environmental samples. Castillo and Barcelo presented an overview of analytical methods for measuring endocrine disrupting chemicals (EDCs) in industrial effluents, which included a discussion of the use of LC/MS for identifying the more polar EDCs.²⁴⁸ Castillo et al. used SPE with LC/MS (APCI and ESI) to identify polar, ionic, and highly water-soluble pollutants in untreated wastewater;²⁴⁹ Hughes et al.²⁵⁰ and Schröder²⁵¹ used LC/MS/MS to identify polar organic pollutants in waste streams and river samples, respectively. Shumate and Hill applied electrospray ion mobility spectrometry for continuous monitoring of organic compounds with detection limits of 5 fmol/s.²⁵² Particle beam-LC/MS,^{253,254} thermospray-LC/MS,²⁵⁴ FAB-MS,²⁵⁵ and fast ion bombardment-MS²⁵⁶ have also been used to identify organic pollutants in water and wastewater.

MIMS has been useful for the direct detection of organic compounds in water,^{257–261} with one paper reporting ppq (pg/L) detection limits.²⁵⁷ Vincenti and co-authors recently developed a derivatization method that enables highly polar compounds with multiple hydroxyl, carboxyl, and amine groups to be extracted and analyzed by GC/MS.^{262–264} This method involves the use of *n*-hexyl chloroformate, which permitted 10–100 $\mu\text{g/L}$ detection limits, or octafluoropentyl chloroformate, which permitted 30–30 fmol detection limits. Large-volume injection-GC/MS has been used to inject water samples (up to 1 mL) or organic extracts directly onto a gas chromatograph.^{265,266} In the first study, over 20 compounds were identified in river water at a detection limit of 0.01 $\mu\text{g/L}$.²⁶⁵ GC/MS is still used extensively for measuring organic pollutants in environmental samples, due to the low detection limits and high degree of separation that can be achieved. Bulterman et al. used on-line trace enrichment GC/MS to measure organic contaminants in water samples,²⁶⁷ and Lega et al. developed a quantitative GC/MS method for the simultaneous determination of organochlorine pesticides, PCBs, PAHs, phthalates, chloroaromatics, phenolics, phenoxy acids, and other base/neutral compounds in sewage sludge.²⁶⁸ Ding et al. used GC/EI-MS, GC/CI-MS, and GC/MS/MS to identify organic residues in treated wastewater effluents.²⁶⁹ Castillo et al. used high-temperature-GC/MS to identify organic pollutants in industrial effluents.²⁷⁰ GC/MS has also been shown to be useful for determining organic compounds in oil field wastewater samples that were high in sulfide.²⁷¹ SFE-GC/MS has been useful for identifying organic pollutants in sewage,²⁷² and SPME-GC/MS has been useful for measuring hydrophilic compounds.²⁷³ Dias and Freeman used SPME to facilitate the measurement of stable carbon isotope compositions of organic compounds in water.²⁷⁴ Finally, GC was used with simultaneous atomic emis-

sion and mass spectral detection (GC/AED/MS) for analyzing nontarget organic compounds in municipal wastewater.²⁷⁵

2. Pesticides

Due to the nature of their toxicity and concerns about their effects on the environment, pesticides represent the dominant class of pollutants investigated in environmental studies. An interesting development in recent years has been the use of immunoaffinity chromatography with LC/MS or GC/MS to selectively determine pesticides.^{276–280} This method enables on-line extraction, preconcentration, and positive confirmation of pesticides in as little as 10 min,²⁷⁶ and it makes it possible to detect low levels of pesticides in complex environmental samples without interferences from matrix components. Another interesting development is in the use of chiral chromatography, which allows the stereospecific determination of pesticides.²⁸¹ MALDI-MS²⁸² and LDI-ion-trap MS²⁸³ have also recently been used to identify pesticides, such as diquat,²⁸² paraquat,²⁸² and DDT.²⁸³ A few researchers have applied CE/MS to analyze highly polar or ionic pesticides.^{284–287} This analytical method is not yet considered rugged—achieving optimal results and good reproducibility can be difficult—but it shows a great deal of analytical promise due to the extremely high number of theoretical plates that can be achieved in separations. Thus, it has the potential to offer increased separations of highly polar and ionic pesticides, as compared to LC/MS. Ionic pesticides, paraquat and diquat, have been measured by CI-ESI-MS²⁸⁴ and CE/TOF-MS;²⁸⁵ sulfonylureas have been measured by CE/ion spray-MS;²⁸⁶ and chlorinated acid herbicides have been measured by CE/ESI-MS.²⁸⁷

Numerous LC/MS methods have recently been developed for analyzing pesticides in environmental samples. Electrospray and APCI methods are becoming the most popular of the LC/MS methods, due to improved ease of operation, long-term operating stability, and better detection limits and analytical precision that can be achieved, as compared to earlier thermospray and particle beam methods. Ferrer and Barcelo reviewed LC/MS methods for the trace determination of pesticides in environmental samples.²⁸⁸ Aguera and Fernandez-Alba reviewed GC/MS and LC/MS studies of pesticide degradation products generated through advanced oxidation processes.²⁸⁹ Hogenboom et al. reported accurate mass determinations of pesticides from different compound classes using on-line SPE-LC-ESI-orthogonal-TOF-MS,²⁹⁰ and Lin and Voyksner used ESI-ion-trap-MS/MS to determine pesticides in water.²⁹¹ Hogenboom et al. used on-line single-short-column LC combined with APCI-MS/MS for rapid analyses (10–15 min) of herbicides, with a linear range of 0.1–10 $\mu\text{g/L}$.²⁹²

Several ESI- or APCI-MS methods were developed for simultaneously determining multiple groups of pesticides. Some of these methods also used tandem mass spectrometry to provide additional structural information.^{293–296} Carbamate, triazine, phenylurea, phenoxy acid, and nitrophenol pesticides²⁹³ were the focus of one study; polar pesticides and their trans-

formation products were the focus of another;²⁹⁴ and organophosphates, carbamates, phenylureas, and triazines were the focus of another.²⁹⁶ Aguilar et al. monitored a group of pesticides and metabolites of different chemical groups in water samples from the Ebro Delta area (Spain).²⁹⁷ The most frequently detected pesticides were betazone, molinate, metolachlor, simazine, atrazine, and dealkylated metabolites, deisopropil atrazine and deethyl atrazine (at levels ranging from 0.03 to 2.4 $\mu\text{g/L}$).²⁹⁷ Hu et al. measured water from a public waterworks treatment plant in Japan for 31 thermolabile and/or polar pesticides; 8 pesticides were detected in treated water and 10 in the raw, untreated water.²⁹⁸ Jeannot and Sauvard analyzed surface waters in the Center Region of France for a priority list of 40 pesticides,²⁹⁹ and Molina et al. analyzed estuarine water from the Elorn River in France for triazine, phenylurea, and other priority pesticides.³⁰⁰ Doerge and Bajic developed an APCI-MS method for 17 pesticides from five chemical classes (triazines, phenylureas, carbamates, organophosphates, and others) that were from the U.S. EPA's National Pesticide Survey of groundwater contaminants.³⁰¹ Rodriguez and Orescan developed an LC/ESI-MS method for quantifying 16 selected sulfonylurea, imidazolinone, and sulfonamide herbicides in surface water,³⁰² and Crescenzi et al. developed a method for analyzing 45 widely used pesticides in drinking water, groundwater, and river water.³⁰³ The latter method provided detection limits ranging from 0.06 (malathion) to 9.0 ng/L (butoxy-carboxim) for drinking water analyses.³⁰³ Aguilar et al. compared automated APCI-MS to particle beam-MS for determining a priority group of pesticides;³⁰⁴ Giraud et al. developed a method to determine six pesticides (atrazine, hydroxyatrazine, carbofuran, promecarb, linuron, and monolinuron) in drinking water.³⁰⁵

Organophosphorus pesticides were the focus of several studies using APCI- or ESI-MS.^{306–309} One of these studies utilized SPE followed by LC-ESI-MS for detection limits of 0.01 $\mu\text{g/L}$.³⁰⁶ Another study utilized on-line IC with ESI-MS, which required no sample preparation.³⁰⁹ Sulfonylurea^{310–315} or phenylurea³¹⁶ herbicides were the focus of other studies using API-MS. Three studies also utilized tandem mass spectrometry.^{310–312} One of these involved the study of the degradation rate of metsulfuron-methyl in soil over 2 years. After application of this herbicide, its degradation was found to be very rapid, with a half-life of 6.5 days.³¹⁰ Atrazine and other triazine herbicides were measured in other studies.^{317–319} One study followed atrazine and its six major degradation products with SPE and LC-ESI-MS.³¹⁷ Another study utilized ESI-ion mobility mass spectrometry, which allowed the direct sampling of atrazine in high humic waters,³¹⁸ and the last study involved the use of ultrahigh-pressure LC/TOF-MS, which permitted the separation of triazine herbicides and benzodiazepines in less than 60 s.³¹⁹ Three API-MS studies focused on imidazolinone herbicides.^{320–322} Imidazolinone herbicides are a relatively new class of herbicides that are applicable to several different crops but require lower doses and have reduced environmental risk.³²⁰

One method reported detection limits of 2–5 ng/L with recoveries higher than 89% in different aqueous matrices;³²⁰ other methods reported ppb level detection limits in soil.^{321,322} API-MS methods were also developed for acidic herbicides,^{323,324} quaternary ammonium herbicides,^{325,326} carbofuran and methiocarb,³²⁷ ionic chloroacetanilide herbicide metabolites,³²⁸ mothproofing agents such as sulcofuron and flucofuron,³²⁹ and marine antifouling herbicides such as diuron, 2-thiocyanomethylthiobenzothiazole, Irigarol, and chlorothalonil.³³⁰

The degradation of pesticides was the focus of several API-MS studies. Five papers studied the photolytic degradation of various pesticides under controlled conditions.^{327,331–334} One study also involved the use of a photocatalyst (TiO₂),³³² and two studies involved the use of natural sunlight and temperatures.^{327,334} In one of these studies involving organophosphorus pesticides, the following half-lives were observed: methyl-parathion, 3 days in groundwater and 4 days in estuarine and river water; ethyl-parathion, 2 days in groundwater and estuarine water; fenitrothion and pentachlorophenol, 2 days or less in all types of water.³³⁴ In another study, half-lives varied between 4 and 12.5 days for carbofuran and methiocarb under natural sunlight conditions.³²⁷ Finally, the reductive halogenation of atrazine with Fe⁰ was studied using LC/MS/MS.³³⁵ In this study, a previously unidentified degradation was found—2-(ethylamino)-4-isopropylamino-1,3,5-triazine.

Particle beam-LC/MS was also used in several pesticide studies. PB-MS produces EI spectra that can be searched with commonly used library databases, which was one of the reasons for its early popularity. However, ESI- and APCI-MS generally provide lower detection limits; thus, the development of these latter techniques has significantly curbed the number of PB-MS studies. One of the earlier uses of PB-MS for measuring pesticides involved the determination of urea and carbamate pesticides.³³⁶ A more recent paper in 1997 involved the determination of neutral and acidic pesticides with on-line SPE and LC/PB-MS.³³⁷ Other studies involved the determination of 18 acidic pesticides,³³⁸ 32 base/neutral and 13 acidic pesticides,³³⁹ diquat and paraquat,³⁴⁰ phenylurea herbicides,³⁴¹ and rotenone.³⁴² The following detection limits were achieved in these studies: 0.1–1 ppb for acidic pesticides,³³⁸ 5–10 ppb for diquat and paraquat,³⁴⁰ and 0.03–0.05 ppb for phenylurea herbicides.³⁴¹

Thermospray (TSP)-LC/MS has also been used in several studies of pesticides. However, like PB-MS, it is one of the earlier LC/MS techniques and suffers from lower sensitivity as compared to more recent ESI and APCI techniques. Jones et al. discussed results from three interlaboratory U.S. EPA studies, including a TSP-LC/MS study of chlorinated herbicides, a TSP-LC/MS study of carbamate pesticides, and a PB-LC/MS study of benzidines.³⁴³ Volmer et al. used TSP-LC/MS to determine 128 pesticides having a wide range in structure and polarity.³⁴⁴ Sennert et al. used on-line enrichment and TSP-LC/MS to analyze for 51 polar pesticides in water,³⁴⁵ and Chiron et al. used on-line SPE with TSP-LC/MS to

determine 34 pesticides and their transformation products in river and groundwater.³⁴⁶ Geerdink et al. used flow-injection-TSP-MS/MS to study 12 triazines and eleven of their degradation products; detection limits of 0.05–0.15 µg/L were achieved for the parent pesticides and 0.20–0.45 µg/L for the degradation products.³⁴⁷ Barcelo et al. reported the use of C18 Empore extraction disks for the isolation and trace enrichment of chlorotriazine pesticides, atrazine metabolites, organophosphorus pesticides, phenylurea pesticides, propioanilide pesticides, and carbamate pesticides from river and seawater.³⁴⁸ In this study, TSP-MS afforded detection limits of 2–20 µg/L. Volmer and Levsen reported the TSP-LC/MS analysis of nitrogen-containing and phosphorus-containing pesticides,³⁴⁹ and Abian et al. reported the analysis of chlorotriazines and their degradation products.³⁵⁰ Other studies used TSP-LC/MS for measuring triazines,³⁵¹ phenylureas,³⁵² and quaternary amine pesticides.³⁵³ Three studies used TSP-LC/MS to study the degradation of pesticides. Lacorte et al. studied the degradation of 10 organophosphorus pesticides in natural estuarine waters and found that five were stable for less than 1 week (disulfoton, fenamiphos, fenthion, malathion, and temephos), others had a half-life of approximately 1 week (chloropyrifos-methyl, methidathion, and diazinon), and the rest showed a half-life of about 10 days (isofenphos and pyridafenthion).³⁵⁴ Barcelo et al. investigated the photodegradation rates of organophosphorus pesticides chlorpyrifos, fenamiphos, and vamidothion using a xenon arc lamp to mimic natural sunlight; 3,5,6-trichloro-2-pyridinol, fenamiphos sulfoxide, and vamidothion sulfoxide were found to be the major photodegradation products.³⁵⁵ Chiron et al. investigated the photodegradation rates of alachlor and bentazone in natural waters using a xenon lamp for irradiation; 14 photoproducts were identified, with hydroxyalachlor and 8-ethyl-1-methoxymethyl-4-methyl-2-oxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinone being the two major products.³⁵⁶

FAB-MS was also used in a few studies of pesticides.^{357–359} Caldwell et al. used high-resolution FAB-MS to quantify ppt levels of atrazine in drinking water and groundwater.³⁵⁷ Using this method, atrazine was successfully analyzed at 6–94 ppt levels in several well water samples. Winnik et al. used negative-ion FAB-MS to determine sulfonylurea herbicides,³⁵⁸ and Hu et al. used capillary LC/frit-FAB-MS to analyze 24 thermolabile and polar pesticides in water.³⁵⁹ With the latter method, detection limits were found to range from 10 to 300 µg/L, except for benazolin, whose limit was 1000 µg/L. Using SPE to preconcentrate the pesticides, along with selected ion monitoring, dymron and 2,4-D were detected in the raw water of a drinking water supply at 0.2 and 0.05 µg/L, respectively.³⁵⁹

GC/MS is still used extensively for measuring pesticides in the environment. Chiral chromatography is one of the newer techniques being applied with GC/MS, and it is enabling the enantiomeric determination of pesticides, so that their specific environmental degradations can be determined. Vetter et al. used chiral GC with ECNI-MS to measure toxaphene

in Canadian lake sediment cores from the last 60 years.³⁶⁰ Sanchez-Rasero et al. used chiral GC-ion-trap-MS to simultaneously determine enantiomeric forms of mecoprop and dichloroprop in soil samples.³⁶¹ Vetter and Luckas reported analytical artifacts that can arise from the enantioselective analysis of toxaphene.³⁶²

Several GC/MS studies were designed to simultaneously measure different classes of pesticides. Seneman et al. published a pesticide survey of surface water in Arkansas conducted in 1989–1991 in which 59–62 lake and river/stream sites were sampled eight times and screened for 17 commonly used pesticides.³⁶³ Using SPE with GC/MS and UV detection, 256 detections of pesticides were made, representing 14 pesticides. Metolachlor represented 25% of the total detections, atrazine, 25%, norflurazone, 16%, and cyanazine, 14%. A total of 5% of the detections were above health advisories.³⁶³ Selim et al. compared immunoassay analysis to LC and GC/MS analyses of pesticides in surface water.³⁶⁴ Good agreement was found between immunoassay results and those of LC and GC/MS for all pesticides except paraquat. Heberer et al. measured 30 acidic herbicides and related compounds by derivatization with PFBBr and GC/MS analysis, which allowed a pre-concentration factor of 10 000 and low ng/L detection limits.³⁶⁵ Vincenti et al. used derivatization with hexyl chloroformate and analysis by GC/MS to measure polar hydroxycarbamates in water.³⁶⁶ Vreuls et al. used GC/TOF-MS to determine organophosphorus pesticides and triazine herbicides in surface water and sediment, with 0.1 $\mu\text{g/L}$ and 0.01 mg/kg detection limits, respectively.³⁶⁷ Large-volume injection-GC/MS was also used to measure groups of pesticides,^{368,369} and a low-solvent method was developed for determining chlorinated pesticides and PCBs.³⁷⁰ Sanchez-Brunete et al. used small column extraction and GC/MS to rapidly determine 21 nitrogen-containing herbicides in soil,³⁷¹ and SPME with GC/MS was used to determine different groups of pesticides.^{372–374} SPE-GC/MS was used in several studies;^{375–378} one of these studies successfully measured 120 pesticides and pesticide metabolites in soil with high organic matter content, with <1 to 15 ng/g detection limits.³⁷⁶ Perez et al. used GC/MS to analyze several herbicides used to control weeds in forestry (simazine, hexazinone, pendimethalin, and thiazopyr); recoveries were >80%, and detection limits were <0.01 ppm.³⁷⁹

Other GC/MS studies focused on a specific class of pesticide. GC/MS and GC/MS/MS studies included triazine herbicides,^{380–384} toxaphene,^{385–392} acidic and neutral pesticides,^{393–395} chlorotriazine herbicides,^{396,397} thiourea pesticides,^{398,399} biocides used in antifouling paints (Irgarol, chlorothalonil, dichlofluanid, and diuron),⁴⁰⁰ nitrogen/phosphorus pesticides,⁴⁰¹ pyrethroid moth proofing agents,⁴⁰² mecoprop,⁴⁰³ thiazopyr,⁴⁰⁴ and dinocap.⁴⁰⁵ One of these methods utilized IC for preconcentration, which provided enrichment factors of 4000 for triazine herbicides.³⁸² Another paper involved the monitoring of 686 private rural drinking water wells in Iowa for atrazine and used immunoassay screening with GC/MS analysis.³⁸³ A paper by Tronczynski et al. reported the sampling of

Rhone River water (France) for chlorotriazines and their degradation products.³⁸⁴ A study of the pesticide toxaphene measured its historical input into Lake Ontario through the analysis of sediment cores with EC-GC/MS. Results showed that toxaphene started appearing in about 1945 and maximized in about 1973.³⁸⁶ Two other papers on toxaphene studied potential sources of the pesticide in Lake Superior and Northern Lake Michigan³⁸⁷ and reported the development of an automated toxaphene quantitation program.³⁸⁸ Swackhamer et al. measured toxaphene in water, sediments, and biota of the Great Lakes.³⁹¹ Results showed that Lake Superior contained the highest levels of toxaphene, which is contrary to the pattern seen for other pollutants. It was also demonstrated that toxaphene biomagnifies in the food-web. A study of nitrogen/phosphorus pesticides in the Czech and German part of the River Elbe revealed a substantial decrease in pesticide pollution from 1989 to 1994, with the major compounds identified being dimethoate, parathion-methyl, atrazine, and simazine (at $\mu\text{g/L}$ levels).⁴⁰¹

GC/MS has also been used to study adsorption equilibria and degradation of pesticides—either naturally or with the assistance of photocatalysts. Ramos et al. reported the use of LC microextraction with GC/MS analysis to study the partition equilibria of pesticides between soil and water.⁴⁰⁶ The natural environmental degradation of several pesticides has been studied. These include the degradation of atrazine, linuron, and fenitrothion in soil;⁴⁰⁷ alachlor in water;⁴⁰⁸ fenitrothion in estuarine waters⁴⁰⁹ or distilled water;⁴¹⁰ chlorothalonil in groundwater;⁴¹¹ and ethiofencarb in water.⁴¹² Degradation studies involving the use of photocatalysis (with TiO_2 , H_2O_2 , FeCl_3 , or FeCl_3) have also been conducted for alachlor,⁴¹³ atrazine,⁴¹⁴ desethylatrazine,⁴¹⁴ chlorotriazines,⁴¹⁵ sulfonylurea herbicides,⁴¹⁶ chloramben,⁴¹⁷ and carbaryl.⁴¹⁸

3. PAHs and PCBs

PAHs and PCBs continue to be pollutants of interest in environmental studies. GC/MS methods are mostly used, but newer LC/MS methods have been introduced, along with some innovative approaches. Two particularly innovative approaches involved the use of immobilized copper-IC/APCI-MS⁴¹⁹ and capillary column-SFC-MS with APCI.⁴²⁰ Using the IC-MS method, the copper-coated column was used to separate portions of PAH extracts into a number of fractions, each containing a homologous series of different PAH classes.⁴¹⁹ Using the SFC-MS method, a complex mixture of PAHs was investigated, and a detection limit of 40 pg was obtained for chrysene.⁴²⁰ Brincourt et al. published a method using Rydberg electron capture-MS to measure environmental contaminants, including PAHs, PCBs, and one dioxin.⁴²¹ Dale et al. reported a two-step laser-MS method for analyzing PAHs in contaminated soils.⁴²²

Anacleto et al. evaluated three LC/MS interfaces for analyzing complex mixtures of PAHs—moving belt, particle beam, and APCI.⁴²³ In this evaluation, the moving belt interface was found to be too me-

chanically awkward and limited the use of different mobile phases. The particle beam interface provided useful EI spectra, but detection limits were poor (low ng range) and calibration curves nonlinear. The APCI interface produced both molecular ions (M^+) and protonated molecular ions ($M + H^+$) and provided detection limits in the low pg range with linear calibration curves.⁴²³ Using APCI-MS, 17 target PAHs could be detected and quantified in a complex coal tar reference material, whereas PB-MS only detected 7 of the 17 PAHs.⁴²³ Thomas et al. used LC-APCI-MS/MS to determine polycyclic aromatic sulfur heterocycles in sediment samples,⁴²⁴ and Pace and Betowski used PB-LC/MS to measure high molecular weight PAHs.⁴²⁵ In the latter study, detection limits were found to range from 0.15 to 0.60 ng for PAHs with molecular weights up to 352 and from 2 to 4 ng for PAHs with molecular weights greater than 352. Linear calibration curves were obtained for PAHs with molecular weights of 300–352, and curves were nonlinear for PAHs of higher molecular weight.⁴²⁵

Pyle et al. reported the analysis of PAHs with GC/ion-trap-tandem mass spectrometry.⁴²⁶ The collisionally induced dissociation (CID) spectra obtained showed differences in the relative abundances of progeny ions of isomers, which made the identification of specific isomers possible—an advantage over traditional EI-MS.⁴²⁶ On-line microwave extraction with GC/MS was evaluated for analyzing PAHs in sediment samples,⁴²⁷ and GC/MS was used for the compound-specific analysis of alkylated and parent PAHs in water, sediment, and aquatic organisms.⁴²⁸ Potter and Pawliszyn used SPME-GC/MS to rapidly determine PAHs and PCBs in water, with detection limits exceeding the regulatory requirements of EPA Method 525.⁴²⁹ Using GC/MS, Bodzek et al. reported the identification of nitro-PAHs in sewage sludge in an industrialized region of Poland.⁴³⁰ Bernstein et al. used IR and GC/MS to study the photolysis products of PAHs in ice; alcohols, quinones, and ethers were found to be the primary products formed.⁴³¹ Disdier et al. used GC/MS to identify monocyclic and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons formed in thermolyzed waste products,⁴³² and Spitzer and Takeuchi reported advances made in determining benzanthrone and other polycyclic aromatic ketones in environmental samples.⁴³³ Berset and Holzer reported an SFE-GC/MS method for quantifying PAHs, PCBs, and organochlorine pesticides in sewage sludge.⁴³⁴

PCBs have also been analyzed using multidimensional GC/MS with NCI detection,⁴³⁵ an in-line catalytic derivatization method with GC/laser-based resonance-enhanced multiphoton (REMPI)-TOF-MS,⁴³⁶ and electrothermal vaporization-ICP-MS.⁴³⁷ The multidimensional GC/MS method permitted the complete separation of coplanar PCB congeners in environmental and biological samples, which simplified the amount of sample pretreatment required.⁴³⁵ The REMPI-TOF-MS method selectively detected PCB isomers,⁴³⁶ and the ICP-MS method allowed organic and inorganic chlorine to be differentiated.⁴³⁷ High-resolution EI-MS was used in two methods. One combined the use of fast chromatography and two-dimensional GC with high-resolution MS to measure

PCBs, PCDDs, and PCDFs.⁴³⁸ The other method used GC/high-resolution MS to measure polychlorinated naphthalenes in groundwater.⁴³⁹

GC/EI-MS and GC/NCI-MS methods were compared for measuring PCBs in soils⁴³⁹ or sewage sludge.⁴⁴¹ Another paper compared GC/MS to GC/electron capture detection (ECD) and GC/AED for determining PCBs in highly contaminated marine sediments.⁴⁴² This comparison revealed that GC/MS (both low and high resolution) and GC/ECD measurements suffered from interferences from the complex matrix, whereas GC/AED in the chlorine-selective mode provided good PCB profiles without interferences.⁴⁴² Two papers reported the use of microwave-assisted extraction with GC/MS for measuring PCBs in soil⁴⁴³ or sewage sludge.⁴⁴⁴ Sielex and Andersson developed a method for separating polychlorinated dibenzothiophenes from PCDDs and PCDFs using low-resolution MS,⁴⁴⁵ and Werther et al. developed chemometric tools for deconvoluting GC/MS peaks of PCBs.⁴⁴⁶ Finally, GC/MS response factors^{447,448} and EI mass spectra⁴⁴⁷ were published for all 209 PCB congeners.

4. Dioxin

Dioxin measurements in waters and soil will be detailed here; dioxin measurements in biological samples can be found in the later section on Biological Samples. Dejong and Liem published a review of the use of GC/MS for ultra-trace detection of PCDDs and PCDFs in environmental and biological samples.⁴⁴⁹ Plomley et al. developed a rapid screening technique for tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxins (TCDDs) in complex environmental samples using GC/MS/MS with an ion-trap mass spectrometer.⁴⁵⁰ With this method, TCDDs could be detected at 500 fg/ μ L in extracts from fish, air, and soil. Sandell et al. used an activated carbon column as a rapid cleanup method prior to GC/high-resolution-MS for measuring PCDDs and PCDFs in soil and sediment; this method produced extraction efficiencies $\geq 98\%$.⁴⁵¹ Wunderli et al. used GC/high-resolution-MS to determine PCDDs and PCDFs in solid residues from wood combustion,⁴⁵² and van Bavel reported results from an international intercalibration of PCDDs, PCDFs, and PCBs in fly ash extracts.⁴⁵³ Steinwandter reported a fast micromethod for determining 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-heptachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin and octachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin in sewage sludge,⁴⁵⁴ and Marbury et al. discussed the development and applications of an MS/MS method for quantifying PCDDs and PCDFs.⁴⁵⁵ Charles et al. presented an appraisal of MS/MS analyses of PCDDs and PCDFs using new data on collision energy.⁴⁵⁶ This evaluation revealed that in the absence of interferences, MS/MS and high-resolution MS provided similar data for these compounds. Takasuga et al. presented experiences with mass peak profile monitoring of dioxins.⁴⁵⁷ Finally, Umlauf et al. presented an extensive study of recent dioxin levels (1994–1995) in Seveso, Italy, where the largest accidental exposure of dioxin took place in 1976.⁴⁵⁸ GC/high-resolution-MS was used to measure dioxin in soil, plants, and earthworms in the area. An interesting finding was that the highest

concentrations of dioxin were found not at the sites of maximal concentration in 1976 but northwest of that area, where there were initially lower concentrations after the accident. It was not clear whether this was due to insufficient remediation or other reasons.

5. VOCs

Traditionally, VOCs have been measured by headspace GC/MS and purge-and-trap-GC/MS. Recently, several MIMS applications have also been reported. Ojala et al. compared MIMS to purge-and-trap-GC/MS and static-headspace GC for measuring VOCs (toluene, benzene, trichloroethene, and volatile sulfur-containing compounds).⁴⁵⁹ Both MIMS and purge-and-trap-GC/MS were found to have detection limits $<1 \mu\text{g/L}$, whereas headspace GC detection limits were in the low $\mu\text{g/L}$ range.⁴⁵⁹ MIMS has been used to directly measure VOCs in water,^{460–465} drinking water,⁴⁶⁶ and seawater.⁴⁶⁷ The combination of MIMS with mass-selective ion storage (using an ion-trap-MS) was found to enhance sensitivity so that ppq levels of some VOCs could be measured directly in aqueous solution.⁴⁶⁰ Detection limits of VOCs in seawater were in the ppt range, with detection limits of 300 ppt for chlorobenzene and 190 ppt for *trans*-1,2-dichloroethene.⁴⁶⁷ MIMS has also been used to study the photocatalytic degradation of phenol and trichloroethylene in a continuous manner.⁴⁶⁸ Purge-and-membrane MS was used in a few studies to measure VOCs in water^{469,470} and soil.^{470–472} This procedure involves the purging of VOCs from a water or soil sample with an inert gas and directing the stream through a membrane into the mass spectrometer for analysis. It offers an advantage in that complex samples, such as soils and sludge, can be directly analyzed.⁴⁶⁹

GC with direct aqueous injection (DAI)-MS has also been used to analyze VOCs in water.^{473,474} In one of these studies, 24 VOCs could be separated and analyzed in 12 min, with detection limits of 20 ppb and no preconcentration.⁴⁷³ Schweigkofler and Niessner reported a GC/MS/atomic emission spectroscopy (AES) method for determining siloxanes and VOCs in landfill gas and sewage gas.⁴⁷⁵ Pawliszyn et al. developed the use of SPME for concentrating analytes without the use of a solvent⁴⁷⁶ and described the detection of substituted benzenes in water at pg/mL levels using SPME with GC/ion-trap-MS.⁴⁷⁷ Two papers reported the development of SPME-GC/MS methods for determining VOCs in wastewater and sewage-polluted water⁴⁷⁸ and drinking water/surface water.⁴⁷⁹ The latter method reported the analysis of 55 VOCs with concentrations as low as 0.05 ppb detected.⁴⁷⁹ Buszka et al. determined ng/L levels of VOCs in water using GC/selected ion monitoring-MS to define groundwater flow directions in a Texas aquifer.⁴⁸⁰ GC/MS has been used to determine VOCs in plant leaves,^{481,482} and purge-and-trap-GC/MS was used to measure VOCs from a hydrothermal site in the Gulf of California.⁴⁸³

6. Textile Dyes

Textile dyes have been an environmental concern for several years. Millions of pounds of dyes are used

each year by the textile industry, and it is estimated that approximately 15% of the total dye is lost in the manufacturing and application process, with most being discharged in the textile mill effluents. Sulfonated azo dyes make up a significant portion of the textile dyes used, and there is information that both the intact azo dye molecule and the aromatic amine metabolites cause cancer in laboratory animals. With the advent of FAB-MS in the early 1980s, nonvolatile sulfonated azo dyes could be measured intact for the first time. Monaghan and co-authors published the first FAB-MS analyses of sulfonated azo dyes,^{484–486} and soon other reports followed.^{487,488} Later, studies using liquid SIMS-MS/MS for analyzing sulfonated azo dyes, reactive dyes, and cationic dyes were published.^{489–492} Liquid SIMS is a technique identical to FAB except that fast ions (usually cesium) are used to bombard the sample instead of fast atoms (usually argon), and detection limits are generally a little better than with FAB. Because FAB-MS and liquid SIMS generally form only molecular ions, the use of tandem mass spectrometry has been important for obtaining structural information for these dyes. Continuous-flow FAB-MS, which was developed later, allowed samples to be analyzed continuously, without having to replenish a sample on a traditional static probe.⁴⁹³ Although newer LC/MS methods have been developed, some groups are still using FAB-MS for analyzing sulfonated azo dyes. One recent study involved the monitoring of river water that was polluted with dye wastes.⁴⁹⁴

Thermospray, particle beam, APCI, and electrospray LC/MS^{495,496} and LC/MS/MS^{497–499} have also been used for the analysis of sulfonated azo dyes. In 1992, Straub et al. evaluated thermospray-, particle beam-, and electrospray-LC/MS for analyzing and characterizing 14 commercial azo and diazo dyes.⁵⁰⁰ LC/ESI-MS/MS has also been used for determining Remazol textile dyes in effluents⁵⁰¹ and determining the behavior of dyes in wastewater treatment processes.⁵⁰² Rafols and Barcelo used LC/APCI-MS to determine disulfonated azo dyes,⁵⁰³ and LC/ESI-MS has been used to trace polar benzene- and naphthalene-sulfonates in treatment works⁵⁰⁴ and in industrial effluents.⁵⁰⁵ CE/MS has been used to study the photodegradation of textile dyes⁵⁰⁶ and to measure textile dyes in groundwater and industrial effluents.^{507,508} Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance (FT-ICR)-MS has been used to determine 3,3'-dichlorobenzidine and its degradation products.⁵⁰⁹ The aromatic amines that originate from azo dyes have been determined by chemical reduction combined with LC/MS⁵¹⁰ or GC/MS.⁵¹¹ GC/MS has also been used with SPME to determine azo dyes⁵¹² or with SPE to determine naphthalenesulfonates.⁵¹³

7. Surfactants

Like textile dyes, surfactants are generally non-volatile (and many surfactants are ionic), causing them to be difficult to analyze until techniques such as FAB-MS and LC/MS techniques were developed. Millions of tons of surfactants are used every year, mostly through the use of household detergents. Many surfactants in use now, such as linear alkyl-

benzene sulfonates (LAS), are biodegradable and can be removed in municipal wastewater treatment plants.⁵¹⁴ There is also renewed interest in nonylphenol polyethoxylates and their metabolites, due to their weakly estrogenic properties. Four reviews have been published on mass spectrometry methods and determinations of surfactants.^{515–518} Reemtsma reviewed methods of analysis for polar aromatic sulfonates (including LAS) in aquatic environments.⁵¹⁵ In 1998, DiCorcia reviewed LC/MS methods for characterizing surfactants and their biointermediates.⁵¹⁶ Marcomini and Zanette presented chromatographic procedures available for determining alcohol polyethoxylates in environmental samples.⁵¹⁷ Cserhati and Forgacs reviewed chromatographic techniques for the separation and quantitation of nonionic surfactants used as pesticide additives.⁵¹⁸

Ventura et al. published one of the earlier methods using FAB-MS and FAB-MS/MS for measuring different classes of industrial surfactants in raw and drinking water extracts.⁵¹⁹ This method permitted the measurement of anionic, nonionic, cationic, and amphoteric surfactants. Desorption chemical ionization (DCI)-MS was another earlier technique used for determining nonionic surfactants.⁵²⁰ Later, LC/MS and LC/MS/MS techniques were used to measure linear alcohol ethoxylates,^{521–526} alkyl ethoxysulfates,^{527,528} linear alkyl benzenesulfonates (LAS),^{529–531} and cationic ammonium surfactants.⁵³² Using LC/MS, alkylphenol ethoxylates have been detected in river water in the United Kingdom at levels of 5.6 $\mu\text{g/L}$,⁵²¹ in drinking water samples at ppt levels,⁵²³ in tannery wastewaters at levels of 0.03–3.0 mg/L,⁵²⁴ and in marine sediments.⁵²⁵ LC/MS was used to investigate the fate of linear alkyl benzenesulfonates and their coproducts in controlled laboratory degradation studies⁵²⁸ and in natural marine water.⁵³¹ In the latter study, it was found that the biodegradation of LAS is a slow process in a marine environment that is deficient in oxygen and highly contaminated with other organic substrates.⁵³¹

One paper has reported the use of CE/ESI-MS for measuring alkyl sulfonates,⁵³³ and recently, MALDI-TOF-MS has been used to measure nonylphenol ethoxylates, with detection limits in the $\mu\text{g/L}$ range.^{534,535} Both ESI-MS and MALDI-TOF-MS techniques offer an advantage over GC/MS techniques in that higher molecular weight surfactants (with 10–20 ethoxylate units) can be measured. GC/MS has also been used in some studies to measure surfactants—mostly through derivatization. Field et al. developed a method for analyzing secondary alkane sulfonates and linear alkylbenzene sulfonates in sewage wastewaters using SPE and injection-port derivatization-GC/MS.⁵³⁶ This method required no sample cleanup steps and permitted recoveries of >92% from raw sewage and >98% and >85% from primary and secondary sewage effluents, respectively. Ding and Chen analyzed LAS in water samples using large-volume injection-port derivatization and GC/MS.⁵³⁷ This method produced reproducible results and detection limits of 0.1 $\mu\text{g/L}$ for 200-mL water samples. Ion-pair chromatography was used with GC/MS to determine aromatic sulfonic acids in industrial

wastewater,⁵³⁸ and anion exchange-SPE was used with GC/CI-MS to determine nonylphenol polyethoxylate metabolites in paper mill effluents, municipal sewage treatment plant effluents, and river water.⁵³⁹ Finally, Lee and Peart used GC/MS to measure 4-nonylphenol in effluents and sludge from sewage treatment plants; samples collected from the Toronto area showed concentrations from <1 to 30 $\mu\text{g/L}$ in the effluents and >100 $\mu\text{g/g}$ in sludge.⁵⁴⁰

8. Disinfection Byproducts

As discussed earlier in the Historical Perspective section, drinking water disinfection byproducts (DBPs) were one of the earliest chemicals of concern in the environment. DBPs are formed when a disinfectant, such as chlorine or ozone, reacts with natural organic matter present in the water. There is concern over DBPs because several have been shown to cause cancer in laboratory animals. There is also renewed concern due to results of recent epidemiological studies that have linked DBPs to adverse reproductive effects, such as significant increases in early miscarriage. Although there are justifiable concerns about the risks of DBPs, the benefits gained by disinfecting drinking water should not be forgotten. Until the early 1900s, waterborne diseases, such as cholera and typhoid, caused death on a significant scale in industrialized nations. When chlorine was introduced with filtration for disinfecting drinking water, the incidence of waterborne diseases dramatically declined. Thus, removing microorganisms remains a primary concern. At the same time, however, the chemical byproducts produced from chlorine and other disinfectants are also of significant concern.

Richardson published a review of drinking water DBPs in 1998 which summarized all of the known DBPs from the commonly used disinfectants, discussed analytical methods used to identify them, and discussed any known health effects.⁵⁴¹ Glaze and Weinberg published a report in 1993 on the identification and occurrence of ozonation byproducts in drinking water.⁵⁴² Weinberg also published a recent article detailing the analytical challenge in identifying DBPs in drinking water, particularly for polar and nonvolatile DBPs.⁵⁴³ In this article, Weinberg explains how only a portion of the total organic halide (TOX) in chlorinated drinking water has been identified and seeks input from the greater analytical community to identify ways to uncover the DBPs that are being missed.

Drinking water DBP studies generally focus on one of four areas: (1) identification of new DBPs that have not been previously reported, (2) identification of a targeted DBPs, such as those that are regulated, (3) identification of DBPs from the reaction of natural organic matter or model compounds with disinfectants, and (4) development of new methods for measuring particular DBPs. Mass spectrometry has been a key tool for all of this work. Several early studies are mentioned in the Historical Perspectives section. More recent papers include those that have looked at several disinfectants for treating drinking water.^{544–546} Krasner et al. reported the occurrence of DBPs in U.S. drinking water in a 1989 study

involving the targeted analysis of DBPs from chlorine, ozone, and chloramine.⁵⁴⁴ This study used GC/MS and GC/ECD. Richardson et al. published a recent study that identified several new ozone, chlorine, and chloramine DBPs and also DBPs formed by the combination of ozone and secondary chlorination or chloramination.⁵⁴⁵ GC/IR and GC/MS (with high- and low-resolution EI and CI) and derivatization with PFBHA or BF₃/methanol were used to identify these new byproducts. A separate paper studied the effect of elevated bromide levels on DBPs produced by the same disinfectants.⁵⁴⁶ In this study, an entire family of bromo- and mixed bromochloropropanones were found to be formed by chlorine and chloramine. DBPs from chlorine have also been the focus of other studies.^{547, 548} One of these studies involved the GC/MS identification of chlorination DBPs from 10 drinking water treatment plants.⁵⁴⁸

Mass spectrometry has also played a key role in the identification of a DBP called 'MX' [3-chloro-4-(dichloromethyl)-5-hydroxy-2(5*H*)-furanone]. This compound was found to be responsible for 20–50% of the total mutagenicity of chlorinated drinking water, making it the most mutagenic DBP ever identified in drinking water. It was termed 'Mutagen X' before its complete structure was solved. A recent study has shown that MX is not only mutagenic but also causes cancer in laboratory animals.⁵⁴⁹ MX was first identified in pulp mill effluent,⁵⁵⁰ and later it was found to be a byproduct of the chlorination of humic material^{551–557} and a chlorination byproduct in several drinking water samples taken from Finland,^{551,558} Great Britain,⁵⁵⁹ the United States,⁵⁵² and Spain.⁵⁶⁰ MX has also been found to be formed by monochloramine.⁵⁶¹ In 1992, Charles et al. developed a new high-resolution MS method for determining MX in water at ppt (ng/L) detection limits.⁵⁶² In 1995, mass spectrometry was used to identify brominated forms of MX that are formed in chlorinated drinking water when natural levels of bromide are present.⁵⁶³

Several studies of ozone DBPs have also utilized mass spectrometry. In 1989, Glaze et al. reported the improvement of an aqueous-phase PFBHA derivatization method that enabled the extraction and identification of polar carbonyl DBPs, such as formaldehyde.⁵⁶⁴ Glaze et al. later reported the use of PFBHA derivatization, closed-loop stripping analysis, and nonionic resin accumulation with GC/MS for identifying new ozone DBPs.⁵⁶⁵ Other studies followed,⁵⁶⁶ including those that focused on the formation of brominated DBPs when water containing elevated levels of bromide was ozonated.^{567–569} Coleman et al. used GC/MS with EI and CI to identify DBPs from ozonation/post-chlorination of drinking water,⁵⁷⁰ and LeLacheur et al. used GC with EI-MS and positive- and negative-ion CI-MS to identify carbonyl ozone DBPs.⁵⁷¹ In 1997, Weinberg and Glaze presented a unified approach for analyzing polar organic DBPs from ozone.⁵⁷² This approach involves the simultaneous recovery and preconcentration of mono- and multifunctional carbonyl-containing species from a single aqueous sample. Recently, LC/ESI-MS has also been applied to identify ozone DBPs in drinking water.⁵⁷³

Relatively few studies of chlorine dioxide DBPs have been conducted using mass spectrometry. Colclough et al. conducted the first comprehensive analysis of organic DBPs from chlorine dioxide using GC/MS with EI and CI.⁵⁷⁴ Richardson et al. used a combination of GC/MS techniques (low- and high-resolution EI and CI) and GC/IR to identify several new DBPs from chlorine dioxide and also from chlorine dioxide/post-chlorination.⁵⁷⁵ GC/MS and GC/IR have also been used to identify DBPs from an experimental TiO₂/UV treatment of drinking water and TiO₂/UV followed by secondary chlorination.⁵⁷⁶ This experimental treatment has been used for wastewater and has potential for drinking water. UV disinfection has been shown to kill microorganisms, and TiO₂ is used as a photocatalyst to degrade pollutants, such as pesticides, that are present in the raw drinking water, as well as potentially degrade DBPs as they are formed. In this study, only a single DBP was detected—a diketone—from TiO₂/UV treatment with several chlorinated DBPs formed after post-treatment with chlorine.

Controlled laboratory studies of the reaction of disinfectants with humic material or with model compounds has helped in the understanding of mechanisms involved in the formation of DBPs. Carlson and Lin used GC/MS to characterize products from the reaction of hydroxybenzoic acid and hydroxycinnamic acids (as model compounds) with chlorine, chlorine dioxide, and chloramine.⁵⁷⁷ In this study, chlorine dioxide was found to efficiently convert *p*-hydroxybenzoic acids to *p*-benzoquinones; when chloramine was used with chlorine, it was found to contribute to the formation of chlorohydroxybenzoic acids, whereas use of chlorine alone would lead to further oxidation. Therefore, chloramine appeared to mediate and limit reactions of chlorine. Many studies have used GC/MS to study the reaction of chlorine with humic acid,^{578–583} fulvic acid,⁵⁸⁴ or amino acids.^{585–587} In one of these studies, Coleman et al. found that nine types of halogenated DBPs found from the reaction of chlorine with humic acid accounted for about 25% of the total organic halogen (TOX) formed.⁵⁷⁸ These compounds included trihalomethanes, -acetic acids, -acetonitriles, -propanones, -propenals, -propenenitriles, -propenes, -phenols, and -thiophenes. The similarity of these compounds to those previously identified in drinking water suggested that the reaction of chlorine with natural aquatic humic material is a likely source of mutagen formation in drinking water.⁵⁷⁸ In another study by Christman et al., the reaction of chlorine with isolated humic acid was studied and products identified with GC/MS.⁵⁸¹ The main classes of products formed were non-chlorinated substituted aromatics, non-chlorinated straight-chain acids, and chlorinated straight-chain acids. The most abundant compounds identified were found to be dichloroacetic acid, trichloroacetic acid, and 2-chloropropionic acid. Because the haloacids have also been found in reactions of the model compounds, resorcinol and 3-methoxy-4-hydroxycinnamic acid, it was postulated that the reaction mechanism involved a ring rupture of activated

aromatic structures, such as vanillic and syringic acid.

GC/MS has also been used to study the reaction of ozone with humic acid,⁵⁸⁸ fulvic acid,^{589–591} or amino acids.^{592,593} In one of these studies, Killops found that carboxyl- and carbonyl-containing compounds were produced from the reaction of ozone with humic and fulvic acids.⁵⁸⁸ Anderson et al. also observed the formation of carboxylic acids from the reaction of ozone with fulvic acid,⁵⁸⁹ and Lawrence observed the formation of carboxylic acids and cyclic ketones. LeLacheur and Glaze studied the formation of byproducts from the reaction of ozone or hydroxyl radicals with serine.⁵⁹³ They found that reactions of ozone with serine under radical-scavenging conditions (O_3 reaction route) led to the formation of carbonyl and carboxylic acid byproducts, whereas radical-promoting conditions (OH^\cdot reaction route) led to the formation of hydroxyl, carbonyl, and carboxylic acid byproducts.⁵⁹³ In a later study, Hureiki et al. studied the reaction of ozone with several amino acids and found that the molecular ozone reaction pathway (O_3) favored the formation of aldehydes, such as formaldehyde, acetaldehyde, glyoxal, and glyoxal derivatives, and that the amino acid side chains appeared to be the main sites responsible for the high ozone reactivity with polypeptides.⁵⁹² The reaction of monochloramine with fulvic acid⁵⁹⁴ and resorcinol (as a model compound)⁵⁹⁵ have also been studied with GC/MS.

Recent methods that have been developed to analyze DBPs in water include a new ESI-high-field asymmetric waveform ion mobility spectrometry (FAIMS)-MS method.⁵⁹⁶ This FAIMS instrument is an ion filter that can be tuned to continuously transmit selected ions from a complex mixture. The ESI-FAIMS-MS method developed allowed the measurement of six haloacetic acids at detection limits ranging from 0.5 to 4 $\mu\text{g/L}$ and offered a significant reduction in the chemical background.⁵⁹⁶ SPE-GC/MS⁵⁹⁷ and SPME-GC-ion-trap-MS⁵⁹⁸ methods have also been developed for determining haloacetic acids in drinking water. Detection limits for the SPME method were reported to be 10–200 ng/L.⁵⁹⁸ Merkel et al. also recently reported an SPME-GC/MS method for determining drinking water DBPs,⁵⁹⁹ and Prakash et al. reported the performance of a purge-and-trap-GC/ion-trap-MS method for the routine analysis of cyanogen chloride in several hundred drinking water samples.⁶⁰⁰ The latter method was used to analyze drinking water samples collected as part of an 18-month national survey associated with the Information Collection Rule and produced detection limits of 0.02 $\mu\text{g/L}$ with a linear dynamic range of 0.1–20 $\mu\text{g/L}$.⁶⁰⁰

9. Taste and Odor Compounds

GC/MS methods have been published for measuring geosmin and 2-methylisoborneol (MIB), which are metabolites of biological organisms (actinomycetes, blue-green algae) that are often responsible for earthy-musty odors in drinking water.^{601–603} One of these methods utilized microextraction with hexane and analysis with GC/ion-trap-MS and measured a

number of odor-causing organic compounds in addition to geosmin and MIB.⁶⁰¹ Another method used isotope dilution high-resolution MS for measuring geosmin and MIB,⁶⁰² and the third method used SPME with GC/MS, which involved shorter analysis times but comparable sensitivity to closed-loop stripping analyses (CLSA).⁶⁰³ Khiari et al. used sensory GC with MS to identify chemicals responsible for septic and decaying vegetation odors in natural waters and reference samples.⁶⁰⁴ In this study, dimethyl disulfide, dimethyl trisulfide, and 2-isobutyl-3-methoxypyrazine were found to be the principal contributors to decaying vegetation odors whereas dimethyl trisulfide and indole were strongly associated with septic odors.⁶⁰⁴ Kenefick et al. used GC/MS with CLSA to analyze odor-causing compounds in the Athabasca River in Alberta, Canada, and found that compounds from a bleached kraft mill effluent were detectable 950 km downstream and were responsible for the odors.⁶⁰⁵ Brownlee et al. used olfactory GC with GC/MS to identify a variety of sulfury odors in extracts of bleached kraft pulp mill effluent; compounds that were identified include dimethyl disulfide, dimethyl trisulfide, 3-methylthiophene, and thioanisole.⁶⁰⁶ Romero et al. studied odor-causing compounds in river waters and groundwaters in Spain, using CLSA, flavor profile analysis, and purge-and-trap with CI-MS and EI-MS/MS.⁶⁰⁷ Compounds that were found to be the most significant in river water and groundwater were 2-alkyl-5,5-dimethyl-1,3-dioxanes and 2-alkyl-4-methyl-1,3-dioxolanes, with a threshold odor of 10 ng/L for 2-ethyl-5,5-dimethyl-1,3-dioxane, the most malodorous compounds. These byproducts were found to originate from a company that manufactures resins, and discharge of these dioxanes and dioxolanes into the river was found to impact the aquifer.⁶⁰⁷ Hassett and Rohwer reported the analysis of odorous compounds in water using closed-loop stripping with a multichannel silicone rubber trap followed by GC/MS.⁶⁰⁸ This technique allowed absorbed compounds to be directly thermally desorbed from the rubber trap, eliminating the solvent extraction step that is used with CLSA analyses.

10. Algal and Bacterial Toxins

Algal and bacterial toxins have been responsible for large fish kills, the poisoning of shellfish, and illness of swimmers, forcing the temporary closing of many beaches used for recreation. 'Red tide' algae are the better known of these toxin-producing organisms. Mass spectrometry has been an important tool in identifying these hazardous compounds. Siren et al. reported methods using CE and micellar electrokinetic chromatography with ESI-MS/MS for identifying cyanobacterial hepatotoxins in water.⁶⁰⁹ Taki-no et al. used on-line derivatization with LC/ESI-MS for identifying anatoxin-a, which is produced by cyanobacterial blooms in freshwaters.⁶¹⁰ Derivatization was carried out with fluorenyl methylchloroformate; recoveries were found to be 76%, with detection limits of 2.1 ng/L. Sherlock et al. reported the use of a similar method involving derivatization of microcystins with 2-aminoethanethiol and analysis with ESI-MS.⁶¹¹ Using this method, the authors reported

the first identification of microcystins in Irish lakes. Kaya and Sano used GC/CI-MS to determine microcystins in water,⁶¹² and Dembitsky et al. used serially coupled capillary columns with consecutive nonpolar and semipolar stationary phases to separate and identify hydrocarbons and other VOCs from blue-green algae.⁶¹³ Using this procedure, more than 130 metabolites were identified, with the most abundant family of hydrocarbons being derivatives of cyclohexane and cyclopentane. Pleasance et al. compared ion spray-MS methods to analyze paralytic shellfish poisoning toxins.⁶¹⁴ In this study, flow injection analysis (FIA), LC, and CE separation techniques were evaluated; tandem mass spectrometry was also used to obtain structural information on these toxins. Gallacher et al. used LC, CE/MS, and immunoassay techniques to determine paralytic shellfish toxins; evidence was obtained for their production by specific bacteria.⁶¹⁵ James et al. used LC/MS and LC/MS/MS to identify new pectenotoxin-2 analogues from marine phytoplankton and shellfish.⁶¹⁶ These toxins have been shown to be responsible for diarrhetic shellfish poisoning and were isolated from toxic marine phytoplankton in waters off the coast of Ireland. Hua et al. reported an on-line LC/ESI-MS method for separating and identifying brevetoxins that are associated with 'red tide' algae.⁶¹⁷ Brevetoxins, which have a polyether structure, are responsible for many fish kills and pose health risks to humans. Detection limits of this method were <600 fmol, 1 pmol, and 50 fmol, respectively, for the three brevetoxins analyzed PbTx-9, PbTx-2, and PbTx-1.

11. Other Organic Pollutants

This section covers the measurement of such compounds as pharmaceuticals, phenolic compounds, various chlorinated compounds, nitrosoamines, other amines, carboxylic acids, alcohols, phosphates, phthalates, chemical warfare agents, and petroleum products. A few recent studies have focused on the measurement of pharmaceutical compounds in environmental samples. Hartig et al. reported the detection and identification of sulfonamide drugs in municipal wastewater using LC/ESI-MS/MS.⁶¹⁸ In this study, 13 sulfonamide drugs were measured and sulfamethoxazole and sulfadiazine were found in concentrations ranging from 10 to 100 ng/L in primary and secondary municipal wastewater effluents. Hirsch et al. used LC/ESI-MS/MS to determine penicillins, tetracyclines, sulfonamides, and macrolid antibiotics down to the lower ng/L range.⁶¹⁹ Ternes et al. used LC/ESI-MS/MS and GC/MS to determine neutral drugs and betablockers in wastewater, river, and drinking water.⁶²⁰ Muller et al. studied the enantiomeric degradation of ibuprofen and the herbicide mecoprop in surface waters and sewage using chiral GC/MS.⁶²¹ Both enantiomers of ibuprofen were found to degrade efficiently in raw sewage, with the *S*-enantiomer degrading faster; the same effect was observed in lake water.⁶²¹ Huppert et al. developed a method using SPME with GC/MS to determine ibuprofen in wastewater.⁶²² Daughton and Ternes published a review in 1999 that discussed the environmental origin, distribution and occur-

rence, and effects of pharmaceuticals and personal care products in the environment.⁶²³

Phenolic compounds were the subject of several papers. Lafont et al. used CE/MS to quantify several phenolic compounds in olive mill wastewater with detection limits of 1–390 pg.⁶²⁴ Other papers reported the use of LC/ESI-MS for measuring phenolic compounds. Motoyama et al. used column-switching semi-microcolumn LC/ESI-MS to measure trace levels of bisphenol A and nonylphenol in river water.⁶²⁵ This method produced detection limits of 0.5 and 10 $\mu\text{g/L}$ for bisphenol A and nonylphenol, respectively. Puig et al. reported the ppt level determination of 19 priority phenols in water using on-line liquid/solid extraction followed by LC/APCI-MS.⁶²⁶ With this method, three compounds—phenol, methylphenol, and 2,4-dimethylphenol—could not be detected by APCI-MS unless a porous graphite carbon column was used with 100% methanol elution. Puig et al. compared three different LC/MS interfaces—TSP, APCI, and ion spray—for determining priority phenolic compounds in water.⁶²⁷ APCI offered an order of magnitude improvement in sensitivity, with detection limits ranging from 3 to 180 ng in full-scan mode and 0.001 to 0.085 ng with selected ion monitoring.⁶²⁷ Johnson et al. used LC/ESI-MS to identify the products and intermediates of the electrochemical incineration of 4-chlorophenol.⁶²⁸ Alimpiev et al. reported a laser ionization-TOF-MS procedure for analyzing phenol in tap water; this method allowed detection down to 1 $\mu\text{g/L}$.⁶²⁹

Phenolic compounds have also been measured by a variety of GC/MS procedures. Bolz et al. developed an SPE-GC/MS method for determining phenolic xenoestrogens in surface water and sewage.⁶³⁰ Cheung and Wells used SPE-GC/MS with direct on-column benzylolation and negative-ion CI-MS to analyze eight phenolic compounds in sewage effluents.⁶³¹ This method utilized a nonionic polystyrene divinylbenzene polymeric sorbent, which was superior to graphitized carbon black, and allowed detection limits at ppt levels. Crespin et al. used GC/MS in the EI and NCI modes to discriminate the structural isomers of chlorinated phenols in water.⁶³² Baltussen et al. reported a thermal desorption-GC/MS method for determining phenols in water; derivatization with acetic anhydride was used, and detection limits of 1–5 ng/L were obtained.⁶³³ Geissler and Scholer reported a GC/MS method for determining phenol, methylphenols, chlorophenols, nitrophenols, and nitroquinones in water at 0.1 $\mu\text{g/L}$ levels.⁶³⁴ This method used continuous liquid–liquid extraction, derivatization with diazomethane or trimethylsulfonium hydroxide, and analysis by GC/MS. Finally, Zapf and Stan reported a GC/MS method using on-line methylation with trimethylsulfonium hydroxide and large-volume injection for analyzing organic acids and phenols in water.⁶³⁵ Using this procedure, carboxylic acids and phenols could be detected in 5 mL of tap water at concentrations of 0.04–0.1 $\mu\text{mol/L}$ in the full-scan mode.

Chlorinated compounds were also the focus of several papers. Sinkkonen published a review of the environmental analysis of chlorinated aromatic thio-

ethers, sulfoxides, and sulfones.⁶³⁶ Polychlorinated dibenzothiophenes and diphenylsulfides have been found in pulp mill effluents and in waste combustion processes; chlorinated sulfoxides and sulfones have been found to be metabolites or oxidation products of different chlorinated aromatic compounds. SPE and LC/MS or LC with electrochemical detection was used to determine chlorobenzidines in industrial effluents.⁶³⁷ The difficulty in analyzing organic compounds in industrial effluents is the high total organic carbon (TOC) and particle content. In this study, several different polymeric solid-phase extraction cartridges were evaluated to extract chloroanilines and chlorobenzidines from industrial effluents ranging in TOC from 1 to 70 mg/L. Microwave-induced plasma-MS was evaluated as an element-selective detector for SFC for the determination of halogenated compounds; detection limits for 1-chloronaphthalene and 1-bromo-2-methylnaphthalene were found to be in the low pg range, with linear ranges over 3 orders of magnitude.⁶³⁸ Curie-point pyrolysis-GC/tandem MS was used to selectively determine macromolecular chlorolignosulfonic acids (MW > 1000) in river water and drinking water.⁶³⁹ This method was used for measuring chlorolignosulfonic acids in the River Rhine (Germany) and for studying the removal of these compounds in drinking water treatment. Trigg et al. used automated SPE with GC/MS for determining organochlorine compounds in sludge and sediment, with $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ detection limits,⁶⁴⁰ and Wang and Lee used SPE with GC/MS to determine chlorobenzenes in drinking water, river water, and groundwater at detection limits ranging from 0.01 to 0.042 $\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$.⁶⁴¹ SPME-GC/MS was used to determine chloroethers in river water, with 100 ng/L detection limits and high precision (2.5% RSD).⁶⁴²

Nitrosoamines have been the subject of new methods using mass spectrometry. Volmer et al. developed an LC/ESI-MS method, coupled on-line with a photolysis reactor, to measure several *N*-nitrosodialkylamines.⁶⁴³ Plomley et al. developed a GC/tandem mass spectrometry method using a quadrupole ion storage mass spectrometer to determine *N*-nitrosodimethylamine (NDMA) in complex environmental matrices.⁶⁴⁴ Using this method, concentrations were found to be comparable to those obtained by high-resolution MS, and interferences that can be problematic with GC/low-resolution-MS were not detected with this method. Taguchi et al. reported an isotope-dilution high-resolution-MS method for measuring NDMA in aqueous environmental samples.⁶⁴⁵ Using this procedure, NDMA could be detected as low as 1.0 ppt in water and a precision of 4% was obtained.

Different mass spectrometry techniques have been used to study amines. Zhao et al. developed CE and CE/ESI-MS methods for analyzing heterocyclic amines,⁶⁴⁶ and Sacher et al. developed GC/MS methods for determining aliphatic amines, based on the derivatization of the amines directly in water with 2,4-dinitrofluorobenzene or benzenesulfonyl chloride.⁶⁴⁷ The GC/MS methods were used to measure aliphatic amines in industrial wastewaters and to determine the rate of occurrence of these amines in the aquatic environment. Peters and Vanduijvenbode

developed a GC/MS method for analyzing pyridine in soil and water using a distillation-extraction procedure.⁶⁴⁸ Detection limits were found to be 0.01 mg/kg for soil samples and 0.2 $\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$ for water samples.

Methyl *tert*-butyl ether (MTBE) has become a pollutant of concern due to its use as an additive in gasoline, which has caused its transport throughout the hydrologic cycle.⁶⁴⁹ There have been documented impacts to surface and groundwaters, the latter from leaking underground storage tanks. MTBE is used as an oxygenated additive to meet Clean Air Act mandates for reduced emissions and as an octane booster, replacing alkyllead. However, when MTBE migrates into groundwater, there are aesthetic concerns because of low taste and odor thresholds and potential health impacts. Because of these concerns, there are moves to phase out the use of MTBE in gasoline; the U.S. EPA is now encouraging the use of ethanol in place of MTBE. As a result of recent interest in measuring MTBE in environmental samples, several analytical methods have been developed, including those using mass spectrometry. Lopez-Avila et al. used automated MIMS to directly analyze MTBE in water at low ppb levels with fast analysis times (5 min).⁶⁵⁰ Koester et al. developed a purge-and-trap GC/MS method that can detect MTBE at ppt levels in raw drinking waters.⁶⁵¹ This method was used to measure several raw drinking waters in California. No detectable MTBE was found in deep groundwater (>1000 ft), but more shallow groundwaters (<250 ft) contained MTBE at concentrations of nondetect to 1300 ppt. In rivers and lakes, MTBE ranged from nondetect to 3500 ppt, and East San Francisco Bay area rainwater contained approximately 80 ppt of MTBE.⁶⁵¹ Hong et al. used direct aqueous injection-GC/MS to analyze MTBE and its degradation products in water at mg/L detection limits.⁶⁵²

Several mass spectrometry methods were reported for determining carboxylic acids. CE/ESI-MS, IC/API-MS, LC/MS, MIMS, and GC/MS methods have been published. CE/ESI-MS allowed the determination of small carboxylic acids at 1–10 ppm with little or no sample preparation,⁶⁵³ IC/API-MS permitted the analysis of small carboxylic acids and other anions with low ng detection limits,⁶⁵⁴ LC/ESI-MS was used to identify carboxylic acids containing several carboxyl groups in water,⁶⁵⁵ and LC/APCI-MS was used to determine several aliphatic, alicyclic, and aromatic carboxylic acids in groundwater.⁶⁵⁶ Neitzel et al. reported a GC/MS method using in-situ methylation for the analysis of strongly polar organic acids in water. This method, which required no preconcentration step, was used to measure chlorinated acetic acids in the Elbe River.⁶⁵⁷ Methods for measuring ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) were also reported. One used boron trifluoride–methanol derivatization with GC/MS for measuring EDTA and nitrilotriacetic acid in river water and sediment at 4–12 $\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$.⁶⁵⁸ Another used a method that converts the EDTA to a nickel–EDTA chelate, followed by automated SPE extraction and CE/ion spray-MS/MS

analysis.⁶⁵⁹ Detection limits using the latter method were 0.15 $\mu\text{g/L}$ for a 5 mL water sample.

MIMS was used to determine ammonia, ethanol, and acetic acid in aqueous solution,⁶⁶⁰ and derivatization with hexyl chloroformate was used to determine ethylene glycol in aqueous matrices, with a 0.1 mg/L detection limit in tap water.⁶⁶¹ A GC/MS method, using derivatization with PFBBr on a polymeric phase-transfer catalyst, was developed for measuring dialkyl phosphates in aqueous samples.⁶⁶² Methods were also developed for phthalates using CI-MS/MS⁶⁶³ and GC/MS.^{664,665} One of these papers reported results from a sampling campaign for the determination of phthalates in freshwaters and sediments of rivers and lakes in central Italy, which showed a direct relation between phthalate levels and the input of urban or industrial treated wastewaters.⁶⁶⁴ Polystyrene was measured in polluted sediments using pyrolysis-GC/MS,⁶⁶⁶ terpenes were measured in water with MIMS,⁶⁶⁷ and organic contaminants were measured in water using SPME and GC/MS.⁶⁶⁸ In the latter study, 30 representative surface water samples were collected from rivers, lakes, and canals in Berlin, and synthetic musks were found at $\mu\text{g/L}$ levels.

Chemical warfare agents were also the subject of several papers. Positive- and negative-ion LC/APCI-MS was used to rapidly screen for hydrolysis products of chemical warfare agents in aqueous samples.⁶⁶⁹ In this study, negative-ion APCI provided selective detection of acidic analytes and allowed differentiation of alkyl alkylphosphonic acids from isomeric dialkyl alkylphosphonates. Limits of detection were in the range of 10–100 ng/mL. CE/ion spray-MS methods were developed to identify organophosphonic acids, which are the primary hydrolysis products of nerve agents.^{671,672} Nitroaromatics, which are explosives, and nitramines, which are degradation products of explosives, were measured using GC/MS after liquid–liquid extraction or SPE extraction⁶⁷² or LC/thermospray-MS/MS.⁶⁷³ The latter method was applied to the measurement of nitroaromatic explosives and their degradation products in unsaturated-zone water samples collected in the United States.

Characterization of petroleum products from contaminated sites and identifying their source through isotopic fingerprinting has been the focus of several papers. Measuring these types of compounds in water, soil, and sediment often can link hydrocarbon pollution to previous oil spills. In 1995, Whittaker et al. reviewed methods for characterizing petroleum hydrocarbons in contaminated soil and water.⁶⁷⁴ In 1993, Krahn et al. published a review of LC methods for screening aromatic compounds in sediment, bile, and tissue samples; this review included discussions of the role that LC and GC/MS chromatographic patterns play in determining the source of contamination (e.g., crude oil, diesel fuel, or pyrogenic contaminants).⁶⁷⁵ Xie et al. compared a new GC/MS method to EPA Method 418.1 and to a rapid field method for quantifying petroleum product contamination.⁶⁷⁶ Havenga and Rohwer developed a head-space SPME-GC/MS method for screening soil samples

polluted by coal tar or refined petroleum products.⁶⁷⁷ Reddy and Quinn developed a GC/MS method for measuring total petroleum hydrocarbons and PAHs in seawater samples after the North Cape Oil spill.⁶⁷⁸ In this study, more than 50 seawater samples were analyzed, and total petroleum hydrocarbon and PAH concentrations were as high as 3940 and 115 $\mu\text{g/L}$, respectively.

Isotope ratio-MS was used in several studies to determine the source of hydrocarbon pollution.^{679–686} In one of these studies, bitumen pollution along the coastlines of the Northern Territory in Australia was linked to oils originating from the Central Sumatra Basin.⁶⁸⁵ Wang and Fingas reported the differentiation of the source of spilled oil using GC/MS,⁶⁸⁷ and Munoz et al. developed a new approach using GC/high-resolution-MS and metastable reaction monitoring GC/MS/MS.⁶⁸⁸ White et al. reported the pyrolysis-GC/MS analysis of contaminated soils from Alaska and discussed complications from the presence of natural organic matter (NOM).⁶⁸⁹ In this study, the background interference from natural organic matter could be removed by quantifying biogenic indicators. SPME was used with GC/continuous flow-isotope ratio-MS for the molecular and isotopic analysis of gasoline hydrocarbons⁶⁹⁰ and isotope ratio-MS was used to determine isotopic fingerprints of shallow gases in the Western Canadian sedimentary basin.⁶⁹¹ Beneteau et al. used isotope ratio-MS to link a groundwater plume of dissolved tetrachloroethylene emanating from a dry cleaner to a particular tetrachloroethylene manufacturer.⁶⁹² GC/isotope ratio-MS was also used for the compound-specific isotope analyses of fatty acids and PAHs in aerosols.⁶⁹³ These compounds were investigated as potential tracer species for the products of biomass burning. Naraoka et al. used the carbon isotopic differences of saturated fatty acids to distinguish between terrestrial and marine sediments,⁶⁹⁴ and Bird et al. used carbon isotopic differences to link hydrocarbons found in marine sediments to terrestrial sources.⁶⁹⁵ Baylis et al. used GC/combustion-isotope ratio-MS to measure C1 to C5 compounds in natural gases without any sample preparation,⁶⁹⁶ and Dempster found that GC/combustion-isotope ratio-MS could be used to link BTEX (benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene isomers) contamination to a specific manufacturer from distinct differences found in the $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values.⁶⁹⁷ Finally, Zeng et al. reported the application of GC/combustion-isotope ratio-MS to carbon isotopic analyses of methane and carbon monoxide in environmental samples, including those from biomass burning.⁶⁹⁸

B. Inorganic Analyses

1. Disinfection Byproducts

Several mass spectrometry methods have been published for measuring inorganic drinking water DBPs. Many of these methods have focused on bromate, which has been shown to be a potent rodent carcinogen and will be regulated by the U.S. EPA under the Stage 1 DBP Rule. Health effects data suggested that bromate should be regulated below 10 ppb; however, early methods could not reliably

meet this level. Therefore, many groups have worked to develop new methods that could accomplish lower detection limits. Some methods utilize IC (with no mass spectrometry), but other methods utilized mass spectrometry. Creed et al. developed an IC/ICP-MS method for bromate and obtained detection limits of 0.1–0.2 $\mu\text{g/L}$ (ppb).⁶⁹⁹ This method was later updated to include isotope dilution. The revised method effectively separated bromate from interferences, such as bromide and brominated haloacetic acids, and produced detection limits of 0.3 $\mu\text{g/L}$.⁷⁰⁰ This method was later published as an EPA Method.¹²⁸ Diemer and Heumann published a negative thermal ionization isotope dilution-MS method and an IC/ICP-MS method for measuring bromate.⁷⁰¹ These methods produced detection limits in the range of 0.03–0.09 $\mu\text{g/L}$. Yamanaka et al. developed an IC-postcolumn derivatization/ICP-MS method for analyzing bromate and iodate in ozonated drinking water, with detection limits of 0.45 and 0.034 $\mu\text{g/L}$, respectively.⁷⁰² Nowak and Seubert developed a microbore-IC/ICP-MS method, with 0.05–0.065 $\mu\text{g/L}$ detection limits and no sample pretreatment.⁷⁰³ This method also allowed short run times of 8–15 min. Charles et al. developed an earlier IC/ESI-MS/MS method for determining bromate at 0.1 $\mu\text{g/L}$, which at the time lowered the detection of bromate by a factor of 10.⁷⁰⁴ Charles and Pepin developed an IC/ion spray-MS/MS method for determining bromate, chlorate, iodate, and chlorite in water.⁷⁰⁵ The coupling of IC with ion spray-MS/MS allowed the detection of all four oxyhalides in a single run with high specificity and sensitivity. Buchberger and Ahrer published a method using suppressed and nonsuppressed IC with API-MS for determining bromate, iodate, sulfate, and thiosulfate in water. With this method, absolute detection limits of 0.4–0.7 ng were achieved.⁷⁰⁶ Barnett et al. reported a new method using ESI-FAIMS-MS which produced ppt detection limits for bromate, chlorate, and iodate, with significantly improved signal-to-background ratios.⁷⁰⁷

Scilimenti et al. evaluated three analytical techniques for measuring cyanogen chloride, which is a DBP primarily formed in chloraminated drinking water.⁷⁰⁸ In this study, purge-and-trap-GC/MS, headspace-GC/ECD, and micro-liquid/liquid extraction with GC/ECD were compared and determined to produce comparable results for cyanogen chloride analysis. Gazda et al. used MIMS to confirm the existence of bromochloramine and *N*-bromo-*N*-chloromethylamine as products of the reaction of monochloramine with bromide ion or hypobromous acid.⁷⁰⁹ Finally, Shang and Blatchley used MIMS to differentiate and quantify free chlorine, mono-, di-, and trichloramine in drinking water and wastewater.⁷¹⁰ Linear response curves over several orders of magnitude were obtained with detection limits of 0.06–0.1 mg/L.

2. Perchlorate and Dissolved Gases

In 1997, perchlorate was discovered to be a significant groundwater contaminant in northern California and subsequently has been detected by the California Department of Health Services in wells

throughout California.⁷¹¹ Due to health concerns, many of these wells were shut down. Most commonly used methods for measuring perchlorate involve the use of IC; however, IC measurements are subject to interferences and newer mass spectrometry methods have been developed to provide added specificity needed to make unequivocal identifications. Urbansky and Magnuson used ESI-MS with organic complexing agents to improve the detection of perchlorate in water.⁷¹² Using this method, distinctive high mass anions allowed for the specific and unique identification of perchlorate and detection limits were comparable to IC methods. Clewell and Tsui developed another ESI-MS method for determining perchlorate in water.⁷¹³ Mass spectrometry methods have also been developed for dissolved gases. Kana et al. used MIMS to rapidly and precisely determine N_2 , O_2 , and Ar in environmental water samples.⁷¹⁴ With this MIMS method, 20–30 samples could be measured per hour, with small sample sizes (<10 mL) and no sample preparation or degassing.

3. Multiple Elements

Groups of elements have been measured in environmental samples using a number of mass spectrometry techniques. Two of the newer techniques, CE/ESI-MS^{715,716} and CE/ICP-MS,^{717,718} have been used to measure metals and organometallic complexes with low $\mu\text{g/L}$ detection limits. Rottmann and Heumann reported an on-line isotope dilution LC/ICP-MS method for accurately quantifying elemental species.⁷¹⁹ IC/ICP-MS methods for measuring multiple elements have also been reported.^{720,721} Using one of these methods,⁷²⁰ trace elements were determined in seawater at 1–50 ng/L detection limits. Another paper reported the off-line separation of elements by IC and confirmation with ICP-MS for measuring 31 elements in radioactive waste sludge.⁷²² Two papers reported methods using SFC/ICP-MS for determining multiple elements.^{723,724} One of these reported 3 pg detection limits for diethylmercury, 0.5 pg for tetrabutyllead, and 10 pg for tributyllead acetate.⁷²⁴ Geerdink et al. developed a thermal desorption-MS method for the rapid screening of contaminated soil samples,⁷²⁵ and several papers reported methods using electrothermal vaporization-ICP-MS for measuring metals in sediments, fresh water and seawater.^{726–730} One of these methods reported detection limits of 0.01–0.08 $\mu\text{g/L}$ for As, Se, and Sb in freshwater,⁷²⁷ and another reported detection limits of 0.001–0.005 $\mu\text{g/L}$ for Cd, Hg, and Pb in seawater.⁷²⁸ Several methods using on-line preconcentration with ICP-MS have also been published.^{731–736} One method utilized a hydroxyquinoline-based chelating ion-exchanger and ICP-MS to analyze 32 elements at low ng/L levels in seawater.⁷³¹

Stetzenbach et al. developed an ICP-MS method that could determine 54 trace elements directly in groundwater at ppt levels.⁷³⁷ Using this method, elements were measured in four springs in Nevada and concentrations spanned almost 7 orders of magnitude. In another study, a dynamic reaction cell was coupled with ICP-MS to remove Ar-related spectral interferences in the analysis of multielements at sub-

ppt levels.⁷³⁸ Bayon et al. developed an alternative GC/ICP-MS interface design for the analysis of trace metals and organometallic compounds.⁷³⁹ Several papers published analyses of multiple elements in environmental samples using various ICP-MS methods.^{740–752} One paper reported the use of cold plasma conditions,⁷⁴² another reported the use of laser ablation with ICP-MS for determining trace elements in geological samples,⁷⁴³ and another reported the use of continuous-flow hydride-generation with ICP-MS for determining Se, Sb, As, and Ge in seawater.⁷⁴⁴ Yi et al. developed a multiple-collector-ICP-MS method for the precise determination of cadmium, indium, and tellurium in geological materials at 0.02–2 ng/g detection limits.⁷⁴⁵ Klemm et al. developed a separation and enrichment procedure with ICP-MS to analyze trace amounts of Cd, Co, Cu, Fe, Mn, Ni, Pb, Tl, U, and Zn in seawater or brines from ore dumps.⁷⁴⁶ Field et al. used a desolvating micronebulizer with sector field ICP-MS to determine 10 trace metals in seawater at a rate of 10 samples per hour. This method required only a 25 μ L sample and no reagents other than nitric acid.⁷⁴⁷ Another method used preconcentration with a chelating reagent and analysis by ICP-MS; this method provided 0.08–16 ng/L detection limits for the measurement of multiple metals in seawater.⁷⁴⁸ Saleh and Wilson analyzed metal pollutants in the Houston, TX, shipping channel using ICP-MS,⁷⁵⁰ Leiterer and Munch determined heavy metals in groundwater using ICP-MS,⁷⁵¹ and Gueguen et al. used UV irradiation to enable the ICP-MS analysis of metals bound to humic materials.⁷⁵²

ICP-MS methods were also used to determine trace elements in snow and ice.^{753–756} One of these studies sampled snow and ice cores from Greenland, Antarctica, and the Alps to assess the past natural background concentrations of metals and to determine their present levels.⁷⁵⁵ Another paper reported the use of ultraclean field and laboratory procedures and ICP-MS to measure trace element concentrations and total mercury in northern Alaskan snow.⁷⁵⁶ Two papers reported measurements of colloids.^{757,758} These studies used on-line flow field-flow fractionation with ICP-MS to determine colloidal size and element distributions for 28 elements in natural waters.^{757,758} Gabler et al. used isotope dilution ICP-MS to determine the interchangeable heavy-metal fraction in soils,⁷⁵⁹ and two papers reported the analysis of metals in municipal landfill gas by ICP-MS⁷⁶⁰ and GC/ICP-MS.⁷⁶¹ Katoh et al. used isotope dilution ICP-MS to determine six elements (Ni, Cu, Zn, Cd, Tl, and Pb) in atmospheric particles located in a remote mountainous region in Japan.⁷⁶² Wang et al. reported two new SIMS methods for measuring metals in geological materials,⁷⁶³ and Hall discussed the capabilities of production-oriented laboratories in water analysis using ICP-MS and ICP-emission spectrometry.⁷⁶⁴ The latter paper discussed round-robin analyses of 22 lake water samples by eight laboratories.

4. Arsenic and Selenium

Several methods have been developed for measuring arsenic and selenium. IC-ICP-MS has been used

with ultrasonic nebulizers, membrane desolvation, and postcolumn reaction to improve the sensitivity for As^{III}, As^V, Se^{VI}, and Se^{IV} with detection limits of 0.1 μ g/L.⁷⁶⁵ LC/ICP-MS was used to simultaneously determine arsenic, selenium, and antimony species with detection limits of 0.5–4.5 μ g/L.⁷⁶⁶ Flow injection-electrothermal vaporization-ICP-MS has been used to determine As and Se in seawater at 7 and 70 pg detection limits, respectively, using 0.5 and 10 mL samples.⁷⁶⁷ Arsenic and selenium were also determined in reference materials (oyster tissue, sediment, urine, water, and tomato leaves) using high-resolution ICP-MS; a resolution of 7500 enabled ⁷⁵As and ⁷⁷Se to be separated from ArCl interferences.⁷⁶⁸ Pergantis et al. developed an LC/ESI-MS/MS method for determining 10 organoarsenic compounds in environmental samples at low pg detection limits.⁷⁶⁹ Using this method, low μ g/L levels of arsenobetaine were measured in undiluted urine.

Many papers have also been published on methods for arsenic species only. Recently developed CE/ICP-MS methods have allowed ng- μ g/L detection limits for As(III), dimethyl arsinic acid, monomethyl arsonic acid, As(V), arsenobetaine, and arsenocholine.^{770–772} Recently developed IC/ICP-MS^{773–775} and LC/ICP-MS^{776–778} methods are also permitting ng/L detection limits for arsenic species. LC/ICP-MS methods typically utilize anion-exchange columns. One of these papers reported the use of vesicles as mobile phases with LC/ICP-MS, which allowed 10-min separations of all arsenic species at sub-ng levels with good recoveries (93–108% for tap water and human urine).⁷⁷⁷ DCI-MS has provided advantages for characterizing arsenic species in environmental samples through the formation of recognizable molecular ions as well as structurally characteristic fragment ions.⁷⁷⁹ ICP-MS methods reported for arsenic^{780–783} included the use of high resolution or hydride generation,⁷⁸⁰ a tubular membrane as a gas-liquid separator for hydride generation,⁷⁸¹ and the use of electrothermal vaporization.⁷⁸³ One of these methods allowed the direct determination of arsenic in waters containing up to 10 000 μ g/L NaCl without interference.⁷⁸³ Arsenosugars have been measured at pg levels using nanoelectrospray-quadrupole-TOF-MS.⁷⁸⁴ Using this innovative method, structurally useful ions could be obtained and a single dimethylated arsenosugar unequivocally identified in an algal extract. Other organoarsenicals, such as 2-chlorovinylarsonous acid, dimethylarsinic acid, and phenylarsonic acid, were measured in water, soil, and sediment using SPME-GC/MS, which offered a factor of 400 improvement in sensitivity compared to conventional solvent extraction-GC/MS procedures.⁷⁸⁵

Several methods were developed for the measurement of selenium species only. Michalke et al. used CE/ICP-MS and CE/ESI-MS to maximize Se species information; CE/ICP-MS was found to provide 10²–10³ times lower detection limits than CE/ESI-MS.⁷⁸⁶ Kolbl used LC/ICP-MS to measure Se at low detection limits (0.1 ng) and a large calibration range (10 μ g/L to 10 mg/L).⁷⁸⁷ Li et al. developed an LC/ICP-MS method for determining trimethylselenonium iodide, selenomethionine, selenious acid, and selenic

acid, with detection limits ranging from 0.07 to 0.34 $\mu\text{g/L}$.⁷⁸⁸ Another LC/ICP-MS method for selenite and selenate allowed detection limits of 0.08–0.42 $\mu\text{g/L}$ in high salt-containing water, river water, tap water, and well water.⁷⁸⁹ Chemical reaction interface-MS (CRIMS) has also been used with GC to determine selenium-containing compounds.⁷⁹⁰ This method involves the reaction of the analytes with a reaction gas—in this case SO_2 or HCl was used. Detection limits of selenium in water were approximately 60 pg, and the linear dynamic range spanned 2 orders of magnitude.⁷⁹⁰ Derivatization reagents have also been used with GC/MS to measure selenium.^{791,792} One of these methods reported ng detection limits after preconcentration.⁷⁹² Haygarth et al. compared hydride generation (HG)-ICP-MS to HG-atomic absorption spectrometry (AAS), HG-ICP-atomic emission spectrometry (AES), radiochemical neutron activation analysis (RNAA), and fluorimetry for determining total selenium in environmental samples.⁷⁹³ For samples of low Se concentration, HG-ICP-MS and RNAA methods were found to be superior. Two GC/MS methods were developed for determining Se speciation; one used SPE for 1.4–900 ng/L detection limits for Se(VI), Se(IV), dimethylselenide, dimethyldiselenide, diethylselenide, and diethyldiselenide;⁷⁹⁴ the other reported detection limits of 0.02 $\mu\text{g/L}$.⁷⁹⁵ GC/ICP-MS offered the advantage of transferring the total analyte into the ICP-MS without loss of analyte through nebulization. Finally, McCurdy et al. reported a hydride generation-ICP-MS method for determining selenium in digested sediment reference materials at 0.01–1.0 $\mu\text{g/L}$ levels.⁷⁹⁶

5. Lithium, Boron, Nitrogen, Sulfur, and Halogens

Lithium isotopic compositions have been measured in geological materials using multiple collector-sector-ICP-MS, which allowed small sample sizes (40 ng of Li) and rapid analyses (two samples/hour).⁷⁹⁷ ^{15}N has been determined in ^{15}N -enriched nitrite and nitrate in aqueous samples after reduction to NO and analysis by continuous flow-MS.⁷⁹⁸ Trace amounts of boron have been determined in rainwater using ICP-isotope dilution-MS and negative thermal ionization-isotope dilution-MS.⁷⁹⁹ Results of this study showed that there was significant dependence of the boron concentration in rainwater on the meteorological conditions but not on the season of sampling or on the altitude of the sample collection site. Other papers reported methods using high-resolution-ICP-MS for tracing the anthropogenic input of boron into surface and groundwater⁸⁰⁰ or isotope dilution-MS for determining boron in silicate rock samples.⁸⁰¹ An ESI-MS method has been developed for measuring specific sulfur species and was demonstrated for measuring sulfate in wastewater.⁸⁰² High-resolution ICP-MS has permitted the analysis of sulfur isotope ratio measurements with a high sample throughput and minimal sample preparation,⁸⁰³ and IC-ICP-MS has permitted the separation and detection of sulfide, sulfite, sulfate, and thiosulfate.⁸⁰⁴ Iodine, bromine, fluorine, and chlorine were measured in geological reference materials using ICP-MS with IC,⁸⁰⁵ and ICP-MS has been used to measure I-129 and I-127 in environmental samples.⁸⁰⁶ The latter method was

used to study the sources of radioactive iodine in soils; coniferous forest soils were found to have higher I-129 concentrations, and most of this iodine was retained in the first 10 cm.

6. Group IIIA Elements

Measurements of aluminum have included a new LC/ICP-MS method that permitted 0.3–0.6 $\mu\text{g/L}$ detection limits in percolating water of forest soils⁸⁰⁷ and a flow injection-ICP-MS method that allowed the reactive aluminum fraction in water to be determined.⁸⁰⁸ Measurements of thallium have included the use of flow injection-hydride generation-ICP-MS,⁸⁰⁹ isotope dilution-MS,⁸¹⁰ and multiple collector-ICP-MS.⁸¹¹ With the isotope dilution-MS method, Schedlbauer and Heumann discovered the first evidence for the existence of dimethylthallium species in the ocean.⁸¹⁰ With the multiple collector method, thallium was measured in geological materials and meteorites.⁸¹¹

7. Group IVB and VB Elements

LC/ICP-MS has been used to determine vanadium species in seawater and river water,⁸¹² and ICP-MS has been used to determine titanium, vanadium, gallium, and indium in seawater and river water.^{813–816} One of these papers utilized hydroxyquinoline resin preconcentration for detection limits in the sub-ppt range,⁸¹⁴ and another study used isotope dilution with electrothermal vaporization-ICP-MS for low pg measurements.⁸¹⁵

8. Group IIA Elements

Strontium has been measured in environmental samples using ICP-MS^{817,818} and accelerator mass spectrometry.⁸¹⁹ One of these studies used quadrupole-based ICP-MS to measure $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ isotope ratios in rock samples to provide information on the timing of geological events that affected the rocks over several millions of years after their initial formation.⁸¹⁷ Barium has been measured in environmental samples (rocks, river water, rainwater, and seawater) using isotope dilution-ICP-MS.⁸²⁰

9. Heavy Metals

Chromium species have also been determined using mass spectrometry. Recent IC/ICP-MS methods have been developed that allow the simultaneous detection of Cr(III) and Cr(VI) in water samples⁸²¹ and in wastewater sludge incinerator emissions.⁸²² In water, detection limits of 0.1 $\mu\text{g/L}$ are possible and analyses can be completed in <3 min.⁸²¹ LC/ICP-MS methods have also been recently developed for measuring chromium.^{823–826} Detection limits as low as 30–180 ng/L could be achieved when using a direct injection nebulizer with LC/ICP-MS.⁸²³ SFC-ICP-MS has also been used to determine organochromium compounds at pg detection levels.⁸²⁷

GC/ICP-MS has been used to determine $\text{Ni}(\text{CO})_4$, $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$, $\text{Mo}(\text{CO})_6$, and $\text{W}(\text{CO})_6$ in sewage gas from a municipal sewage treatment plant.⁸²⁸ This method provided useful speciation information for volatile transition metals that was not possible with previous

methods. Double-focusing ICP-MS has been used to determine cadmium in environmental and biological reference materials,⁸²⁹ and isotope dilution-ICP-MS has been used to determine nickel in environmental waters.⁸³⁰ Isotope dilution-double-focusing ICP-MS has permitted high sensitivity and accuracy for determining iron in seawater.⁸³¹ This method also used $\text{Mg}(\text{OH})_2$ coprecipitation to preconcentrate iron from seawater, which permitted detection limits of 0.085 nM. Isotopic compositions of copper and zinc in rocks and biological materials have also been measured using ICP-MS.⁸³²

The measurement of organometallic compounds in environmental samples has become important due to the toxic forms that can be present in the environment. For example, organotins have been widely used as the active ingredient in antifouling marine paints and have been identified in seawater samples in harbors. Concerns have arisen due to the toxicity of organotin compounds to marine organisms. Organotins are also used as fungicides, herbicides, and insecticides. GC/ICP-MS and GC/glow discharge-MS methods have enabled the separation and identification of organometallic compounds of tin, mercury, and lead in seawater and sediment.^{833–837} One method reported rapid analysis times (10 min) and low detection limits (0.13–3.7 ng/L as metal) with small sample sizes (25 mL of water, 0.5 g of sediment).⁸³³ Recent LC/MS methods have also been developed for measuring organotin compounds in seawater and sediment.^{838–841} LC has been coupled to ICP-MS to measure organotins and inorganic tin species in seawater and sediment.^{842,843} Using LC/ICP-MS, separations can be completed in less than 6 min, with detection limits in the range of 2.6–16 pg.⁸⁴² Various GC/MS methods have been developed for measuring organotins in environmental samples.^{844–848} One of these methods has explored the use of ion-trap mass spectrometry, which provided a wide linear dynamic range (over 3 orders of magnitude) and pg sensitivity.⁸⁴⁴ GC/NCI-MS permitted detection limits of 20–25 pg/mL for tributyltin and triphenyltin,⁸⁴⁵ and another method explored the use of microplasma mass spectrometry.⁸⁴⁸ In one of the more interesting studies of organotins in the environment, a marina bottom sediment was analyzed for organotin species and more than 20 organotin compounds were found.⁸⁴⁷ Eleven of the organotin compounds identified were found to match those found in a commercially available tributyltin chloride product. Reversed-phase ion-pair chromatography has been coupled to ICP-MS for determining organotins at 2 ng/L detection limits,⁸⁴⁹ and GC/ICP-MS methods have provided sub-fg level measurements.⁸⁵⁰ Another interesting study of organotins in harbor sediment and water used GC/ICP-MS to measure butyltins in two marinas from the coastal area of The Netherlands, two years after their ban of tributyltin.⁸⁵¹ Sediment concentrations showed no trend of decrease between 1992 and 1995, and levels were extremely high in the marina secluded from tidal action (17.5 $\mu\text{g/g}$) but much lower in the marina with tidal action (0.117 $\mu\text{g/g}$). ICP-MS has also been used to measure total tin in sediment reference materials.⁸⁵²

Mercury compounds have been determined in environmental samples using LC/ICP-MS,⁸⁵³ GC/ICP-MS,^{854,855} and ICP-MS.^{856–860} Detection limits using LC/ICP-MS were 0.03–0.11 $\mu\text{g/L}$ for several mercury species.⁸⁵³ With one of the GC/ICP-MS methods, 0.15 pg detection limits were achieved.⁸⁵⁵ This method used a multicapillary GC to provide time-resolved introduction of Hg species into the ICP-MS instrument. One study using GC/ICP-MS measured mercury methylation rates in sediments.⁸⁵⁴ In this study, it was found that more than 3% of the mercury spiked into a lake sediment was methylated within a 21-day incubation period. The use of hydride generation-ICP-MS enabled low-level determinations of mercury in urine and biota (sub- $\mu\text{g/g}$ in solid materials, sub-ng/g in liquids),⁸⁵⁶ and the use of preconcentration with ICP-MS enabled detection limits of 200 pg/L in seawater and freshwater.⁸⁵⁷ Another study compared ICP-AES and ICP-MS for determining mercury in sediments from the Great Barrier Reef.⁸⁵⁸ Overall, the detection limits achieved by these ICP-MS methods are comparable to the more common cold vapor fluorescence techniques.^{861–865}

LC/ICP-MS methods have been developed to determine lead species in environmental samples.^{866,867} One of these methods utilized isotope dilution and produced detection limits for trimethyllead ions of 0.48 ng/g as lead.⁸⁶⁶ Another LC/ICP-MS method published detection limits of 0.37, 0.14, 0.17, and 3.9 ng for Pb(II), triethyllead chloride, triphenyllead chloride, and tetraethyllead, respectively.⁸⁶⁷ Mester and Pawliszyn published an ESI-MS method using in-tube SPME to measure trimethyllead and triethyllead,⁸⁶⁸ and Kim et al. constructed a capillary GC/ICP-MS transfer line for analyzing alkyllead species in fuel.⁸⁶⁹ Using the latter method, alkyllead compounds were measured in a complex hydrocarbon mixture with 0.7 pg/s detection limits and good reproducibility. Double-focusing ICP-MS was used to determine direct isotope ratios of lead down to 10 ng/L,⁸⁷⁰ and SIMS was used to determine lead and uranium isotopes in ore deposits.⁸⁷¹ Two of the more interesting studies of lead in the environment involved the measurement of lead in a residential area of greater Calcutta⁸⁷² and the measurement of lead in ancient peat.⁸⁷³ In the first study, soil, water, road dust, leaf dust, leaves, and pond sediment were sampled in and around an area of Calcutta where people reside near a lead factory.⁸⁷² Soils were found to be highly polluted with Pb, Cd, Ag, Cu, Zn, As, Mo, Sn, and Hg. At farther distances from the factory, most metals decreased exponentially. In the study of ancient peat, preindustrial concentrations of lead in peat bogs were measured from different locations in Europe and Southeast Asia to assess past global atmospheric deposition.⁸⁷³

Platinum-group elements have been analyzed by ICP-MS methods.^{874–878} Oguri et al. used an improved NiS fire-assay and tellurium coprecipitation with ICP-MS to achieve high recoveries (>97%) and detection limits of 2–53 ppt for Ru, Rh, Pd, Ir, Pt, and Au in geological samples.⁸⁷⁴ Ely et al. used cation exchange pretreatment and ultrasonic nebulization-ICP-MS to increase the sensitivity of platinum-group

measurements.⁸⁷⁷ Schramel et al. used pneumatic nebulization and electrothermal vaporization with ICP-MS to determine platinum in occupational samples, such as urine and dust, at 1 ng/L detection limits.⁸⁷⁸ CE/ICP-MS⁸⁷⁹ and hydride generation-ICP-MS^{880–882} have been used to measure antimony species in environmental samples.

10. Rare-Earth Elements (Lanthanides)

LC/ICP-MS⁸⁸³ and ICP-MS methods^{884–890} have been developed to measure rare-earth elements in environmental samples. LC/ICP-MS permitted sub-ng/mL sensitivity, with a dynamic range over 4 orders of magnitude.⁸⁸³ Three papers reported preconcentration methods for rare-earth elements using a flow injection-on-line precipitation system,⁸⁸⁴ a hydroxyquinoline-immobilized hollow fiber membrane,⁸⁸⁵ or by chelating resin preconcentration.⁸⁸⁸ An isotope dilution-ICP-MS method reported detection limits of 1–10 ppb.⁸⁸⁷ Another paper reported levels of rare-earth elements in Dutch drinking water and their sources.⁸⁸⁹ In this study, raw and treated drinking water from 18 groundwater stations and two surface water stations were sampled; significant amounts of rare-earth elements were found in the raw water from eight locations and in drinking water from three locations. Two of the drinking water samples contained rare-earth elements at levels exceeding admissible concentrations.⁸⁸⁹

11. Radionuclides

Although liquid scintillation is still widely used for measuring radionuclides in environmental samples, mass spectrometry techniques are also commonly used. Quadrupole-based ICP-MS, double-focusing ICP-MS, electrothermal ICP-MS, and thermal ionization-MS methods have been used to measure radionuclides in environmental samples.^{891–918} Detection limits for one of these methods (ICP with quadrupole MS) was reported to be 0.012–0.11 ng/L for ²²⁶Ra, ²³⁰Th, ²³⁷Np, ²³⁸U, ²³⁹Pu and ²⁴¹Am.⁸⁹¹ Becker and Dietze reviewed the performance of double-focusing sector field ICP-MS for determining long-lived radionuclides.⁸⁹³ Hodge and Laing presented a new ICP-MS method for measuring radium-226 in drinking water, which provided fast analysis times (<3 min) and low detection limits (1 pg/L).⁸⁹⁷ High-resolution ICP-MS enabled determinations of ²²⁶Ra in groundwater and soil at levels 10 times lower than could be attained with liquid scintillation.⁸⁹⁸ High-precision and high-accuracy isotopic measurements of uranium were made using ICP-multiple collector-MS.⁹⁰⁷ ICP-MS was used to measure the concentrations of uranium in soil and in air particulate matter in Kuwait following the 1991 Gulf War.⁹⁰⁸ In this study, it was found that average uranium concentrations in soil were one-half of what was found in particulate matter. Uranium concentrations in tap water were found to be very low (0.02 pg/L). High-resolution ICP-MS has enabled the determination of trace levels of plutonium in environmental samples (1–5 fg/mL for ²³⁹Pu, ²⁴⁰Pu, and ²⁴²Pu).⁹¹⁰ In one of the more interesting studies of radionuclides, isotopic compositions of plutonium were measured in Irish Sea sediments

using ICP-MS and thermal ionization-MS.⁹¹³ Ion exchange preconcentration prior to ICP-MS analysis enabled the measurement of Tc-99 in seawater at 0.03 ng/L detection limits.⁹¹⁴ Beals reported an isotope dilution-ICP-MS method for measuring Tc-99 in aqueous samples that was faster than radiometric techniques, was less subject to interferences, and had equal or better detection limits.⁹¹⁶ Moran et al. used thermal ionization-MS to study the depth profiles of Th-230 and Th-232 in the Norwegian Sea and Denmark Strait.⁹¹⁸ SIMS has also been used for measuring radionuclides in environmental samples.^{919,920} In one of these papers, SIMS was used to measure the distribution of dissolved and particulate Th-230 and Th-232 in seawater from the Gulf of Mexico and Cape Hatteras.⁹¹⁹

12. Other Inorganics

Makishima et al. developed a rapid and accurate flow injection-ICP-MS method for determining zirconium, niobium, hafnium, and tantalum in geological materials at 0.1–40 ng/g detection limits.⁹²¹ McKelvey and Orians developed an isotope dilution-ICP-MS method for determining dissolved zirconium and hafnium in seawater,⁹²² and Anbar et al. developed a chemical extraction-negative thermal ionization-MS method for determining iridium in natural waters.⁹²³ Finally, Klinkenberg et al. used LC/ICP-MS for determining tellurium in industrial wastewater; this method was used to monitor tellurium removal from wastewater.⁹²⁴

VII. Microorganisms

The measurement of microorganisms has long been an environmental concern due to pathogenic or toxin-producing organisms that can be present in drinking water supplies and ecosystems. Mass spectrometry has played a minor role in the past through the use of pyrolysis-GC/MS but is beginning to play a more important role with the advent of MALDI-MS and API-MS techniques. These techniques are allowing important protein and other biomarkers (and sometimes the entire organism itself) to be measured, enabling more specific information about the microorganisms than traditional microscopic techniques can offer. Saraf and Larsson published two reviews on the identification of microorganisms by mass spectrometry; the first is a general review, and the second is on the use of GC/ion-trap-MS for identifying microorganisms.^{925,926} Fenselau published an earlier review in 1994 on the characterization of microorganisms by mass spectrometry.⁹²⁷ In 1994, Cain et al. published a paper on the use of MALDI-MS for differentiating bacteria according to their protein profiles⁹²⁸ and Larsson published a paper on the use of GC/MS for determining chemical markers of microorganisms.⁹²⁹ In 1996, Siuzdak published the first report of a mass spectrum (by ESI-MS) of a complete, intact virus.⁹³⁰ His results demonstrated that ESI-MS could be used to determine biomolecules with molecular weights over 40 million Da and that the native biomolecular structure could be conserved through the electrospray process. Siuzdak proved

that the viral structure remained intact in the mass spectral analysis by collecting the ions after passage through the mass analyzer and analyzing them by transmission electron microscopy. Transmission electron microscopy revealed that rice yellow mottle virus and tobacco mosaic virus retained their respective spherical and rodlike structures, and the viability of the isolated tobacco mosaic virus was confirmed by inoculation and infection of tobacco plants. Siuzdak later used mass spectrometry to identify viral protein posttranslational modifications, such as myristoylation, phosphorylation, and disulfide bridging, and demonstrated that viruses are highly dynamic particles.⁹³¹ Also in 1996, Holland et al. provided the first report of the identification of intact whole bacteria using MALDI-TOF-MS and reported the presence of species-specific mass spectral ions.⁹³² Later in 1996, Claydon et al. published the rapid identification of intact bacteria using MALDI-TOF-MS.⁹³³ In this paper, intact Gram-negative and Gram-positive microorganisms were analyzed, and their mass spectra allowed the identification of microorganisms from different genera, different species, and different strains of the same species. Krishnamurthy et al. also published papers in 1996, reporting the MALDI-TOF-MS identification of intact whole cells of bacterial pathogens.^{934,935} This method enabled the direct measurement (in 10 min) of intact whole cells with no desalting and minimal sample preparation and allowed the assignment of biomarkers specific to the genus and species (and sometimes the strain) of the particular organism. More recently, Krishnamurthy et al. applied MALDI-TOF-MS to detect *Cryptosporidium parvum* oocysts and *Giardia lamblia* cysts.⁹³⁶ These waterborne pathogens have been responsible for many outbreaks of gastrointestinal illness in the United States and in other countries due to ingestion of drinking water that contained these treatment-resistant organisms. Welham et al. characterized bacteria using MALDI-TOF-MS and found species- and strain-specific biomarkers for the organisms studied.⁹³⁷ Demirev et al. also developed a MALDI-TOF-MS method for rapidly identifying microorganisms and correlated each ion to a protein by searching an Internet-accessible protein database.⁹³⁸ Saenz et al. investigated the reproducibility of MALDI-TOF-MS for replicate bacterial culture analysis,⁹³⁹ and Lynn et al. used MALDI-MS to identify Enterobacteriaceae bacteria, including *Salmonella* and *E. coli*.⁹⁴⁰ Holland et al. identified proteins from whole cells of bacteria using MALDI-TOF-MS,⁹⁴¹ and Winkler et al. reported the direct analysis and identification of *Helicobacter* and *Campylobacter* species using MALDI-TOF-MS.⁹⁴² MALDI-MS was also used to rapidly type *Bacillus subtilis* strains through the identification of secondary metabolites.⁹⁴³ Bundy and Fenselau used lectin-based affinity capture with MALDI-MS to isolate and analyze bacteria,⁹⁴⁴ and Goodacre applied ESI-MS to characterize strains of intact Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria.⁹⁴⁵ Krishnamurthy et al. used LC/microspray-MS for identifying protein biomarkers specific to particular microorganisms.⁹⁴⁶ This electrospray method was as effective as earlier MALDI-MS methods but offered

an advantage in that liquid samples could be directly analyzed and tandem mass spectrometry could be used to obtain further structural information.

Birmingham et al. used corona plasma discharge for measuring biomarkers from bacteria, spores, and viruses,⁹⁴⁷ and Hendricker et al. used *in situ* thermal hydrolysis and methylation with a field-portable membrane-inlet quadrupole-ion-trap mass spectrometer for characterizing pathogenic bacteria.⁹⁴⁸ Barshick et al. used pyrolysis-ion-trap-MS with chemical ionization to differentiate five pathogenic Gram-negative bacteria,⁹⁴⁹ and Goodacre et al. used Curie-point pyrolysis MS and Fourier transform IR spectroscopy to detect the dipicolinic acid biomarker in 36 strains of aerobic endospore-forming bacteria.⁹⁵⁰ Bacterial carbohydrates were measured in two studies through the use of GC/MS/MS⁹⁵¹ and GC/MS with automated derivatization.⁹⁵² Saraf et al. used quadrupole GC/MS and ion-trap GC/MS/MS to determine components of Gram-negative bacterial endotoxins (3-hydroxy fatty acids) in household dust.⁹⁵³ In this study, 30 dust samples were analyzed and it was found that both methods allowed the acids to be distinguished, but the GC/MS/MS method provided additional specificity and required only sub-mg amounts of dust.

VIII. Natural Organic Matter

Natural organic matter (NOM) is a complex mixture of substances, such as amino acids, carbohydrates, lipids, lignins, waxes, organic acids, humic acids, and fulvic acids. Humic substances are complex macromolecular structures that generally have molecular weights over 2000 Da. A variety of functional groups have been reported in humic substances, including COOHs, phenolic OHs, enolic OHs, quinones, hydroxyquinones, lactones, ethers, and alcoholic OHs, and various nitrogen, phosphorus, and sulfur functional groups.⁹⁵⁴ However, even today, with all of the analytical and spectroscopic tools that are available, we still cannot definitively characterize the complete structure of NOM. Its complex structures still elude precise identification. One of the reasons that makes the understanding of NOM structure important is that NOM is the precursor material to drinking water disinfection byproducts (DBPs). NOM is transported from humus materials in soil to rivers and lakes that serve as much of our source water for drinking water. NOM can also be introduced directly to source waters through leaves or other vegetative material. Disinfectants, such as chlorine and ozone, oxidize the NOM in these source waters and produce DBPs that we then consume through our drinking water. If NOM were better understood, it is possible that DBPs could be minimized or even eliminated. For example, better ways of removing NOM from the water prior to disinfection could be developed or treatment conditions could be altered so that the formation of DBPs is reduced. However, this is only one example of why understanding the structure of NOM is important. Mass spectrometry has always played an important role in the characterization of NOM throughout the years that it has been studied. NOM, as a whole, complete structure has been

studied using pyrolysis-GC/MS, and NOM has also been cleaved by chemical reaction into smaller structures that could be analyzed by GC/MS.

Two recent reviews discussed the characterization of NOM by pyrolysis-GC/MS.^{955,956} Christy et al. carried out a study of NOM in nine water samples using reverse osmosis and evaporation techniques to isolate the NOM and high-temperature pyrolysis-GC/MS to characterize the NOM.⁹⁵⁷ Four main types of products were observed—biopolymer carbohydrates, proteinaceous materials, *N*-acetyl amino sugars, and polyhydroxy aromatics. Schnitzer discussed the use of pyrolysis GC/MS, along with SFE and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy, for obtaining information on the major humic components of NOM.⁹⁵⁸ Harrington et al. used pyrolysis-GC/MS and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy to characterize hydrophobic extracts of NOM from five water supplies.⁹⁵⁹ In this study, carbon dioxide and phenol peak areas from pyrolysis-GC/MS correlated with ¹³C NMR estimates of carboxylic acid content and aromatic carbon content and the phenol peak area from pyrolysis GC/MS was found to be a qualitative indicator of chlorine consumption and DBP formation.

Schmitt-Kipplin et al. used pyrolysis-field ionization-MS, along with CE, gel permeation chromatography, Fourier transform-IR spectroscopy, and one- and two-dimensional NMR spectroscopy, to characterize the structural changes in dissolved humic acid during photolytic degradation (by UV-vis light).⁹⁶⁰ This study revealed that there was selective degradation of dissolved humic material, especially under an oxygen atmosphere. Lignin and lipid structures were found to be the most labile, as compared to carbohydrates, alkylbenzenes, or N-containing structures. Under a nitrogen atmosphere, the carboxylic acids were fairly stable. Schulten and Gleixner used pyrolysis-field ionization-MS, Curie-point pyrolysis-GC/MS, and for the first time Curie-point pyrolysis-GC/combustion-isotope ratio-MS to characterize organic matter from freeze-dried surface water, natural fulvic and humic acids, and ultrafiltrate samples.⁹⁶¹ The results provided information on 10 classes of characteristic molecular building blocks of NOM—carbohydrates, phenols and lignin monomers, lignin dimers, lipids, alkylaromatics, aromatic nitrogen compounds, sterols, peptides, suberins, and loosely bound fatty acids. Peuravuori et al. characterized lake aquatic humic matter using pyrolysis GC/MS and found that the quantities of thermal degradation products were strongly correlated with the various humic matter fractions.⁹⁶² Krüge et al. characterized NOM in bottom sediments from western Lake Ontario by pyrolysis-GC/MS and found that sediment samples containing high amounts of NOM were relatively rich in aliphatic hydrocarbons and pyrrolic nitrogen compounds while samples with less NOM were more aromatic and pyridinic.⁹⁶³ White and Beyer used pyrolysis GC/MS to analyze organic matter in three Antarctic soils.⁹⁶⁴

Newer techniques, such as ESI-MS, LD-MS, and FAB-MS, have also recently been applied to the study of NOM. Brown et al. compared FAB-MS and LD-MS to study fulvic acids, finding that LD-MS meth-

ods did not suffer from the background problems of FAB methods.⁹⁶⁵ Novotny et al. evaluated different experimental parameters for analyzing fulvic acids by FAB-MS,⁹⁶⁶ and Solouki et al. applied a gas-phase hydrogen/deuterium-exchange technique to determine the number of active hydrogens in fulvic acids using ESI-Fourier transform-ion cyclotron resonance (ICR)-MS.⁹⁶⁷ Brown and Rice also used ESI-ICR-MS to study four reference fulvic acids.⁹⁶⁸ In this study, the pH, ionic strength, and concentration of multivalent cations had little or no effect on the observed *m/z* distributions. Lignins were analyzed using either ESI-MS to determine their molecular weights and provide structural information⁹⁶⁹ or pyrolysis-GC/MS with lignin permethylation to quantify marker compounds (phenols and their methylated derivatives).⁹⁷⁰

Several studies focused on understanding the chemical processes involved in soil organic matter. Boutton et al. used stable isotopes of H, C, and O to document changes in ecosystem structure and function in a subtropical savanna ecosystem.⁹⁷¹ Gleixner et al. used Curie-point pyrolysis GC/MS and isotope ratio MS to determine the individual turnover rates of specific carbohydrates, lignins, lipids, and N-containing compounds from French arable soils.⁹⁷² McTiernan et al. studied the short-term changes in ¹³C and ¹⁵N signatures of water discharged from grazed grasslands,⁹⁷³ and Schweizer et al. studied isotopic ¹³C fractionation during plant residue decomposition and its implications for soil organic matter studies.⁹⁷⁴ Seibert et al. used NMR and GC/MS to characterize soil organic nitrogen after the addition of biogenic waste composts.⁹⁷⁵ Boon et al. used direct temperature-resolved ammonia CI-MS to characterize microgram quantities of oceanic colloidal organic matter,⁹⁷⁶ and Vilge-Ritter et al. used pyrolysis GC/MS to study the removal of NOM from Seine River water by coagulation-flocculation.⁹⁷⁷

IX. Biological Samples

The analysis of biological samples has always been important because it provides information on the uptake and metabolism of environmental pollutants in the human body as well as in other terrestrial and aquatic animals. For example, fatty tissues have been a primary source of bioaccumulation for hydrophobic pollutants, such as PAHs, PCBs, and dioxin, and the ingestion of fish that have been exposed to these hazardous contaminants can provide a concentrated exposure of pollutants to humans who consume them. Mass spectrometry has played an important role in measuring the bioaccumulation of pollutants such as these, as well as providing insights into mechanisms of action of these pollutants in humans and other organisms, through the analysis of polar metabolites, DNA and protein adducts, and other biomarkers of exposure. Improved extraction techniques, along with recent advances in mass spectrometry (e.g., ESI-, APCI-, and MALDI-MS), are dramatically changing this area. These new developments are enabling the analysis of highly polar metabolites and other biomarkers of exposure at extremely low levels in complex biological fluids and tissues.

A. Organic Analyses

Several excellent reviews have been published on the MS detection of carcinogen–DNA adducts, protein adducts, and hemoglobin adducts.^{978–982} Farmer and Sweetman discussed the leading role that mass spectrometry has played in the structural and quantitative determination of these adducts through the use of ESI- and MALDI-MS for nucleotide and protein adduct detection and sequencing and the use of GC/MS and GC/MS/MS for quantitative determinations of carcinogen-modified nucleic acid bases and protein amino acids.⁹⁷⁸ Qu et al. discussed the use of chromatography/MS, along with immunological assay, fluorescence techniques, and P-32-postlabeling, for determining DNA adducts.⁹⁷⁹ In a 1992 review, Chiarelli and Lay discussed the use of FAB- and DCI-MS for analyzing carcinogen–DNA adducts, and in a 1995 review, Hemminki reported methods for detecting excreted urinary ribonucleic acid (RNA) and DNA adducts.⁹⁸¹ Apruzzese and Vouros reviewed the use of capillary methods coupled to mass spectrometry for analyzing DNA adducts,⁹⁸² and McClure et al. reviewed the use of GC/MS for analyzing hemoglobin adducts formed by exposure to the aromatic amine herbicides, propanil and fluometuron.⁹⁸³ Herce-Pagliai et al. reviewed the analytical methods for measuring 3-nitrotyrosine as a biomarker of exposure to reactive nitrogen species.⁹⁸⁴

CE/MS has been used recently to identify styrene oxide adducts in DNA and DNA components.^{985,986} LC/ESI-MS has also been used to identify glutathione conjugates of 1-nitronaphthalene⁹⁸⁷ and hemoglobin adducts of methyl bromide.⁹⁸⁸ Arylamine–DNA adducts have been identified using FAB-MS/MS^{989,990} and LD-TOF-MS.⁹⁹⁰ Immunoassay with mass spectrometry is increasing in use for biological samples. MALDI-TOF-MS has been used to identify antigens for toxins, following capture by immunoassay,⁹⁹¹ and GC/NCI-MS has been used to identify protein adducts formed by exposure to perchloroethene, following immunoaffinity chromatography.⁹⁹² GC/MS has been used in several studies for identifying DNA, hemoglobin, and protein adducts. Using GC/MS, benzo[*a*]pyrene metabolites and DNA adducts have been identified in human cervical tissues⁹⁹³ and hemoglobin adducts of acrylamide and glycidamide have been identified in humans.⁹⁹⁴ GC/MS has also permitted the identification of alachlor–protein adducts,⁹⁹⁵ DNA and protein adducts of halogenated olefins,⁹⁹⁶ a glutathione adduct of trichloroethylene,⁹⁹⁷ DNA adducts of 4-aminobiphenyl,⁹⁹⁸ and a new DNA adduct of benzo[*a*]pyrene.⁹⁹⁹ NCI-MS has been used in many of these studies to provide added sensitivity.

LC/MS and GC/MS techniques have been used to identify metabolites of pollutants in humans and animals. LC/ESI-MS has been used to determine metabolites of benzo[*a*]pyrene,¹⁰⁰⁰ benzene,¹⁰⁰¹ and naphthalene in urine.¹⁰⁰² GC/MS and GC/MS/MS have been used to determine metabolites of the insecticide pirimicarb,¹⁰⁰³ nitropyrene,¹⁰⁰⁴ styrene,¹⁰⁰⁵ polychlorinated aryl methyl sulfones,¹⁰⁰⁶ and chloral hydrate¹⁰⁰⁷ in urine, plasma, and tissue.

Two major incidents of dioxin exposure have made the measurement of dioxin in human tissues and

serum important. In 1976, an explosion at a chemical plant near Seveso, Italy, resulted in the largest incident of dioxin exposure ever recorded for humans. The other major incident involved the exposure of Vietnam War soldiers to dioxin through the aerial spraying of Agent Orange, which was used as a defoliant. Schecter published an historical review of congener-specific human tissue measurements as specific biomarkers of exposure to dioxins and related compounds.¹⁰⁰⁸ The majority of PCDD and PCDF measurements are accomplished through the use of GC/high-resolution-MS, which provides specificity and ppq sensitivity. Mocarelli et al. presented data from a long-term health monitoring (1976 to 1996) of groups of people in Seveso, Italy, affected by the TCDD accident that occurred in 1976.¹⁰⁰⁹ Recent advances have enabled the measurement of TCDD-blood lipid content in serum samples that have been kept frozen since the accident. From these samples and from newly collected samples, exposure to TCDD could be correlated with health effects observed during those years. Chloracne was the only clinical effect that could be positively correlated to the TCDD contamination, but there was a significant modification of the sex ratio during 1977–1984 with an excess of females associated with high TCDD exposure of both parents. However, this effect decreased with time, so that today, there are no longer significant differences.

Early studies of human tissue from Vietnam War veterans were published by Gross et al.¹⁰¹⁰ and Schecter et al.¹⁰¹¹ Later studies include those by Schecter et al.,¹⁰¹² Wolfe et al.,^{1013,1014} and Michalek et al.¹⁰¹⁵ In one of these studies, the half-life of TCDD was found to change significantly with body fat and age in 337 members of Operation Ranch Hand, the U.S. Air Force unit responsible for the aerial spraying of Agent Orange in Vietnam.¹⁰¹³ The half-life of TCDD was found to increase significantly with increasing percent body fat and decrease significantly with increasing relative change in percent body fat and age; the median half-life was found to be 11.3 years. Another study focused on whether paternal exposure to Agent Orange and its dioxin contaminant (TCDD) during the Vietnam War is related to adverse reproductive outcomes after the war.¹⁰¹⁴ Results from this study indicated no meaningful elevation in risk for spontaneous abortion or stillbirth but some elevation in the risk of birth defects. However, after review of clinical descriptions, these birth defects were found not to be biologically meaningful. There was an increase in nervous system defects in Ranch Hand children with increased paternal dioxin, but this was based on sparse data.¹⁰¹⁴

Rosenberg et al. measured PCDD and PCDF levels in the blood of workers at a pulp and paper mill and found no statistically significant differences in the total lipid-adjusted PCDD/PCDF concentrations between these workers and the controls (61 vs 49 pg/g).¹⁰¹⁶ Because there is a distinct distribution of PCDDs and PCDFs that results from chlorine-based pulping, measurements such as these can be used to determine whether the PCDD or PCDF levels found in human body fluids is linked to such an exposure.

PCDD and PCDF levels have also been measured in human milk from women in Norway, Sweden, and Denmark.¹⁰¹⁷ Four of the locations sampled had different PCDD and PCDF sources. It was found that there were no geographical differences in total dioxins measured. Mean values were 15–18 pg/g in Norway and Denmark and 20–24 pg/g in Sweden, with the highest values of PCDD congeners found in industrialized areas of Sweden and the highest values of PCDFs found in a Norwegian area known for dioxin contamination from a magnesium-producing factory.¹⁰¹⁷ Anderson et al. studied human blood and urine samples from people who eat fish from three of the Great Lakes (Lake Michigan, Lake Huron, and Lake Erie).¹⁰¹⁸ In these samples, PCDDs, PCDFs, PCBs, and pesticides were measured. The overall mean toxic equivalents for dioxins, furans, and coplanar PCBs were greater than selected background levels in the general population (dioxins, 1.8 times; furans, 2.4 times; and coplanar PCBs, 9.6 times).¹⁰¹⁸ PCDDs and related compounds have also been measured in polar bear milk in Norway¹⁰¹⁹ and in harp seals in the Greenland Sea.¹⁰²⁰ Concentrations ranged from 0.2 to 1.6 pg/mL in the polar bear milk¹⁰¹⁹ and 4–10 pg/g in the seal blubber.¹⁰²⁰ PCDDs have also been measured in human milk in The Netherlands¹⁰²¹ and in fish from the Great Lakes.¹⁰²² Finally, Boggess et al. reported the measurement of dioxins and PCBs in rat tissues using GC/high-resolution-MS and isotope dilution.¹⁰²³

Volatile organics have been measured in human samples (blood, urine, and breath) for a number of years, but the application of recent mass spectrometry techniques has greatly improved specificity and detection. Plebani et al. developed an optimized GC/MS method for analyzing benzene in exhaled breath using collection with Tedlar bags and crytrapping.¹⁰²⁴ Riedel used microwave desorption coupled with GC/MS to determine benzene after trapping exhaled air in graphitized charcoal tubes.¹⁰²⁵ Headspace-GC/MS,¹⁰²⁶ headspace-SPME-GC/MS,¹⁰²⁷ and SPE-GC/MS methods¹⁰²⁸ have been developed to measure benzene and related aromatic compounds in urine. The SPME method required only 2 mL of urine and produced detection limits of 12–34 ng/L, with a linear dynamic range up to 5000 ng/L.¹⁰²⁷ SPME-GC/MS has also been used to determine ppb levels of monocyclic aromatic amines in human milk.¹⁰²⁹ On-line MIMS was used to analyze the time persistence of monochloramine in human saliva and stomach fluid.¹⁰³⁰ Monochloramine is a popular drinking water disinfectant, and residual levels in drinking water are commonly maintained to prevent microbial regrowth in distribution systems. The results of this study indicated that the decay of monochloramine in saliva from 0.7 ppm to below the limit of detection (100 ppb) took approximately 5 min; from a level of 1.8 ppm, the decay time was approximately 50 min, and at higher monochloramine levels (3.6–15 ppm), monochloramine did not decay completely. These results indicated that most of the monochloramine ingested through drinking water reaches the stomach intact. In contrast to its reaction in saliva, monochloramine was found to decay rapidly in contact with

stomach fluid—at concentrations of 0.7–15 ppm, the decay occurred in approximately 30 s.¹⁰³⁰

GC/MS has been used to measure pesticides in human body fluids and tissues following fatal poisonings.^{1031,1032} Pauwels et al. reported GC/MS methods for measuring organochlorine pesticides in human serum and tissues.^{1033,1034} Williams et al. used GC/MS to measure chlorinated naphthalenes in human adipose tissue collected during autopsies in Canadian municipalities within the Great Lakes basin.¹⁰³⁵ 1,2,3,4,6,7- and 1,2,3,5,6,7-hexachloronaphthalenes were found in all tissue samples, together with an unidentified pentachloronaphthalene. Levels ranged from 0.1 to 25 ng/g fat. Pauwels and Schepens developed a method using gel permeation or adsorption chromatographic cleanup with GC/MS for measuring PCBs and other organochlorine hydrocarbon residues in human serum and adipose tissue.¹⁰³⁶ Liu et al. developed a method using headspace SPME-GC/MS for determining dichlorobenzene isomers in human blood.¹⁰³⁷ With this method, each compound was detected at a level of at least 0.02 μ g per 1 g of whole blood.

Pollutants have also been measured in aquatic animals. SFE-GC/high-resolution-MS has been used to determine PCBs in Antarctic krill.¹⁰³⁸ GC/MS and GC/MS/MS have been used to determine polychlorinated terphenyls in shellfish;¹⁰³⁹ toxaphene congeners in marine mammals, penguins, eggs of seabirds, and fish;^{1040–1049} organochlorine and organophosphorus compounds in whale tissue,¹⁰⁵⁰ seals and sea lions,¹⁰⁵¹ and porpoise and fish;¹⁰⁵² PCBs in dolphins;¹⁰⁵³ PAHs in fish;¹⁰⁵⁴ PCDDs and PCDFs in crab;¹⁰⁵⁵ polychlorinated paraffins in fish;¹⁰⁵⁶ halogenated fatty acids in marine mammals, fish, and bivalves;¹⁰⁵⁷ dichloromyristic acid in lobster;¹⁰⁵⁸ brominated diphenyl ethers in fish and seal;^{1059,1060} and VOCs in fish.¹⁰⁶¹ An important finding in one of these studies was that toxaphene levels were found to be constant in trout samples taken from Lake Superior over the time period of 1982–1992 (despite its ban in 1982), while levels decreased by a factor of 1.4–5 in trout from the other Great Lakes.¹⁰⁴⁶ This suggested that toxaphene concentrations in very large and very cold lakes (such as Lake Superior) decrease much more slowly than they do in relatively small and warm lakes (such as Lake Ontario).¹⁰⁴⁶ In a study involving the identification of extractable organically bound chlorine (EOBC) in lobsters, dichloromyristic acid was found to account for approximately 20% of the EOBC in the lipid tissue.¹⁰⁵⁸ GC/high-resolution-MS has been used to measure brominated and chlorinated dioxins and furans in osprey and salmon.¹⁰⁶² GC/ECNI-high-resolution-MS has been used to analyze C₁₄–C₁₇ polychloro-*n*-alkanes in fish¹⁰⁶³ and chlordane components in Antarctic penguin, Baltic fish and seal, and Arctic seal.¹⁰⁶⁴ LC-APCI-MS was used to determine sulfadiazine residues in salmon,¹⁰⁶⁵ and CE/MS/MS has been used to measure tetramine toxin in whelks.¹⁰⁶⁶ This toxin has been responsible for numerous incidents of human intoxication in Japan, Europe, and Canada due to the consumption of whelks.

Mass spectrometry has also been used to measure pesticides and other contaminants in foods. LC/ESI-MS has been used to determine pesticides in apples and pears¹⁰⁶⁷ and tomato juice¹⁰⁶⁸ as well as plant growth regulators in grain.¹⁰⁶⁹ DiCorcia used LC/ESI-MS to measure carbamate insecticides in 10 different types of fruits and vegetables.¹⁰⁷⁰ GC/MS has been used to determine pesticides in apples,¹⁰⁷¹ pears,¹⁰⁷² fruit juice,¹⁰⁷³ strawberries,^{1074,1075} and beans.¹⁰⁷⁵ Steele et al. used GC/MS to measure styrene in wheat, oats, peanuts, pecans, coffee beans, tomatoes, peaches, strawberries, cinnamon, beef, chicken, and milk.¹⁰⁷⁶ In this study, the highest measured concentrations of styrene were found in cinnamon (169–39 200 ng/g); styrene concentrations in beef ranged from 5.25 to 7.85 ng/g, and styrene concentrations in coffee beans ranged from 1.54 to 7.85 ng/g.¹⁰⁷⁶ Koske et al. used chiral-GC/MS to quantify enantiomers of organochlorine pesticides and their degradation products in cod liver and fish oils.¹⁰⁷⁷ Bellavia et al. used GC/MS to measure benzothiazole in wines.¹⁰⁷⁸ PAHs have been measured in smoked meats using GC/MS.^{1079,1080} One of these methods also used accelerated solvent extraction which reduced the amount of solvent used and automated the extraction process.¹⁰⁷⁹ Recoveries of PAHs using this method were found to be comparable or better than those obtained with Soxhlet extraction. GC/high-resolution-MS has been used to quantify PCDDs and PCDFs in milk,^{1081–1083} cheese,¹⁰⁸³ and fish.¹⁰⁸² GC/MS with positive and negative CI¹⁰⁸⁴ and SFE with GC/MS¹⁰⁸⁵ have been used to measure pesticide residues in herbal medicines. Cook et al. reported a study where fruit and vegetable extracts were screened for more than 40 pesticides by GC/MS,¹⁰⁸⁶ and Shafiei et al. reported the determination of PCBs and dioxins in corn oil formulations.¹⁰⁸⁷

B. Inorganic Analyses

Several studies have focused on the measurement of arsenic and organoarsenic species in body fluids. LC/ICP-MS has been used to determine organoarsenic species in the blood plasma of patients undergoing renal dialysis,¹⁰⁸⁸ and LC/MS and LC/ICP-MS have been used to identify and quantify arsenic species in the urine of rats chronically exposed to dimethylarsinic acid.¹⁰⁸⁹ CE/ESI-MS has been used to measure arsenic species in urine samples.¹⁰⁹⁰ GC/MS analysis following derivatization with thioglycol methylate¹⁰⁹¹ or LC/ESI-tandem MS¹⁰⁹² has been used to determine dimethylarsinic acid and monomethylarsonic acid in human urine. ICP-MS has been used to determine total arsenic in finger nails as a method of assessing chronic exposure to arsenic.¹⁰⁹³ Analytical methods using double-focusing ICP-MS have been used to determine 50 elements in digested human blood in one study¹⁰⁹⁴ or Cr, Co, Ni, and Pb in whole blood in another study.¹⁰⁹⁵ SPME-GC/MS was used to simultaneously determine Hg(II) and alkylated Hg, Pb, and Sn species in human urine, providing detection limits of 7–22 ng/L.¹⁰⁹⁶ High-resolution ICP-MS has been used to determine copper, zinc, cadmium, and lead in human urine from workers occupationally exposed to cadmium.¹⁰⁹⁷ Results revealed cadmium

levels 7–8-fold greater than for nonexposed workers, along with slight increases in lead.

High-performance size-exclusion chromatography has been used with ICP-MS to determine lead-bound ligands in human amniotic fluid.¹⁰⁹⁸ Ionic alkyllead compounds were measured in human urine using derivatization with GC/MS.¹⁰⁹⁹ ICP-MS was used to determine lead isotope ratios in whole blood, pottery, and leaded gasoline in residents of a small town in Mexico.¹¹⁰⁰ This study revealed that the predominant source of lead in this population was the ceramic ware used for cooking. Mercury has been measured in whole blood and urine using ICP-MS¹¹⁰¹ and in hair using cold vapor atomic adsorption-ICP-MS.¹¹⁰² High-resolution ICP-MS has been used to determine radionuclides in human body fluid samples,¹¹⁰³ and flow injection-ICP-MS has been used to determine uranium in urine and serum.¹¹⁰⁴ MacLellan et al. investigated two analytical procedures (alpha spectrometry and ICP-MS) for discriminating between environmental and occupational sources of uranium,¹¹⁰⁵ and Lewis and Schweitzer compared liquid scintillation and ICP-MS for measuring ⁹⁹Tc.¹¹⁰⁶ In the latter study, it was found that both techniques gave accurate results, but the precision of ICP-MS was superior to liquid scintillation.

Mass spectrometry methods have also been used to measure inorganics in aquatic organisms, plants, and foods. ICP-MS¹¹⁰⁷ and laser ablation-ICP-MS¹¹⁰⁸ have been used to determine trace elements in shellfish. ICP-MS has also been used to determine trace elements in lichens, with 0.2 µg/g detection limits.¹¹⁰⁹ Arsenic appears to be the most frequently measured element in biological samples. Newer LC/ICP-MS methods have been used to measure arsenic species in fish,^{1110–1112} mussels,¹¹¹³ marine algae,¹¹¹⁴ bivalves,¹¹¹⁴ crustaceans,¹¹¹⁴ and mushrooms.¹¹¹⁰ One of these papers reported the measurement of arsenic from mussels collected from the Barcelona harbor; typical concentrations for the seven arsenic species were 1–7 mg/kg.¹¹¹³ Other organoarsenical compounds, believed to be arsenosugars, were found in a concentration range of 0.3–1.5 mg/kg. In another study that measured arsenic species in fish caught in coastal waters around Plymouth, U.K., arsenic levels were found to range from 1.0 to 187 mg/kg.¹¹¹² Electrothermal vaporization-ICP-MS was used to determine arsenic in standard reference materials of plant origin,¹¹¹⁵ and microscale flow-ICP-MS was used to reduce background interferences and improve the detection of As in wines.¹¹¹⁶ Using this microscale flow method, concentrations of arsenic were found to be between 7 and 13 pg/µL for all wines examined, which were significantly lower than maximum permissible limits. Fecher and Ruhnke optimized digestion conditions for ICP-MS determinations of arsenic and selenium in foodstuffs (mussel tissue, egg powder, and Brazil nuts).¹¹¹⁷ Size-exclusion chromatography-ICP-MS was used to fractionate and measure soluble selenium compounds from fish.¹¹¹⁸ Heydorn et al. discussed the validation of ICP-MS methods for determining aluminum in fish gills,¹¹¹⁹ and Buckley and Ilnat reported the determination of copper, molybdenum, and selenium in 10 new biological

reference materials.¹¹²⁰ Lee et al. developed a method for determining heavy metals in calcified tissues,¹¹²¹ and Bargagli et al. reported results from the measurement of metals in a variety of mosses in Italy and northern Antarctica.¹¹²² Slaets et al. optimized the coupling of multicapillary GC with ICP-MS for mercury speciation in biological materials and reported detection limits of 0.2 ng/g for methylmercury.¹¹²³ Finally, atomic fluorescence spectrometry (AFS) was compared to GC/ICP-MS for determining methylmercury in ringed seal and buluga whale.¹¹²⁴ Detection limits were 0.25 and 0.9 pg for AFS and GC/ICP-MS, respectively.

X. Field-Portable Mass Spectrometry

In recent years, field-portable mass spectrometry has gained importance for on-site measurements of environmental contaminants. This MS equipment generally involves a small, mobile instrument that can be transported to collect field data on-site or MS equipment that is housed in a mobile laboratory (usually a bus equipped with instrumentation). These field-portable mass spectrometers offer real advantages for characterizing contaminated field sites. Traditional analyses involve the collection of a few representative samples from a contaminated site and transporting them back to an off-site, permanent laboratory for analysis. Field-portable mass spectrometers are enabling rapid, on-site collection of data that allows more samples to be taken and can result in a more complete characterization of the contaminated site. In this way, complex gradients and plumes can be more efficiently mapped and studied and changes can be monitored in real-time. There have been several excellent reviews on field-portable mass spectrometry. Baykut and Franzen published a review in 1994 entitled 'Mobile Mass Spectrometry—A Decade of Field Applications'.¹¹²⁵ Poppiti published a review in 1994 on the role of field testing in environmental measurements,¹¹²⁶ and Kotiaho published a 1996 review on on-site environmental and in-situ process analysis by mass spectrometry.¹¹²⁷ Wise et al. reviewed the use of direct MS analysis of environmental samples,¹¹²⁸ and Eckenrode reviewed applications of field-portable GC/MS.¹¹²⁹ The latter paper also discussed the trend toward integrated miniaturization. More recently, Badman and Cooks published a review on miniature mass analyzers.¹¹³⁰

Chambers et al. reported the development of an ion-store TOF-MS that can be used for real-time measurement of VOCs in air,¹¹³¹ and Cameron reported the effects of air and helium on the performance of an ion-trap-MS when used for real-time monitoring of atmospheric pollutants.¹¹³² Also mentioned earlier in the section on Air, Prather et al. developed aerosol-TOF-MS to measure automobile exhaust emissions and other samples in the field in real-time.^{169,171–173} Liu developed a method using a transportable purge-and-trap GC/MS system for VOC analyses in air, water, and soil in a mobile laboratory.¹¹³³ Schuetz et al. compared the quality of data produced by on-site GC/MS to GC/MS data collected at an off-site, permanent laboratory.¹¹³⁴ Virkki et al.

described a MIMS method for on-site environmental analysis in a mobile laboratory.¹¹³⁵ Results showed that many environmentally significant compounds could be measured directly from water samples at sub-ppb levels, with response times of 1–2 min and linear dynamic ranges of 4 orders of magnitude. Reiner et al. developed an aircraft-borne triple-quadrupole MS for studies of ions in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere.¹¹³⁶ McClennen et al. used a Roving GC/MS instrument to map VOC gradients and trends in real-time.^{1137,1138} Matz et al. designed a semiautomatic GC/MS system to perform on-site sampling and analysis in <10 min.¹¹³⁹ This mobile GC/MS system was designed specifically for the rapid analysis of hazardous organics in fire and chemical accidents. Gorshteyn et al. designed an in-situ sampling device capable of thermally desorbing organics bound to subsurface soils at depths up to 20 m,¹¹⁴⁰ and Almirall et al. reported the field recovery of explosive residues using SPME-GC/MS.¹¹⁴¹ Matz et al. developed a field GC/MS method for evaluating total petroleum hydrocarbons.¹¹⁴² In another study, Matz et al. compared solvent-less sample preparation methods for use with a mobile GC/MS system.¹¹⁴³ These preparation methods included membrane extraction with a sorbent interface, thermal membrane desorption, and purge-and-trap. Thermal desorption-GC/MS was used in two separate studies for on-site determinations of PAHs and other pollutants.^{1144, 1145}

XI. Conclusions

The importance of protecting our environment became a prime issue in the early 1970s, and mass spectrometry played a key role in that early movement. Mass spectrometry allowed the precise identification of pollutants and has enabled a better understanding of environmental processes. With the advent of GC/MS, complex environmental samples could be effectively separated and individual pollutants unequivocally identified. The development of computers later simplified the data analysis process, and even today, computers continue to advance so that rapid analyses can be processed and huge data sets can be handled in an automated fashion. The many advances that have occurred over the years in mass spectrometry are a tribute to the bright and innovative scientists who continue to develop new ideas and improve our ability to measure pollutants. Just when researchers begin to think that the field of mass spectrometry has matured and all of the analytical techniques have been fully explored, a new analytical tool is created. Examples of these include the development of MALDI-MS, which is now enabling specific information for microorganisms that was not possible with traditional microscopic techniques. API-MS techniques are also enabling the analysis of highly polar pesticides and other pollutants that was not possible a few years ago. The development of 'softer' ionization techniques such as FAB-MS and later MALDI- and API-MS are now enabling very large (> 1000 Da), nonvolatile, macromolecular complexes to be studied for the first time. It is expected that these MS techniques will soon

rapidly expand our understanding of natural organic matter, carcinogen–DNA adducts, and mechanisms of carcinogenicity and toxicity of pollutants—to name a few. As Keith stated in his 1981 book *Advances in the Identification & Analysis of Organic Pollutants in Water*,¹⁶ “Now we have to work harder and be more clever to produce newer and better methodology with which to uncover the more difficult organic pollutants”—it is true that we are now addressing those “more difficult organic pollutants”. What an exciting time this is.

XII. Acknowledgments

The author thanks Janice Sims and Brandy Manders for their invaluable assistance during the reference acquisition period. This paper has been reviewed in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's peer and administrative review policies and approved for publication. Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute endorsement or recommendation for use by the U.S. EPA.

XIII. References

- Rook, J. J. *Water Treat. Exam.* **1974**, 23 (2), 234–243.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, *Draft Analytical Report—New Orleans Area Water Supply Study*, EPA-906/10-74-002, 1974.
- Kopfler, F. C.; Melton, R. G.; Lingg, R. D.; Coleman, W. E. In *Identification and Analysis of Organic Pollutants in Water*; Keith, L. H., Ed.; Ann Arbor Science: Ann Arbor, MI, 1976; pp 87–104.
- (4) *Identification and Analysis of Organic Pollutants in Water*; Keith, L. H., Ed.; Ann Arbor Science: Ann Arbor, MI, 1976; pp iii–v.
- Keith, L. H.; Garrison, A. W.; Allen, F. R.; Carter, M. H.; Floyd, T. L.; Pope, J. D.; Thruston, A. D., Jr. In *Identification and Analysis of Organic Pollutants in Water*; Keith, L. H., Ed.; Ann Arbor Science: Ann Arbor, MI, 1976; pp 329–373.
- Coleman, W. E.; Lingg, R. D.; Melton, R. G.; Kopfler. In *Identification and Analysis of Organic Pollutants in Water*; Keith, L. H., Ed.; Ann Arbor Science: Ann Arbor, MI, 1976; pp 305–327.
- Kleopfer, R. D. In *Identification and Analysis of Organic Pollutants in Water*; Keith, L. H., Ed.; Ann Arbor Science: Ann Arbor, MI, 1976; pp 399–416.
- Watts, C. D.; Crathorne, B.; Crane, R. I.; Fielding, M. In *Identification and Analysis of Organic Pollutants in Water*; Keith, L. H., Ed.; Ann Arbor Science: Ann Arbor, MI, 1976; pp 383–416.
- Suffet, I. H.; Brenner, L.; Radziul, J. V. In *Identification and Analysis of Organic Pollutants in Water*; Keith, L. H., Ed.; Ann Arbor Science: Ann Arbor, MI, 1976; pp 375–397.
- Dunlap, W. J.; Shew, D. C.; Scalf, M. R.; Cosby, R. L.; Robertson, J. M. In *Identification and Analysis of Organic Pollutants in Water*; Keith, L. H., Ed.; Ann Arbor Science: Ann Arbor, MI, 1976; pp 453–477.
- Giger, W.; Reinhard, M.; Schaffner, C.; Zürcher, F. In *Identification and Analysis of Organic Pollutants in Water*; Keith, L. H., Ed.; Ann Arbor Science: Ann Arbor, MI, 1976; pp 433–452.
- Garrison, A. W.; Pope, J. D.; Allen, F. R. In *Identification and Analysis of Organic Pollutants in Water*; Keith, L. H., Ed.; Ann Arbor Science: Ann Arbor, MI, 1976; pp 517–556.
- Burlingame, A. L.; Kimble, B. J.; Scott, E. S.; Walls, F. C.; de Leeuw, J. W.; de Lappe, B. W.; Risebrough, R. W. In *Identification & Analysis of Organic Pollutants in Water*; Keith, L. H., Ed.; Ann Arbor Science: Ann Arbor, MI, 1976; pp 557–585.
- Fox, M. E. In *Identification and Analysis of Organic Pollutants in Water*; Keith, L. H., Ed.; Ann Arbor Science: Ann Arbor, MI, 1976; pp 641–659.
- Keith, L. H. In *Identification and Analysis of Organic Pollutants in Water*; Keith, L. H., Ed.; Ann Arbor Science: Ann Arbor, MI, 1976; pp 671–707.
- (16) *Advances in the Identification & Analysis of Organic Pollutants in Water*; Keith, L. H., Ed.; Ann Arbor Science: Ann Arbor, MI, 1981; pp iii–v.
- Hunt, D. F.; Shabanowitz, J.; Harvey, T. M.; Coates, M. *Anal. Chem.* **1985**, 57, 525–537.
- Colby, B. N.; Rosencrance, A. E. In *Advances in the Identification & Analysis of Organic Pollutants in Water*; Keith, L. H., Ed.; Ann Arbor Science: Ann Arbor, MI, 1981; Vol. 1, pp 221–230.
- Lopez-Avila, V.; Haile, C. L.; Goddard, P. R.; Malone, L. S.; Northcutt, R. V.; Rose, D. R.; Robson, R. L. In *Advances in the Identification & Analysis of Organic Pollutants in Water*; Keith, L. H., Ed.; Ann Arbor Science: Ann Arbor, MI, 1981; Vol. 2, pp 793–829.
- Lin, D. C. K.; Melton, R. G.; Kopfler, F. C.; Lucas, S. V. In *Advances in the Identification & Analysis of Organic Pollutants in Water*; Keith, L. H., Ed.; Ann Arbor Science: Ann Arbor, MI, 1981; Vol. 2, pp 861–906.
- Lindström, K.; Nordin, J.; Osterberg, F. In *Advances in the Identification & Analysis of Organic Pollutants in Water*; Keith, L. H., Ed.; Ann Arbor Science: Ann Arbor, MI, 1981; Vol. 2, pp 1039–1058.
- Proctor, B. L.; Elder, V. A.; Hites, R. A. In *Advances in the Identification & Analysis of Organic Pollutants in Water*; Keith, L. H., Ed.; Ann Arbor Science: Ann Arbor, MI, 1981; Vol. 2, pp 1017–1037.
- Pellizzari, E. D.; Tomer, K. B.; Moseley, M. A. In *Advances in the Identification & Analysis of Organic Pollutants in Water*; Keith, L. H., Ed.; Ann Arbor Science: Ann Arbor, MI, 1981; Vol. 1, pp 197–218.
- Christman, R. F.; Liao, W. T.; Millington, D. S.; Johnson, J. D. In *Advances in the Identification & Analysis of Organic Pollutants in Water*; Keith, L. H., Ed.; Ann Arbor Science: Ann Arbor, MI, 1981; Vol. 2, pp 979–999.
- Alford, A. *Biomed. Mass Spectrom.* **1975**, 2 (5), 229–253.
- Alford, A. *Biomed. Mass Spectrom.* **1977**, 4 (1), 1–22.
- Alford, A. *Biomed. Mass Spectrom.* **1978**, 5 (4), 259–286.
- Freudenthal, J. *Adv. Mass Spectrom.* **1978**, 7B, 1659–1673.
- Keith, L. H. *J. Chromatogr. Sci.* **1979**, 17 (1), 48–54.
- Safe, S. *Mass Spectrom.* **1979**, 5, 234–249.
- Schulten, H. R. *Int. J. Mass Spectrom. Ion Phys.* **1979**, 32 (2–3), 97–283.
- Dougherty, R. C. *Biomed. Mass Spectrom.* **1981**, 8 (7), 283–292.
- Dougherty, R. C. *Biochem. Appl. Mass Spectrom.*; Waller, G. R., Dermer, O. C., Eds.; Wiley: New York, 1980; 1st Suppl. Vol., pp 951–968.
- Cairns, T.; Fishbein, L.; Mitchum, R. K. *Biomed. Mass Spectrom.* **1980**, 7 (11–12), 484–492.
- Cairns, T.; Siegmund, E. G.; Jacobson, R. A.; Barry, T.; Petzinger, G.; Morris, W.; Heikes, D. *Biomed. Mass Spectrom.* **1983**, 10 (5), 301–315.
- Burse, M. M.; Hass, J. R. *Tandem Mass Spectrometry*; McLafferty, F. W., Ed.; Wiley: New York, 1983; pp 465–478.
- Clement, R. E.; Yang, P. W.; Koester, C. J. *Anal. Chem.* **1999**, 71 (12), 257R–292R.
- Clement, R. E.; Yang, P. W.; Koester, C. J. *Anal. Chem.* **1997**, 69 (12), 251R–287R.
- Clement, R. E.; Eiceman, G. A.; Koester, C. J. *Anal. Chem.* **1995**, 67 (12), 221R–255R.
- Clement, R. E.; Koester, C. J.; Eiceman, G. A. *Anal. Chem.* **1993**, 65 (12), 85R–116R.
- Richardson, S. D. *Anal. Chem.* **1999**, 71 (12), 181R–215R.
- MacCarthy, P.; Klusman, R. W.; Cowling, S. W.; Rice, J. A. *Anal. Chem.* **1995**, 67 (12), 525R–582R.
- MacCarthy, P.; Klusman, R. W.; Cowling, S. W.; Rice, J. A. *Anal. Chem.* **1993**, 65 (12), 244R–292R.
- Labreche, T. M. C.; Dietrich, A. M.; DaCosta, W. F. *Water Environ. Res.* **1998**, 70 (4), 391–404.
- Dietrich, A. M.; DaCosta, W. F. *Water Environ. Res.* **1997**, 69 (4), 391–403.
- Dietrich, A. M.; Jensen, J. N.; DaCosta, W. F. *Water Environ. Res.* **1996**, 68 (4), 391–406.
- Dietrich, A. M.; Jensen, J. N. *Water Environ. Res.* **1995**, 67 (4), 391–406.
- Jensen, J. N.; Dietrich, A. M. *Water Environ. Res.* **1994**, 66 (4), 279–291.
- Lopez-Avila, V. *J. AOAC Int.* **1999**, 82 (1), 217–222.
- Charles, M. J.; Feinberg, T. N. *Appl. Spectrosc. Rev.* **1995**, 30 (3), 181–218.
- Barcelo, D. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **1992**, 263 (1–2), 1–19.
- Hites, R. A. *Int. J. Mass Spectrom. Ion Processes* **1992**, 118, 369–380.
- Koester, C. J.; Clement, R. E. *Crit. Rev. Anal. Chem.* **1993**, 24 (4), 263–316.
- Burlingame, A. L.; Boyd, R. K.; Gaskell, S. J. *Anal. Chem.* **1998**, 70 (16), 647R–716R.
- Burlingame, A. L.; Boyd, R. K.; Gaskell, S. J. *Anal. Chem.* **1996**, 68 (12), 599R–651R.
- Berger, U.; Kölliker, S.; Oehme, M. *Chimia* **1999**, 53 (10), 492–497.
- Moder, M.; Popp, P. *Applications of Solid Phase Microextraction*; Pawliszyn, J., Ed.; Royal Society of Chemistry: Cambridge, UK, 1999; pp 311–326.
- Niessen, W. M. A. *J. Chromatogr. A* **1999**, 856 (1–2), 179–197.
- Voyksner, R. D. *ACS Symp. Ser.* **1996**, 619, 565–582.

- (60) Careri, M.; Manini, P.; Maspero, M. *Ann. Chim.* **1994**, *84* (11–12), 475–508.
- (61) Linscheid, M.; Westmoreland, D. G. *Pure Appl. Chem.* **1994**, *66* (9), 1913–1930.
- (62) Garcia, J. F.; Barcelo, D. *J. High Resolut. Chromatogr.* **1993**, *16* (11), 633–641.
- (63) Mattina, M. J. *J. Chromatogr. Lib.* **1996**, *59* (Applications of LC-MS in Environmental Chemistry), 325–344.
- (64) Hsu, J. *J. Chromatogr. Lib.* **1996**, *59* (Applications of LC-MS in Environmental Chemistry), 345–398.
- (65) Lawrence, J. F. *Int. J. Environ. Anal. Chem.* **1992**, *49* (1–2), 15–29.
- (66) Brown, M. A.; Stephens, R. D.; Kim, I. S. *TrAC, Trends Anal. Chem.* **1991**, *10* (10), 330–336.
- (67) Linscheid, M. *Int. J. Environ. Anal. Chem.* **1992**, *49* (1–2), 1–14.
- (68) Van Emon, J.; Lopez-Avila, V. *ACS Symp. Ser.* **1996**, *646* (Environmental Immunochemical Methods), 74–88.
- (69) Cooks, R. G.; Kotiaho, T. *ACS Symp. Ser.* **1992**, *508*, 127–154.
- (70) Srinivasan, N.; Johnson, R. C.; Kasthurikrishnan, N.; Wong, P.; Cooks, R. G. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **1997**, *350* (3), 257–271.
- (71) Banks, J. F. *Electrophoresis* **1997**, *18* (12–13), 2255–2266.
- (72) Brumley, W. C.; Winnik, W. *J. Chromatogr. Lib.* **1996**, *59* (Applications of LC-MS in Environmental Chemistry), 481–527.
- (73) Cai, J. Y.; Henion, J. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1995**, *703* (1–2), 667–692.
- (74) Barnes, R. M. *Fresenius' J. Anal. Chem.* **1998**, *361* (3), 246–251.
- (75) Barcelo, D. *TrAC, Trends Anal. Chem.* **1991**, *10* (10), 323–329.
- (76) Ragunathan, N.; Krock, K. A.; Klawun, C.; Sasaki, T. A.; Wilkins, C. L. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1999**, *856* (1–2), 349–397.
- (77) Sasaki, T. A.; Wilkins, C. L. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1999**, *842* (1–2), 341–349.
- (78) Ong, V. S.; Hites, R. A. *Mass Spectrom. Rev.* **1994**, *13* (3), 259–283.
- (79) Mattina, M. J. *TrAC, Trends Anal. Chem.* **1993**, *12* (8), 328–333.
- (80) Boyd, R. K. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **1993**, *7* (4), 257–271.
- (81) Heumann, K. G. *Int. J. Mass Spectrom. Ion Processes* **1992**, *118*, 575–592.
- (82) Meier-Augenstein, W. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1999**, *842* (1–2), 351–371.
- (83) Lichtfouse, E.; Budzinski, H. *Analisis* **1995**, *23* (8), 364–369.
- (84) Brenna, J. T.; Corso, T. N.; Tobias, H. J.; Caimi, R. *J. Mass Spectrom. Rev.* **1997**, *16* (5), 227–258.
- (85) Benninghoven, A. *Surf. Sci.* **1994**, *300* (1–3), 246–260.
- (86) Bacon, J. R.; Crain, J. S.; Van Vaec, L.; Williams, J. G. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1999**, *14* (10), 1633–1659.
- (87) Haraguchi, H. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1999**, *72* (6), 1163–1186.
- (88) Mach, M. H.; Nott, B.; Scott, J. W.; Maddaloni, R. F.; Whiddon, N. T. *Water Air Soil Pollut.* **1996**, *90* (1–2), 269–279.
- (89) Bersier, P. M.; Howell, J.; Bruntlett, C. *Analyst* **1994**, *119* (2), 219–232.
- (90) Lobinski, R. *Analisis* **1994**, *22* (2), 37–48.
- (91) Cresser, M. S.; Armstrong, J.; Cook, J.; Dean, J. R.; Watkins, P.; Cave, M. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1993**, *8* (1), 1R–44R.
- (92) Sutton, K. L.; Caruso, J. A. *Inductively Coupled Plasma Spectrometry and Its Applications*; Hill Ed.; Sheffield Academic Press: Sheffield, UK, 1999; pp 245–272.
- (93) De Smaele, T.; Moens, L.; Dams, R.; Sandra, P. *Applications of Solid Phase Microextraction*; Pawliszyn, J., Ed.; Royal Society of Chemistry: Cambridge, UK, 1999; pp 296–310.
- (94) Gregoire, D. C. *Spectroscopy* **1999**, *14* (4), 16–19.
- (95) Zoorob, G. K.; McKiernan, J. W.; Caruso, J. A. *Mikrochim. Acta* **1998**, *128* (3–4), 145–168.
- (96) Halliday, A. N.; Lee, D. C.; Christensen, J. N.; Rehkemper, M.; Yi, W.; Luo, X. Z.; Hall, C. M.; Ballentine, C. J.; Pettke, T.; Stirling, C. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **1998**, *62* (6), 919–940.
- (97) Wolf, R. E.; Grosser, Z. A. *At. Spectrosc.* **1997**, *18* (5), 145–151.
- (98) Tomlinson, M. J.; Lin, L.; Caruso, J. A. *Analyst* **1995**, *120* (3), 583–589.
- (99) Broekaert, J. A. *Mikrochim. Acta* **1995**, *12* (1–4), 21–38.
- (100) Uden, P. C. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1995**, *703* (1–2), 393–416.
- (101) Byrdy, F. A.; Caruso, J. A. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1994**, *28* (12), 528A–532A.
- (102) Crain, J. S. *Spectroscopy* **1996**, *11* (2), 30–34.
- (103) Daolio, S.; Faccin, B.; Pagura, C.; Vigato, P. A. *Mater. Chem. Phys.* **1992**, *31* (1–2), 127–135.
- (104) Sah, R. N. *Appl. Spectrosc. Rev.* **1995**, *30* (1–2), 35–80.
- (105) Brenner, I. B.; Taylor, H. E. *Crit. Rev. Anal. Chem.* **1992**, *23* (5), 355–367.
- (106) Ellis, L. A.; Roberts, D. J. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1997**, *774* (1–2), 3–19.
- (107) Hill, S. J.; Bloxham, M. J.; Worsfold, P. J. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1993**, *8* (4), 499–515.
- (108) Heumann, K. G.; Eisenhut, S.; Gallus, S.; Hebeda, E. H.; Nusko, R.; Vengosh, A.; Walczyk, T. *Analyst* **1995**, *120* (5), 1291–1299.
- (109) Liu, Y. F.; Guo, Z. Y.; Liu, X. Q.; Qu, T.; Xie, J. L. *Pure Appl. Chem.* **1994**, *66* (2), 305–334.
- (110) Rucklidge, J. *Analyst* **1995**, *120* (5), 1283–1290.
- (111) McDaniel, F. D.; Matteson, S.; Weathers, D. L.; Duggan, J. L.; Marble, D. K.; Hassan, I.; Zhao, Z. Y.; Anthony, J. M. *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.* **1992**, *160* (1), 119–140.
- (112) Koropchak, J. A.; Veber, M. *Crit. Rev. Anal. Chem.* **1992**, *23* (3), 113–141.
- (113) Ferrer, I.; Barcelo, D. *Analisis* **1998**, *26* (6), M118–M122.
- (114) Barcelo, D.; Honing, M.; Chiron, S. *J. Chromatogr. Lib.* **1996**, *59* (Applications of LC-MS in Environmental Chemistry), 219–261.
- (115) Liska, I.; Slobodnik, J. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1996**, *733* (1–2), 235–258.
- (116) Slobodnik, J.; Vanbaar, B. L.; Brinkman, U. A. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1995**, *703* (1–2), 81–121.
- (117) Riu, J.; Schonsee, I.; Barcelo, D.; Rafols, C. *TrAC, Trends Anal. Chem.* **1997**, *16* (7), 405–419.
- (118) Ynon, J.; Betowski, L. D.; Voyksner, R. D. *J. Chromatogr. Lib.* **1996**, *59* (Applications of LC-MS in Environmental Chemistry), 187–218.
- (119) Schröder, H. F. *J. Chromatogr. Lib.* **1996**, *59* (Applications of LC-MS in Environmental Chemistry), 263–324.
- (120) Quilliam, M. A. *J. Chromatogr. Lib.* **1996**, *59* (Applications of LC-MS in Environmental Chemistry), 415–444.
- (121) Kataoka, H. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1997**, *774* (1–2), 121–142.
- (122) Cancilla, D. A.; Hee, S. S. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1992**, *627* (1–2), 1–16.
- (123) Van Grieken, R.; Xhoffer, C. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1992**, *7* (2), 81–88.
- (124) Morita, M.; Edmonds, J. S. *Pure Appl. Chem.* **1992**, *64* (4), 575–590.
- (125) Betowski, L. D.; Jones, T. L. *J. Chromatogr. Lib.* **1996**, *59* (Applications of LC-MS in Environmental Chemistry), 399–414.
- (126) Cela, R.; Lorenzo, R. A.; Carro, A. M.; Rubi, E.; Vazquez, M. J. *Recent Res. Dev. Pure Appl. Anal. Chem.* **1998**, *1*, 263–322.
- (127) Zagorevskii, D. V.; Nekrasov, Y. S. *Mass Spectrom. Rev.* **1995**, *14* (1), 21–48.
- (128) EPA Method 321.8. *Determination of Bromate in Drinking Waters by Ion Chromatography Inductively Coupled-Mass Spectrometry*; U.S. EPA, Office of Research and Development, National Exposure Research Laboratory, 26 W. Martin Luther King Dr., Cincinnati, OH 45268, **1998**.
- (129) National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Source Category: Pulp and Paper Production; Effluent Limitations Guidelines, Pretreatment Standards, and New Source Performance Standards: Pulp, Paper, and Paperboard Category; Final Rule. *Fed. Registr.* 15 Apr **1998**, *63* (72), 18703–18751.
- (130) National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Source Categories: Pharmaceuticals Production; Final Rule. *Fed. Registr.* 21 Sep **1998**, *63* (182), 50387–50437.
- (131) Suess, D. T.; Prather, K. A. *Chem. Rev.* **1999**, *99* (10), 3007–3035.
- (132) Grohse, P. M. *Adv. Environ., Ind. Process Control Technol.* **1999**, *1* (Elemental Analysis of Airborne Particles), 1–65.
- (133) Mitra, S.; Feng, C.; Zhang, L.; Ho, W.; McAllister, G. *J. Mass Spectrom.* **1999**, *34* (5), 478–485.
- (134) Brinkman, M. C.; Callahan, P. J.; Delitala, C.; Kenny, D. V.; Loggia, M. C.; Porcelli, M.; Gordon, S. M. *Adv. Air Pollut.* **1998**, *5* (Air Pollution VI), 99–108.
- (135) Shchekina, I. V.; Dryannov, A. I. *Adv. Mass Spectrom.* [computer optical disk] **1998**, *14*, B042530/1–B042530/9.
- (136) Tobias, H. J.; Ziemann, P. *J. Anal. Chem.* **1999**, *71* (16), 3428–3435.
- (137) Pelzing, M.; Herrmann, H. *Proc. EUROTRAC Symp. '98: Transp. Chem. Transform. Troposphere*; **1998** (Pub. 1999), Vol. 1, pp 634–638.
- (138) De Bortoli, M.; Kephelopoulous, S.; Kirchner, S.; Schauenburg, H.; Vissers, H. *Indoor Air* **1999**, *9* (2), 103–116.
- (139) Gorlo, D.; Zygmunt, B.; Dudek, M.; Jaszek, A.; Pilarczyk, M.; Namiesnik, J. *Fresenius' J. Anal. Chem.* **1999**, *363* (7), 696–699.
- (140) Karpe, P.; Kirchner, S.; Rouxel, P. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1995**, *708* (1), 105–114.
- (141) Grosjean, E.; Grosjean, D. *J. Atmos. Chem.* **1999**, *32* (2), 205–232.
- (142) Anderson, P. N.; Hites, R. A. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1996**, *30* (1), 301–306.
- (143) Letzel, T.; Rosenberg, E.; Wissiack, R.; Grasserbauer, M.; Niessner, R. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1999**, *855* (2), 501–514.
- (144) Aschmann, S. M.; Atkinson, R. *Int. J. Chem. Kinet.* **1999**, *31* (7), 501–513.
- (145) Spanel, P.; Smith, D. *Int. J. Mass Spectrom.* **1999**, *189* (2/3), 213–223.
- (146) Yu, J. Z.; Jeffries, H. E.; LeLacheur, R. M. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1995**, *29* (8), 1923–1932.
- (147) Spaulding, R. S.; Frazey, P.; Rao, X.; Charles, M. J. *Anal. Chem.* **1999**, *71*, 3420–3427.
- (148) Chien, C. J.; Charles, M. J.; Sexton, K. G.; Jeffries, H. E. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1998**, *32* (2), 299–309.

- (149) Frazey, P.; Rao, X.; Spaulding, R.; Beld, B.; Charles, M. J. *Int. J. Mass Spectrom.* **1999**, *190/191*, 343–357.
- (150) Kölliker, S.; Oehme, M.; Dye, C. *Anal. Chem.* **1998**, *70*(9), 1979–1985.
- (151) Grosjean, E.; Green, P. G.; Grosjean, D. *Anal. Chem.* **1999**, *71*(9), 1851–1861.
- (152) Thomas, C. P.; McGill, C. D.; Towill, R. *Analyst* **1997**, *122*(12), 1471–1476.
- (153) Tsai, S.-W.; Hee, S. S. Q. *Am Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J.* **1999**, *60*(4), 463–473.
- (154) Zurek, G.; Luftmann, H.; Karst, U. *Analyst* **1999**, *124*(9), 1291–1295.
- (155) Cecinato, A.; Marino, F.; Di Filippo, P.; Lepore, L.; Possanzini, M. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1999**, *846*(1–2), 255–264.
- (156) Niederer, M. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. Int.* **1998**, *5*(4), 209–216.
- (157) Medvedovici, A.; David, F.; Desmet, G.; Sandra, P. *J. Microcolumn Sep.* **1998**, *10*(1), 89–97.
- (158) Bezabeh, D. Z.; Jones, A. D.; Ashbaugh, L. L.; Kelly, P. B. *Aerosol Sci. Technol.* **1999**, *30*(3), 288–299.
- (159) Lewis, A. C.; Robison, R. E.; Bartle, K. D.; Pilling, M. J. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1995**, *29*(8), 1977–1981.
- (160) Hachimi, A.; Krier, G.; Poitevin, E.; Schweigert, M. C.; Peter, S.; Muller, J. F. *Int. J. Environ. Anal. Chem.* **1996**, *62*(3), 219–230.
- (161) Vincenti, M.; Minero, C.; Pelizzetti, E.; Fontana, M.; Demaria, R. *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.* **1996**, *7*(12), 1255–1265.
- (162) Haefliger, O. P.; Bucheli, T. D.; Zenobi, R. *Analisis* **1999**, *27*(4), 337–339.
- (163) Yang, Y.; Baumann, W. *Analyst* **1995**, *120*(2), 243–248.
- (164) Roussel, R.; Allaire, M.; Friar, R. S. *J. Air Waste Manag. Assoc.* **1992**, *42*(12), 1609–1613.
- (165) Wybraniec, S.; Dejong, A. P. *Fresenius' J. Anal. Chem.* **1996**, *356*(6), 396–402.
- (166) Allen, J. O.; Dookeran, N. M.; Taghizadeh, K.; Lafleur, A. L.; Smith, K. A.; Sarofim, A. F. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1997**, *31*(7), 2064–2070.
- (167) Koerber, R.; Bayona, J. M.; Niessner, R. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1999**, *33*(10), 1552–1558.
- (168) Asano, K. G.; Goeringer, D. E.; McLuckey, S. A. *Anal. Chem.* **1995**, *67*(17), 2739–2742.
- (169) Reilly, P. T. A.; Gieray, R. A.; Whitten, W. B.; Ramsey, J. M. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1998**, *32*(18), 2672–2679.
- (170) Silva, P. J.; Prather, K. A. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1997**, *31*(11), 3074–3080.
- (171) Loepfe, M.; Burtscher, H.; Siegmann, H. C. *Water Soil Air Pollut.* **1993**, *68*(1–2), 177–184.
- (172) Gross, D. S.; Galli, M. E.; Silva, P. J.; Wood, S. H.; Liu, D. Y.; Prather, K. A. *Aerosol Sci. Technol.* **2000**, *32*(2), 152–163.
- (173) Nordmeyer, T.; Prather, K. A. *Anal. Chem.* **1994**, *66*(20), 3540–3542.
- (174) Nobel, C. A.; Prather, K. A. *Mass Spectrom. Rev.* **2000**, *19*(4), 248–274.
- (175) Huang, C. C.; Chen, Y. C.; Her, G. R.; Chan, C. C. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1996**, *731*(1–2), 217–224.
- (176) Ng, K. C.; Cheng, Z. L. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* **1997**, *44*(1–3), 437–441.
- (177) Li, H.; Banner, C. D.; Mason, G. G.; Westerholm, R. N.; Rafter, J. J. *Atmos. Environ.* **1996**, *30*(20), 3537–3543.
- (178) Franzen, J.; Frey, R.; Nagel, H. *J. Mol. Struct.* **1995**, *347*, 143–151.
- (179) Yassaa, N.; Meklati, B. Y.; Cecinato, A. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1999**, *846*(1–2), 287–293.
- (180) Hassoun, S.; Pilling, M. J.; Bartle, K. D. *J. Environ. Monit.* **1999**, *1*(5), 453–458.
- (181) Wedel, A.; Muller, K.-P.; Ratte, M.; Rudolph, J. *J. Atmos. Chem.* **1998**, *31*(1–2), 73–103.
- (182) Fernandez-Martinez, G.; Lopez-Mahia, P.; Muniategui-Lorenzo, S.; Vazquez-Blanco, E.; Prada-Rodriguez, D.; Fernandez-Fernandez, E. *Anal. Lett.* **1999**, *32*(14), 2851–2870.
- (183) Chai, M.; Pawliszyn, J. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1995**, *29*(3), 693–701.
- (184) Yokouchi, Y.; Akimoto, H.; Barrie, L. A.; Bottenheim, J. W.; Anlauf, K.; Jobson, B. T. *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.* **1994**, *99*(D12), 25379–25389.
- (185) Yokouchi, Y.; Barrie, L. A.; Toom, D.; Akimoto, H. *Atmos. Environ.* **1996**, *30*(10–11), 1723–1727.
- (186) Oliver, K. D.; Adams, J. R.; Daughtrey, E. H.; McClenny, W. A.; Yoong, M. J.; Pardee, M. A.; Almasi, E. B.; Kirshen, N. A. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1996**, *30*(6), 1939–1945.
- (187) Cisper, M. E.; Gill, C. G.; Townsend, L. E.; Hemberger, P. H. *Anal. Chem.* **1995**, *67*(8), 1413–1417.
- (188) Haunold, A.; Rosenberg, E.; Grasserbauer, M. *Int. J. Environ. Anal. Chem.* **1997**, *67*(1–4), 157–172.
- (189) Shoeib, M.; Brice, K. A.; Hoff, R. M. *Chemosphere* **1999**, *39*(5), 849–871.
- (190) Ulrich, E. M.; Hites, R. A. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1998**, *32*(13), 1870–1874.
- (191) Takasuga, T.; Inoue, T.; Ohi, E. *Chemosphere* **1994**, *29*(9–11), 1839–1847.
- (192) Koester, C. J.; Harless, R. L.; Hites, R. A. *Chemosphere* **1992**, *24*(4), 421–426.
- (193) Koester, C. J.; Hites, R. A. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1992**, *26*(7), 1375–1382.
- (194) Yang, Y.; Baumann, W. *Fresenius' J. Anal. Chem.* **1996**, *354*(1), 56–60.
- (195) Unwin, J.; Groves, J. A. *Anal. Chem.* **1996**, *68*(24), 4489–4493.
- (196) Li, H.-J.; Yokouchi, Y.; Akimoto, H. *Atmos. Environ.* **1999**, *33*(12), 1881–1887.
- (197) Engen, M. A.; Culbertson, J. A.; Grimsrud, E. P. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1999**, *848*(1–2), 261–277.
- (198) Sharp, G. J.; Yokouchi, Y.; Akimoto, H. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1992**, *26*(4), 815–816.
- (199) Tanimoto, H.; Hirokawa, J.; Kajii, Y.; Akimoto, H. *J. Geophys. Res., [Atmos.]* **1999**, *104*(D17), 21343–21354.
- (200) Woidich, S.; Froescheis, O.; Luxenhofer, O.; Ballschmiter, K. *Fresenius' J. Anal. Chem.* **1999**, *364*(1–2), 91–99.
- (201) Glasius, M.; Duane, M.; Larsen, B. R. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1999**, *833*(2), 121–135.
- (202) Possanzini, M.; Di Palo, V.; Amati, B.; Cecinato, A.; Marino, F. *GIT Lab. J.* **1998**, *2*(4), 256–257.
- (203) Fox, A.; Krahmer, M.; Harrelson, D. *J. Microbiol. Methods* **1996**, *27*(2–3), 129–138.
- (204) Jonsson, B. A.; Lindh, C. H.; Gustavsson, C. A.; Welinder, H.; Pfaffli, P. *Analyst* **1996**, *121*(9), 1285–1290.
- (205) Sturaro, A.; Parvoli, G.; Doretto, L.; Bancomina, C.; Neonato, C. *Org. Mass Spectrom.* **1994**, *29*(10), 575–577.
- (206) Karlsson, D.; Spanne, M.; Dalene, M.; Skarping, G. *Analyst* **1998**, *123*(1), 117–123.
- (207) Nolte, C. G.; Schauer, J. J.; Cass, G. R.; Simoneit, B. R. T. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1999**, *33*(19), 3313–3316.
- (208) Shao, M.; Tang, X. Y.; Li, J. L.; Li, K.; Yuan, S. X. *Pure Appl. Chem.* **1995**, *67*(8–9), 1457–1459.
- (209) Sich, I.; Russow, R. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **1999**, *13*(13), 1325–1328.
- (210) Reiner, T.; Hanke, M.; Arnold, F.; Ziereis, H.; Schlager, H.; Junkermann, W. *J. Geophys. Res., [Atmos.]* **1999**, *104*(D15), 18647–18659.
- (211) Lopez-Gutierrez, J. M.; Garcia-Leon, M.; Schnabel, Ch.; Schmidt, A.; Michel, R.; Synal, H.-A.; Suter, M. *Appl. Radiat. Isot.* **1999**, *51*(3), 315–322.
- (212) Caldwell, T. E.; Foster, K. L.; Benter, T.; Langer, S.; Hemminger, J. C.; Finlayson-Pitts, B. J. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1999**, *103*(41), 8231–8238.
- (213) Wang, C.-F.; Chang, C. Y.; Chin, C. J.; Men, L. C. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **1999**, *392*(2–3), 299–306.
- (214) Chin, C.-J.; Wang, C.-F.; Jeng, S.-L. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1999**, *14*(4), 663–668.
- (215) Wang, C.-F.; Chin, C.-J.; Chiang, P.-C. *Anal. Sci.* **1998**, *14*(4), 763–768.
- (216) Pecheyran, C.; Quétel, C. R.; Lecuyer, F. M. M.; Donard, O. F. X. *Anal. Chem.* **1998**, *70*(13), 2639–2645.
- (217) Berg, T.; Royset, O.; Steinnes, E.; Vadset, M. *Environ. Pollut.* **1995**, *88*(1), 67–77.
- (218) Ludke, C.; Hoffmann, E.; Skole, J.; Kriews, M. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1999**, *14*(11), 1685–1690.
- (219) Ortner, H. M.; Hoffmann, P.; Stadermann, F. J.; Weinbruch, S.; Wentzel, M. *Analyst* **1998**, *123*(5), 833–842.
- (220) Wang, C.-F.; Chin, C.-J.; Luo, S.-K.; Men, L.-C. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **1999**, *389*(1–3), 257–266.
- (221) Hachimi, A.; Van Vaeck, L.; Poels, K.; Adams, F.; Muller, J. F. *Spectrochim. Acta, Part B* **1998**, *53*(2), 347–365.
- (222) Nusko, R.; Heumann, K. G. *Fresenius' J. Anal. Chem.* **1997**, *357*(8), 1050–1055.
- (223) Munksgaard, N. C.; Parry, D. L. *Sci. Total Environ.* **1998**, *217*(1–2), 113–125.
- (224) Zufiaurre, R.; Pons, B.; Nerin, C. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1997**, *779*(1–2), 299–306.
- (225) Nerin, C.; Pons, B.; Zufiaurre, R. *Analyst* **1995**, *120*(3), 751–754.
- (226) Nerin, C.; Pons, B. *Appl. Organomet. Chem.* **1994**, *8*(7–8), 607–614.
- (227) Vlasankova, R.; Otruba, V.; Bendl, J.; Fisera, M.; Kanicky, V. *Talanta* **1999**, *48*(4), 839–846.
- (228) Moldovan, M.; Gomez, M. M.; Palacios, M. A. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1999**, *14*(8), 1163–1169.
- (229) Zimmermann, R.; Heger, H. J.; Ketrup, A. *Fresenius' J. Anal. Chem.* **1999**, *363*(8), 720–730.
- (230) Zimmermann, R.; Heger, H. J.; Blumenstock, M.; Dorfner, R.; Boesll, U.; Ketrup, A. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **1999**, *13*(5), 307–314.
- (231) Dejong, A. P.; Liem, A. K.; Hoogerbrugge, R. *J. Chromatogr.* **1993**, *643*(1–2), 91–106.
- (232) Marti, E.; Schatowitz, B.; Schlumpf, E.; Szlagiewicz, M.; Knoche, M.; Guyot, L.; Vicard, J.; Fraisse, D. *Chemosphere* **1992**, *25*(7–10), 1349–1354.

- (233) Takasuga, T.; Ireland, P.; Inoue, T.; Takeda, T. *Chemosphere* **1993**, *27* (1–3), 33–40.
- (234) Oehme, M.; Muller, M. D. *Chemosphere* **1995**, *30* (8), 1527–1539.
- (235) Diaz-Somoano, M.; Martinez-Tarazona, M. R. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1999**, *14* (9), 1439–1442.
- (236) Liao, H.-C.; Jiang, S.-J. *Spectrochim. Acta, Part B* **1999**, *54B*(8), 1233–1242.
- (237) Helmig, D.; Klinger, L. F.; Guenther, A.; Vierling, L.; Geron, C.; Simmerman, P. *Chemosphere* **1999**, *38* (9), 2163–2187.
- (238) Fall, R.; Karl, T.; Hansel, A.; Jordan, A.; Lindinger, W. *J. Geophys. Res., [Atmos.]* **1999**, *104* (D13), 15963–15974.
- (239) Richardson, S. D.; Collette, T. W.; Price, P. C.; Gencicola, F. A.; Jenks, J. W. Thruston, A. D., Jr.; Ellington, J. J. *J. Exposure Anal. Environ. Epidemiol.* **1999**, *9* (3), 200–216.
- (240) Krock, K. A.; Wilkins, C. L. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1996**, *726* (1–2), 167–178.
- (241) Benfenatia, E.; Pierucci, P.; Fanelli, R.; Preiss, A.; Godejohann, M.; Astratov, M.; Levsen, K.; Barcelo, D. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1999**, *831* (2), 243–256.
- (242) Fuoco, R.; Ceccarini, A.; Onor, M.; Marrara, L. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1999**, *846* (1–2), 387–393.
- (243) Kuehl, D. W.; Serrano, J.; Naumann, S. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1994**, *684* (1), 113–119.
- (244) Svenson, A.; Norin, H.; Hynning, P. A. *Environ. Toxicol. Water Qual.* **1996**, *11* (3), 277–284.
- (245) Reemtsma, T.; Fiehn, O.; Jekel, M. *Fresenius' J. Anal. Chem.* **1999**, *363* (8), 771–776.
- (246) Castillo, M.; Barcelo, D. *Anal. Chem.* **1999**, *71* (17), 3769–3776.
- (247) Castillo, M.; Oubina, A.; Barcelo, D. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1998**, *32* (14), 2180–2184.
- (248) Castillo, M.; Barcelo, D. *TrAC, Trends Anal. Chem.* **1997**, *16* (10), 574–583.
- (249) Castillo, M.; Alonso, M. C.; Riu, J.; Barcelo, D. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1999**, *33* (8), 1300–1306.
- (250) Hughes, B. M.; McKenzie, D. E.; Duffin, K. L. *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.* **1993**, *4* (7), 604–60.
- (251) Schröder, H. F. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1997**, *777* (1), 127–139.
- (252) Shumate, C. B.; Hill, H. H. *ACS Symp. Ser.* **1992**, *508*, 192–205.
- (253) Bagheri, H.; Slobodnik, J.; Recasens, R. M.; Ghijsen, R. T.; Brinkman, U. A. *Chromatographia* **1993**, *37* (3–4), 159–167.
- (254) Betowski, L. D.; Kendall, D. S.; Pace, C. M.; Donnelly, J. R. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1996**, *30* (12), 3558–3564.
- (255) Espadaler, I.; Caixach, J.; Om, J.; Ventura, F.; Cortina, M.; Paune, F.; Rivera, J. *Water Res.* **1997**, *31* (8), 1996–2004.
- (256) Wong, D. C.; Vancompernelle, R.; Nowlin, J. G.; O'Neal, D. L.; Johnson, G. M. *Chemosphere* **1996**, *32* (8), 1669–1679.
- (257) Soni, M.; Bauer, S.; Amy, J. W.; Wong, P.; Cooks, R. G. *Anal. Chem.* **1995**, *67* (8), 1409–1412.
- (258) Mansikka, T.; Kostianen, R.; Kotiaho, T.; Ketola, R. A.; Mattila, I.; Ojala, M.; Honkanen, T.; Wickstrom, K.; Waldvogel, J.; Pilvio, O. *Adv. Mass Spectrom.* [computer optical disk] **1998**, *14*, D021840/1–D021840/5.
- (259) Leth, M.; Lauritsen, F. R. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **1995**, *9* (7), 591–596.
- (260) Wong, P. S.; Cooks, R. G. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **1995**, *310* (3), 387–398.
- (261) Bauer, S. J.; Cooks, R. G. *Talanta* **1993**, *40* (7), 1031–1039.
- (262) Minero, C.; Vincenti, M.; Lago, S.; Pelizzetti, E. *Fresenius' J. Anal. Chem.* **1994**, *350* (6), 403–409.
- (263) Angelino, S.; Maurino, V.; Minero, C.; Pelizzetti, E.; Vincenti, M. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1998**, *793* (2), 307–316.
- (264) Maurino, V.; Minero, C.; Pelizzetti, E.; Angelino, S.; Vincenti, M. *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.* **1999**, *10* (12), 1328–1336.
- (265) Muller, S.; Efer, J.; Engewald, W. *Fresenius' J. Anal. Chem.* **1997**, *357* (5), 558–560.
- (266) Tamilarasan, R.; Morabito, P. L.; Lamparski, L.; Hazelwood, P.; Butt, A. *J. High Resolut. Chromatogr.* **1994**, *17* (10), 689–694.
- (267) Bulterman, A. J.; Vreuls, J. J.; Ghijsen, R. T.; Brinkman, U. A. *J. High Resolut. Chromatogr.* **1993**, *16* (7), 397–403.
- (268) Lega, R.; Ladwig, G.; Meresz, O.; Clement, R. E.; Crawford, G.; Salemi, R.; Jones, Y. *Chemosphere* **1997**, *34* (8), 1705–1712.
- (269) Ding, W. H.; Fujita, Y.; Aeschmann, R.; Reinhard, M. *Fresenius' J. Anal. Chem.* **1996**, *354* (1), 48–55.
- (270) Castillo, M.; Barcelo, D.; Pereira, A. S.; Neto, F. R. A. *TrAC, Trends Anal. Chem.* **1999**, *18* (1), 26–36.
- (271) Witter, A. E.; Jones, A. D. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* **1999**, *18* (9), 1920–1926.
- (272) You, J.; Lao, W.; Wang, G. *Chromatographia* **1999**, *49* (7/8), 399–405.
- (273) Shoemaker, J. A.; Munch, J. W.; Behymer, T. D. *J. Exposure Anal. Environ. Epidemiol.* **1999**, *9* (3), 181–191.
- (274) Dias, R. F.; Freeman, K. H. *Anal. Chem.* **1997**, *69* (5), 944–950.
- (275) van Stee, L. L. P.; Leonards, P. E. G.; Vreuls, R. J. J.; Brinkman, U. A. Th. *Analyst* **1999**, *124* (11), 1547–1552.
- (276) Thomas, D. H.; Lopez-Avila, V.; Betowski, L. D.; Van Emon, J. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1996**, *724* (1–2), 207–217.
- (277) Bean, K. A.; Henion, J. D. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1997**, *791* (1–2), 119–126.
- (278) Ferrer, I.; Hennion, M. C.; Barcelo, D. *Anal. Chem.* **1997**, *69* (22), 4508–4514.
- (279) Gruessner, B.; Watzin, M. C. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1995**, *29* (11), 2806–2813.
- (280) Rule, G. S.; Mordehai, A. V.; Henion, J. *Anal. Chem.* **1994**, *66*, 230–235.
- (281) Oehme, M.; Baycan-Keller, R.; Biniosek, M.; Karlsson, H.; Kölliker, S.; Muller, L.; Pekar, D. *Chimia* **1997**, *51* (10), 756–759.
- (282) Vermillion-Salsbury, R. L.; Hercules, D. M. *Int. J. Environ. Anal. Chem.* **1999**, *73* (4), 297–308.
- (283) Hong, K.; Song, K.; Cha, H.; Yang, M.; Lee, J.; Lee, G. *Microchem. J.* **1999**, *63* (1), 9–17.
- (284) Song, X. B.; Budde, W. L. *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.* **1996**, *7* (9), 981–986.
- (285) Lazar, J. M.; Lee, M. L. *J. Microcolumn Sep.* **1999**, *11* (2), 117–123.
- (286) Garcia, F.; Henion, J. *J. Chromatogr.* **1992**, *606* (2), 237–247.
- (287) Song, X.; Budde, W. L. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1998**, *829* (1–2), 327–340.
- (288) Ferrer, I.; Barcelo, D. *Analisis* **1998**, *26* (6), M118–M122.
- (289) Aguera, A.; Fernandez-Alba, A. R. *Analisis* **1998**, *26* (6), M123–M130.
- (290) Hogenboom, A. C.; Niessen, W. M. A.; Little, D.; Brinkman, U. A. Th. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **1999**, *13* (2), 125–133.
- (291) Lin, H. Y.; Voyksner, R. D. *Anal. Chem.* **1993**, *65* (4), 451–456.
- (292) Hogenboom, H. C.; Speksnijder, P.; Vreeken, R. J.; Niessen, W. M.; Brinkman, U. A. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1997**, *777* (1), 81–90.
- (293) Baglio, D.; Kotzias, D.; Larsen, B. R. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1999**, *854* (1–2), 207–220.
- (294) Steen, R. J. C. A.; Hogenboom, A. C.; Leonards, P. E. G.; Peerboom, R. A. L.; Cofino, W. P.; Brinkman, U. A. Th. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1999**, *857* (1–2), 157–166.
- (295) Slobodnik, J.; Hogenboom, A. C.; Vreuls, J. J.; Rontree, J. A.; Vanbaar, B. L.; Niessen, W. M.; Brinkman, U. A. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1996**, *741* (1), 59–74.
- (296) Hogenboom, A. C.; Slobodnik, J.; Vreuls, J. J.; Rontree, J. A.; Vanbaar, B. L.; Niessen, W. M.; Brinkman, U. A. *Chromatographia* **1996**, *42* (9–10), 506–514.
- (297) Aguilar, C.; Ferrer, I.; Borrull, F.; Marce, R. M.; Barcelo, D. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **1999**, *386* (3), 237–248.
- (298) Hu, J.-Y.; Aizawa, T.; Magara, Y. *Water Res.* **1999**, *33* (2), 417–425.
- (299) Jeannot, R.; Sauvard, E. *Analisis* **1999**, *27* (3), 271–280.
- (300) Molina, C.; Durand, G.; Barcelo, D. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1995**, *712* (1), 113–122.
- (301) Doerge, D. R.; Bajic, S. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **1992**, *6* (11), 663–666.
- (302) Rodriguez, M.; Orescan, D. B. *Anal. Chem.* **1998**, *70* (13), 2710–2717.
- (303) Crescenzi, C.; DiCorcia, A.; Guerriero, E.; Samperi, R. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1997**, *31* (2), 479–488.
- (304) Aguilar, C.; Ferrer, I.; Borrull, F.; Marce, R. M.; Barcelo, D. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1998**, *794* (1–2), 147–163.
- (305) Giraud, D.; Ventura, A.; Camel, V.; Bermond, A.; Arpino, P. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1997**, *777* (1), 115–125.
- (306) Molina, C.; Honing, M.; Barcelo, D. *Anal. Chem.* **1994**, *66* (24), 4444–4449.
- (307) Lacorte, S.; Barcelo, D. *Anal. Chem.* **1996**, *68* (15), 2464–2470.
- (308) Lacorte, S.; Jeanty, G.; Marty, J. L.; Barcelo, D. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1997**, *777* (1), 99–114.
- (309) Bauer, K.-H.; Knepper, T. P.; Maes, A.; Schatz, V.; Voihsel, M. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1999**, *837* (1–2), 117–128.
- (310) Bossi, R.; Seiden, P.; Andersen, S. M.; Jacobsen, C. S.; Streibig, J. C. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **1999**, *47* (10), 4462–4468.
- (311) Bossi, R.; Vejrup, K.; Jacobsen, C. S. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1999**, *855* (2), 575–582.
- (312) Raffaelli, A.; Pucci, S.; Lazzaroni, R.; Salvadori, P. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **1997**, *11* (3), 259–264.
- (313) Krynetsky, A. J. *J. AOAC Int.* **1997**, *80* (2), 392–400.
- (314) DiCorcia, A.; Crescenzi, C.; Samperi, R.; Scappaticcio, L. *Anal. Chem.* **1997**, *69* (14), 2819–2826.
- (315) Volmer, D.; Wilkes, J. G.; Levsen, K. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **1995**, *9* (9), 767–771.
- (316) Baltussen, E.; Snijders, H.; Janssen, H. G.; Sandra, P.; Cramers, C. A. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1998**, *802* (2), 285–295.
- (317) DiCorcia, A.; Crescenzi, C.; Guerriero, E.; Samperi, R. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1997**, *31* (6), 1658–1663.
- (318) Wu, C.; Hill, H. H.; Gamerding, A. P. *Field Anal. Chem. Technol.* **1998**, *2* (3), 155–161.
- (319) Lippert, J. A.; Xin, B.; Wu, N.; Lee, M. L. *J. Microcolumn Sep.* **1999**, *11* (9), 631–643.
- (320) D'Ascenzo, G.; Gentili, A.; Marchese, S.; Perret, D. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1998**, *800* (1), 109–119.
- (321) Stout, S. J.; Dacunha, A. R.; Safarpour, M. M. *J. AOAC Int.* **1997**, *80* (2), 426–432.
- (322) Nejad, H.; Safarpour, M. M.; Cavalier, T.; Picard, G.; Souza, M.; Krynetsky, A. J.; Chiu, S.; Miller, P.; Stout, S. J. *J. Capillary Electrophor.* **1998**, *5* (1–2), 81–87.

- (323) Chiron, S.; Papilloud, S.; Haerdi, W.; Barcelo, D. *Anal. Chem.* **1995**, *67* (9), 1637–1643.
- (324) Crescenzi, C.; D'Ascenzo, G.; DiCorcia, A. D.; Nazzari, M.; Marchese, S.; Samperi, R. *Anal. Chem.* **1999**, *71* (11), 2157–2163.
- (325) Taguchi, V. Y.; Jenkins, S. W. D.; Crozier, P. W.; Wang, D. T. *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.* **1998**, *9* (8), 830–839.
- (326) Castro, R.; Moyano, E.; Galceran, M. T. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1999**, *830* (1), 145–154.
- (327) Chiron, S.; Torres, J. A.; Fernandez-Alba, A.; Alpendurada, M. F.; Barcelo, D. *Int. J. Environ. Anal. Chem.* **1996**, *65* (1–4), 37–52.
- (328) Ferrer, I.; Thurman, E. M.; Barcelo, D. *Anal. Chem.* **1997**, *69* (22), 4547–4553.
- (329) Hancock, P. M.; Walsh, M.; White, S. J. G.; Catlow, D. A.; Baugh, P. J. *Analyst* **1998**, *123* (8), 1669–1674.
- (330) Ferrer, I.; Barcelo, D. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1999**, *854* (1–2), 197–206.
- (331) Hogenboom, A. C.; Niessen, W. M. A.; Brinkman, U. A. Th. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1999**, *841* (1), 33–44.
- (332) Aguera, A.; Almansa, U. E.; Malato, S.; Maldonado, M. I.; Fernandez-Alba, A. R. *Analisis* **1998**, *26* (7), 245–251.
- (333) Mallat, E.; Barcelo, D.; Tauler, R. *Chromatographia* **1997**, *46* (7–8), 342–350.
- (334) Castillo, M.; Domingues, R.; Alpendurada, M. F.; Barcelo, D. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **1997**, *353* (1), 133–142.
- (335) Monson, S. J.; Ma, L.; Cassada, D. A.; Spalding, R. F. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **1998**, *373* (2–3), 153–160.
- (336) Behymer, T. D.; Bellar, T. A.; Budde, W. L. *Anal. Chem.* **1990**, *62*, 1686.
- (337) Hogenboom, A. C.; Jagt, I.; Vreuls, R. J.; Brinkman, U. A. *Analyst* **1997**, *122* (11), 1371–1377.
- (338) Cappiello, A.; Famigliani, G.; Palma, P.; Berloni, A.; Bruner, F. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1995**, *29* (9), 2295–2300.
- (339) Cappiello, A.; Famigliani, G.; Bruner, F. *Anal. Chem.* **1994**, *66* (9), 1416–1423.
- (340) Kambhampati, I.; Roinestad, K. S.; Hartman, T. G.; Rosen, J. D.; Fukuda, E. K.; Lippincott, R. L.; Rosen, R. T. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1994**, *688* (1–2), 67–73.
- (341) Bagheri, H.; Slobodnik, J.; Recasens, R. M.; Ghijsen, R. T.; Brinkman, U. A. *Chromatographia* **1993**, *37* (3–4), 159–167.
- (342) Ho, J. S.; Budde, W. L. *Anal. Chem.* **1994**, *66* (21), 3716–3722.
- (343) Jones, T. L.; Betowski, L. D.; Lopez-Avila, V. *TrAC, Trends Anal. Chem.* **1994**, *13* (8), 333–338.
- (344) Volmer, D.; Levsen, K.; Wunsch, G. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1994**, *660* (1–2), 231–248.
- (345) Sennert, S.; Volmer, D.; Levsen, K.; Wunsch, G. *Fresenius' J. Anal. Chem.* **1995**, *351* (7), 642–649.
- (346) Chiron, S.; Dupas, S.; Scribe, P.; Barcelo, D. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1994**, *665* (2), 295–305.
- (347) Geerdink, R. B.; Berg, P. J.; Kienhuis, P. G.; Niessen, W. M.; Brinkman, U. A. *Int. J. Environ. Anal. Chem.* **1996**, *64* (4), 265–278.
- (348) Barcelo, D.; Durand, G.; Bouvot, V.; Nielen, M. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1993**, *27* (2), 271–277.
- (349) Volmer, D.; Levsen, K. *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.* **1994**, *5* (7), 655–675.
- (350) Abian, J.; Durand, G.; Barcelo, D. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **1993**, *41* (8), 1264–1273.
- (351) Onnerfjord, P.; Barcelo, D.; Emneus, J.; Gorton, L.; Markovarga, G. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1996**, *737* (1), 35–45.
- (352) Bagheri, H.; Brouwer, E. R.; Ghijsen, R. T.; Brinkman, U. *Analisis* **1992**, *20* (8), 475–482.
- (353) Barcelo, D.; Durand, G.; Vreeken, R. J. *J. Chromatogr.* **1993**, *647* (2), 271–277.
- (354) Lacorte, S.; Lartiges, S. B.; Garrigues, P.; Barcelo, D. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1995**, *29* (2), 431–438.
- (355) Barcelo, D.; Durand, G.; Debertrand, N. *Toxicol. Environ. Chem.* **1993**, *38* (3–4), 183–199.
- (356) Chiron, S.; Abian, J.; Ferrer, M.; Sanchez-Baeza, F.; Messeguer, A.; Barcelo, D. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* **1995**, *14* (8), 1287–1298.
- (357) Caldwell, K. A.; Ramanujam, V. M.; Cai, Z. W.; Gross, M. L.; Spalding, R. F. *Anal. Chem.* **1993**, *65*, 5 (17), 2372–2379.
- (358) Winnik, W.; Brumley, W. C.; Betowski, L. D. *Eur. Mass Spectrom.* **1996**, *2* (1), 43–47.
- (359) Hu, J.-Y.; Aizawa, T.; Asami, M.; Magara, Y. *Water Sci. Technol.* **1998**, *38* (7), 169–177.
- (360) Vetter, W.; Bartha, R.; Stern, G.; Tomy, G. *Organohalogen Compd.* **1998**, *35* (Analysis, Chlorinated Bornanes, Chiral Contaminants, Polymer Additives and Monomers), 343–346.
- (361) Sanchez-Rasero, F.; Matallo, M. B.; Dios, G.; Romero, E.; Pena, A. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1998**, *799* (1–2), 355–360.
- (362) Vetter, W.; Luckas, B. *Organohalogen Compd.* **1998**, *35* (Analysis, Chlorinated Bornanes, Chiral Contaminants, Polymer Additives and Monomers), 367–370.
- (363) Senseman, S. A.; Lavy, T. L.; Mattice, J. D.; Gbur, E. E.; Skulman, B. W. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1997**, *31* (2), 395–401.
- (364) Selim, M.; Achutan, C.; Starr, J. M.; Jiang, T.; Young, B. S. *ACS Symp. Ser.* **1997**, *657*, 234–244.
- (365) Heberer, T.; Butz, S.; Stan, H. J. *J. AOAC Int.* **1994**, *77* (6), 1587–1604.
- (366) Vincenti, M.; Minero, C.; Lago, S.; Rovida, C. *J. High Resolut. Chromatogr.* **1995**, *18* (6), 359–362.
- (367) Vreuls, R. J. J.; Dalluge, J.; Brickman, U. A. Th. *J. Microcolumn Sep.* **1999**, *11* (9), 663–675.
- (368) Steen, R. J.; Freriks, I. L.; Cofino, W. P.; Brinkman, U. A. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **1997**, *353* (2–3), 153–163.
- (369) Charretteur, C.; Colin, R.; Morin, D.; Peron, J. J. *Analisis* **1998**, *26* (1), 8–14.
- (370) Ong, V. S.; Hites, R. A. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1995**, *29* (5), 1259–1266.
- (371) Sanchez-Brunete, C.; Perez, R. A.; Miguel, E.; Tadeo, J. L. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1998**, *823* (1–2), 17–24.
- (372) Natangelo, M.; Tavazzi, S.; Fanelli, R.; Benfenati, E. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1999**, *859* (2), 193–201.
- (373) Aguilar, C.; Penalver, S.; Pocerull, E.; Borrull, F.; Marce, R. M. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1998**, *795* (1), 105–115.
- (374) Boyd-Boland, A. A.; Pawliszyn, J. B. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1995**, *704* (1), 163–172.
- (375) Davi, M. L.; Liboni, M.; Malfatto, M. G. *Int. J. Environ. Anal. Chem.* **1999**, *74* (1–4), 155–166.
- (376) Mogadati, P.; Louis, J. B.; Rosen, J. D. *J. AOAC Int.* **1999**, *82* (3), 705–715.
- (377) Aguilar, C.; Borrull, F.; Marce, R. M. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1997**, *771* (1–2), 221–231.
- (378) Tanabe, A.; Mitobe, H.; Kawata, K.; Sakai, M. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1996**, *754* (1–2), 159–168.
- (379) Perez, R. A.; Sanchez-Brunete, C.; Miguel, E.; Tadeo, J. L. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **1998**, *46* (5), 1864–1869.
- (380) Yinon, J.; Vincze, A. *Adv. Mass Spectrom.* **1998**, *14*, A061730/A061730/7.
- (381) Loos, R.; Niessner, R. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1999**, *835* (1–2), 217–229.
- (382) Rodriguez-Plasencia, F. J.; Navarro-Villoslada, F.; Perez-Arribas, L. V.; Leon-Gonzalez, M. E.; Polodiez, L. M. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1997**, *760* (2), 314–318.
- (383) Lorber, M.; Johnson, K.; Kross, B.; Pinsky, P.; Burmeister, L.; Thurman, M.; Wilkins, A.; Hallberg, G. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* **1997**, *47* (2), 175–195.
- (384) Tronczynski, J.; Munsch, C.; Durand, G.; Barcelo, D. *Sci. Total Environ.* **1993**, *132* (2–3), 327–337.
- (385) Lau, B.; Weber, D.; Andrews, P. *Chemosphere* **1996**, *32* (6), 1021–1041.
- (386) Howdeshell, M. J.; Hites, R. A. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1996**, *30*, 0 (1), 220–224.
- (387) Shanks, K. E.; McDonald, J. G.; Hites, R. A. *J. Great Lakes Res.* **1999**, *25* (2), 383–394.
- (388) Glassmeyer, S. T.; Shanks, K. E.; Hites, R. A. *Anal. Chem.* **1999**, *71* (7), 1448–1453.
- (389) Hoehn, M.; Pesch, R.; Muenster, H. *Adv. Mass Spectrom.* [computer optical disk] **1998**, *14*, D047140/1–D047140/9.
- (390) Santos, F. J.; Galceran, M. *Adv. Mass Spectrom.* **1998**, *14*, D044310/1–D044310/14.
- (391) Muir, D. C.; Deboer, J. *TrAC, Trends Anal. Chem.* **1995**, *14* (2), 56–66.
- (392) Swackhamer, D. L.; Pearson, R. F.; Schottler, S. P. *Chemosphere* **1998**, *37* (9–12), 2545–2561.
- (393) Nilsson, T.; Baglio, D.; Galdo-Miguez, I.; Madsen, J. O.; Facchetti, S. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1998**, *826* (2), 211–216.
- (394) Bucheli, T. D.; Gruebler, F. C.; Muller, S. R.; Schwarzenbach, R. P. *Anal. Chem.* **1997**, *69* (8), 1569–1576.
- (395) Thompson, T. S.; Miller, B. D. *Chemosphere* **1998**, *36* (14), 2867–2878.
- (396) McLaughlin, R. A.; Johnson, B. S. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1997**, *790* (1–2), 161–167.
- (397) Durand, G.; Gille, P.; Fraisse, D.; Barcelo, D. *J. Chromatogr.* **1992**, *603* (1–2), 175–184.
- (398) Bonato, P. S.; Lanchote, V. L.; De Carvalho Dreossi, S. A.; Gomes, M. A.; Cerdeira, A. L.; De Carvalho, D. *J. High Resolut. Chromatogr.* **1999**, *22* (4), 239–241.
- (399) Meiring, H. D.; Dejong, A. P. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1994**, *683* (1), 157–165.
- (400) Voulvoulis, N.; Scrimshaw, M. D.; Lester, J. N. *Chromatographia* **1999**, *50* (5/6), 353–357.
- (401) Gandrass, J.; Bormann, G.; Wilken, R. D. *Fresenius' J. Anal. Chem.* **1995**, *353* (1), 70–74.
- (402) Hancock, P. M.; Yasin, M.; Baugh, P. J.; Bonwick, G. A.; Davies, D. H. *Int. J. Environ. Anal. Chem.* **1997**, *67* (1–4), 81–95.
- (403) Buser, H.-R.; Muller, M. D.; Theobald, N. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1998**, *32* (1), 188–192.
- (404) Perez, R. A.; Sanchez-Brunete, C.; Tadeo, J. L. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1997**, *778* (1–2), 193–199.
- (405) Heimlich, F.; Davies, A. N.; Kuckuk, R.; Linscheid, M.; Mayer, H.; Nolte, J. *Fresenius' J. Anal. Chem.* **1995**, *353* (7–8), 743–747.
- (406) Ramos, L.; Vreuls, J. J.; Brinkman, U. A. Th.; Sojo, L. E. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1999**, *33* (18), 3254–3259.

- (407) Durand, G.; Barcelo, D. *Toxicol. Environ. Chem.* **1992**, *36* (3–4), 225–234.
- (408) Mangiapan, S.; Benfenati, E.; Grasso, P.; Terreni, M.; Pregnolato, M.; Pagani, G.; Barcelo, D. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1997**, *31* (12), 3637–3646.
- (409) Lacorte, S.; Barcelo, D. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1994**, *28* (6), 1159–1163.
- (410) Durand, G.; Abad, J. L.; Sanchez-Baeza, F.; Messeguer, A.; Barcelo, D. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **1994**, *42* (3), 814–821.
- (411) Penuela, G. A.; Barcelo, D. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1998**, *832* (1–2), 81–90.
- (412) Sanz-Asensio, J.; Plaza-Medina, M.; Martinez-Soria, M. T.; Perez-Clavijo, M. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1999**, *840* (2), 235–247.
- (413) Penuela, G. A.; Barcelo, D. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1996**, *754* (1–2), 187–195.
- (414) Penuela, G. A.; Barcelo, D. *J. AOAC Int.* **2000**, *83* (1), 53–60.
- (415) Pelizzetti, E.; Minero, C.; Carlin, V.; Vincenti, M.; Pramauro, E.; Dolci, M. *Chemosphere* **1992**, *24* (7), 891–910.
- (416) Maurino, V.; Minero, C.; Pelizzetti, E.; Vincenti, M. *Colloids. Surf. A-Physiochem. Engn.* **1999**, *151* (1–2), 329–338.
- (417) Prevot, A. B.; Vincenti, M.; Bianciotto, A.; Pramauro, E. *Appl. Catalysis B-Environ.* **1999**, *22* (2), 149–158.
- (418) Pramauro, E.; Prevot, A. B.; Vincenti, M.; Brizzolesi, G. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1997**, *31* (11), 3126–3131.
- (419) Thomas, D.; Crain, S. M.; Sim, P. G.; Benoit, F. M. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1995**, *695* (1), 1–9.
- (420) Thomas, D.; Sim, P. G.; Benoit, F. M. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **1994**, *8* (1), 105–110.
- (421) Brincourt, G.; Zerega, Y.; Carette, M.; Perrier, P.; Andre, J. *Int. J. Mass Spectrom.* **1999**, *189* (2/3), 181–188.
- (422) Dale, M. J.; Jones, A. C.; Pollard, S. J.; Langridgesmith, P. R.; Rowley, A. G. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1993**, *27* (8), 1693–1695.
- (423) Anacleto, J. F.; Ramaley, L.; Benoit, F. M.; Boyd, R. K.; Quilliam, M. A. *Anal. Chem.* **1995**, *67*, 7 (22), 4145–4154.
- (424) Thomas, D.; Crain, S. M.; Sim, P. G.; Benoit, F. M. *J. Mass Spectrom.* **1995**, *30* (7), 1034–1040.
- (425) Pace, C. M.; Betowski, L. D. *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.* **1995**, *6* (7), 597–607.
- (426) Pyle, S. M.; Betowski, L. D.; Marcus, A. B.; Winnik, W.; Brittain, R. D. *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.* **1997**, *8* (2), 183–190.
- (427) Cresswell, S. L.; Haswell, S. J. *Analyst* **1999**, *124* (9), 1361–1366.
- (428) Means, J. C. *J. AOAC Int.* **1998**, *81* (3), 657–672.
- (429) Potter, D. W.; Pawliszyn, J. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1994**, *28* (2), 298–305.
- (430) Bodzek, D.; Janoszka, B.; Warzecha, L. *Water Air Soil Pollut.* **1996**, *89* (3–4), 417–427.
- (431) Bernstein, M. P.; Sandford, S. A.; Allamandola, L. J.; Gillette, J. S.; Clemett, S. J.; Zare, R. N. *Science (Washington, D.C.)* **1999**, *283* (5405), 1135–1138.
- (432) Disdier, B.; Arfi, C.; Pastor, J.; Pauli, A. M.; Portugal, H. *Analisis* **1999**, *27* (3), 235–241.
- (433) Spitzer, T.; Takeuchi, T. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1995**, *710* (1), 109–116.
- (434) Berset, J. D.; Holzer, R. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1999**, *852* (2), 545–558.
- (435) Himberg, K. K.; Sippola, E. *Chemosphere* **1993**, *27* (1–3), 17–24.
- (436) Zimmermann, R.; Rohwer, E. R.; Heger, H. J. *Anal. Chem.* **1999**, *71* (19), 4148–4153.
- (437) Richner, P.; Wunderli, S. J. *Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1993**, *8* (1), 45–49.
- (438) Grainger, J.; Dimandja, J.-M.; Green, V.; Liu, Z.; Patterson, D. G., Jr. *Organohalogen Compd.* **1998**, *35* (Analysis, Chlorinated Bornanes, Chiral Contaminants, Polymer Additives and Monomers), 28A–28D.
- (439) Espadaler, I.; Eljarrat, E.; Caixach, J.; Rivera, J.; Marti, I.; Ventura, F. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **1997**, *11* (4), 410–414.
- (440) Rothweiler, B.; Berset, J.-D. *Chemosphere* **1999**, *38* (7), 1517–1532.
- (441) Raverdino, V.; Holzer, R.; Berset, J. D. *Fresenius' J. Anal. Chem.* **1996**, *354* (4), 477–482.
- (442) Pedersen-Bjergaard, S.; Semb, S. I.; Vedde, J.; Brevik, E. M.; Greibrokk, T. *Chromatographia* **1996**, *43* (1–2), 44–52.
- (443) Zuloaga, O.; Etxebarria, N.; Fernandez, L. A.; Madariaga, J. M. *Talanta* **1999**, *50* (2), 345–357.
- (444) Dupont, G.; Delteil, C.; Camel, V.; Bermond, A. *Analyst* **1999**, *124* (4), 453–458.
- (445) Sielek, K.; Andersson, J. T. *Organohalogen Compd.* **1998**, *35* (Analysis, Chlorinated Bornanes, Chiral Contaminants, Polymer Additives and Monomers), 47–49.
- (446) Werther, W.; Schmid, E. R.; Varmuza, K. *Adv. Mass Spectrom.* [computer optical disk] **1998**, *14*, E044180/1–E044180/12.
- (447) Haglund, P.; Harju, M. *Organohalogen Compd.* **1998**, *35* (Analysis, Chlorinated Bornanes, Chiral Contaminants, Polymer Additives and Monomers), 39–42.
- (448) Matsumura, T.; Tsubota, H.; Ikeda, Y.; Chisaki, Y.; Ito, H.; Morita, M. *Organohalogen Compd.* **1998**, *35* (Analysis, Chlorinated Bornanes, Chiral Contaminants, Polymer Additives and Monomers), 141–144.
- (449) Dejong, A. P.; Liem, A. K. *TrAC, Trends Anal. Chem.* **1993**, *12* (3), 115–124.
- (450) Plomley, J. B.; Koester, C. J.; March, R. E. *Org. Mass Spectrom.* **1994**, *29* (7), 372–381.
- (451) Sandell, N. B. E.; Tuominen, P. J. *Organohalogen Compd.* **1998**, *35* (Analysis, Chlorinated Bornanes, Chiral Contaminants, Polymer Additives and Monomers), 175–178.
- (452) Wunderli, S.; Zennegg, M.; Dolezal, I. S.; Gujer, E.; Moser, U.; Wolfensberger, M.; Hasler, P.; Noger, D.; Studer, C.; Karlaganis, G. *Chemosphere* **2000**, *40*, 641–649.
- (453) van Bavel, B.; Andersson, P.; Takeda, N.; Rappe, C. *Chemosphere* **1998**, *37* (9–12), 2077–2089.
- (454) Steinwandter, H. *Fresenius' J. Anal. Chem.* **1994**, *348* (5–6), 385–389.
- (455) Marbury, D.; Green, B.; Guyan, S. A.; Charles, M. J. *Chemosphere* **1992**, *25* (12), 2029–2032.
- (456) Charles, M. J.; Green, W. C.; Marbury, G. D. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1995**, *29* (7), 1741–1747.
- (457) Takasuga, T.; Ireland, P.; Inoue, T.; Takeda, T. *Chemosphere* **1993**, *27* (1–3), 33–40.
- (458) Umlauf, G.; Mano, S.; Hiller, B.; Barbiere, M.; Facchetti, S. *Chem., Man Environ., Proc. Meet.*; Ballarin-Denti, A., Ed.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, **1999**, 155–166.
- (459) Ojala, M.; Ketola, R. A.; Kotiaho, T. *LC-GC* **1998**, *16* (11), 1026–1028.
- (460) Soni, M. H.; Wong, P. S.; Cooks, R. G. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **1995**, *303* (2–3), 149–162.
- (461) Bauer, S.; Solyom, D. *Anal. Chem.* **1994**, *66* (24), 4422–4431.
- (462) Dejarme, L. E.; Bauer, S. J.; Cooks, R. G.; Lauritsen, F. R.; Kotiaho, T.; Graf, T. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **1993**, *7* (10), 935–942.
- (463) Mendes, M. A.; Pimpim, R. S.; Kotiaho, T.; Eberlin, M. N. *Anal. Chem.* **1996**, *68* (19), 3502–3506.
- (464) Choudhury, T. K.; Kotiaho, T.; Cooks, R. G. *Talanta* **1992**, *39* (9), 1113–1120.
- (465) Choudhury, T. K.; Kotiaho, T.; Cooks, R. G. *Talanta* **1992**, *39* (6), 573–580.
- (466) Bocchini, P.; Pozzi, R.; Andalo, C.; Galletti, G. C. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **1999**, *13* (20), 2049–2053.
- (467) Kasthurikrishnan, N.; Cooks, R. G. *Talanta* **1995**, *42* (9), 1325–1334.
- (468) Nogueira, R. F. P.; Alberici, R. M.; Mendes, M. A.; Jardim, W. F.; Eberlin, M. N. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **1999**, *38* (5), 1754–1758.
- (469) Kostiaainen, R.; Kotiaho, T.; Mattila, I.; Mansikka, T.; Ojala, M.; Ketola, R. A. *Anal. Chem.* **1998**, *70* (14), 3028–3032.
- (470) Kostiaainen, R.; Kotiaho, T.; Mattila, I.; Mansikka, T.; Ojala, M.; Ketola, R. *Adv. Mass Spectrom.* [computer optical disk] **1998**, *14*, B012160/1–B012160/6.
- (471) Ojala, M.; Mattila, I.; Sarme, T.; Ketola, R. A.; Kotiaho, T. *Analyst* **1999**, *124* (10), 1421–1424.
- (472) Shoemaker, J. A.; Bellar, T. A.; Eichelberger, J. W.; Budde, W. L. *J. Chromatogr. Sci.* **1993**, *31* (7), 279–284.
- (473) Pyle, S. M.; Gurka, D. F. *Talanta* **1994**, *41* (11), 1845–1852.
- (474) Zygmunt, B. *Fresenius' J. Anal. Chem.* **1998**, *360* (1), 86–89.
- (475) Schweiggkoffler, M.; Niessner, R. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1999**, *33* (20), 3680–3685.
- (476) Arthur, C. L.; Chai, M.; Pawliszyn, J. *J. Microcolumn Sep.* **1993**, *5* (1), 51–56.
- (477) Potter, D. W.; Pawliszyn, J. *J. Chromatogr.* **1992**, *625* (2), 247–255.
- (478) Abalos, M.; Bayona, J. M.; Ventura, F. *Anal. Chem.* **1999**, *71* (16), 3531–3537.
- (479) Bocchini, P.; Andalo, C.; Bonfiglioli, D.; Galletti, G. C. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **1999**, *13* (21), 2133–2139.
- (480) Buszka, P. M.; Rose, D. L.; Ozuna, G. B.; Groschen, G. E. *Anal. Chem.* **1995**, *67* (20), 3659–3667.
- (481) Keymeulen, R.; Voutetaki, A.; Vanlangenove, H. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1995**, *699* (1–2), 223–229.
- (482) Hiatt, M. H. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1999**, *33* (22), 4126–4133.
- (483) Marchand, M.; Termonia, M.; Caprais, J. C.; Wybauw, M. *Analisis* **1994**, *22* (6), 326–331.
- (484) Monaghan, J. J.; Barber, M.; Bordoli, R. S.; Sedgewick, R. D.; Tyler, A. N. *Org. Mass Spectrom.* **1982**, *17*, 569–574.
- (485) Monaghan, J. J.; Barber, M.; Bordoli, R. S.; Sedgewick, R. D.; Tyler, A. N. *Org. Mass Spectrom.* **1983**, *18*, 75–82.
- (486) Monaghan, J. J.; Barber, M.; Bordoli, R. S.; Sedgewick, R. D.; Tyler, A. N. *Int. J. Mass Spectrom. Ion Phys.* **1983**, *46*, 447–450.
- (487) Ventura, F.; Figueras, A.; Caixach, J.; Fraise, D.; Rivera, J. *Fresenius' J. Anal. Chem.* **1989**, *335*, 272–278.
- (488) Borgerding, A. J.; Hites, R. A. *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.* **1994**, *5*, 407–415.
- (489) Richardson, S. D.; Thruston, A. D., Jr.; McGuire, J. M.; Baughman, G. L. *Org. Mass Spectrom.* **1991**, *26*, 826–830.
- (490) Richardson, S. D.; McGuire, J. M.; Thruston, A. D., Jr.; Baughman, G. L. *Org. Mass Spectrom.* **1992**, *27* (3), 289–299.

- (491) Richardson, S. D.; Thruston, A. D., Jr.; McGuire, J. M.; Weber, E. J. *Org. Mass Spectrom.* **1993**, *28* (5), 619–625.
- (492) Carlson, R. E.; Busch, K. L. *Org. Mass Spectrom.* **1994**, *29* (11), 632–640.
- (493) Borgerding, A. J.; Hites, R. A. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1994**, *28* (7), 1278–1284.
- (494) Zerbinati, O.; Vincenti, M.; Pittavino, S.; Gennaro, M. C. *Chemosphere* **1997**, *35* (10), 2295–2305.
- (495) Flory, D. A.; McLean, M. A.; Vestal, M. L.; Betowski, L. D. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **1987**, *1* (3), 48–50.
- (496) McLean, M. A.; Freas, R. B. *Anal. Chem.* **1989**, *61*, 2054–2058.
- (497) Ballard, J. M.; Betowski, L. D. *Org. Mass Spectrom.* **1986**, *21*, 575–588.
- (498) Bruins, A. P.; Weidolf, L. O. G.; Henion, J. D.; Budde, W. L. *Anal. Chem.* **1987**, *59*, 2647–2652.
- (499) Edlund, P. O.; Lee, E. D.; Henion, J. D.; Budde, W. L. *Biomed. Environ. Mass Spectrom.* **1989**, *18*, 233–240.
- (500) Straub, R.; Voyksner, R. D.; Keever, J. T. *J. Chromatogr.* **1992**, *627* (1–2), 173–186.
- (501) Smyth, W. F.; McClean, S.; O’Kane, E.; Banat, I.; McMullan, G. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1999**, *854* (1–2), 259–274.
- (502) Schroder, H. F. *TrAC, Trends Anal. Chem.* **1996**, *15* (8), 349–362.
- (503) Rafols, C.; Barcelo, D. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1997**, *777* (1), 177–192.
- (504) Alonso, M. C.; Barcelo, D. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **1999**, *400*, 211–231.
- (505) Alonso, M. C.; Castillo, M.; Barcelo, D.; *Anal. Chem.* **1999**, *71* (13), 2586–2593.
- (506) Tetler, L. W.; Cooper, P. A.; Carr, C. M. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **1994**, *8* (2), 179–182.
- (507) Riu, J.; Schönsee, I.; Barcelo, D. *J. Mass Spectrom.* **1998**, *33*, 653–663.
- (508) Poiger, T.; Richardson, S. D.; Baughman, G. L. *J. Chromatogr., A* **2000**, *886*, 271–282.
- (509) Nyman, M. C.; Perez, J.; Blatchley, E. R.; Kenttamaa, H. I. *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.* **1999**, *10* (11), 1152–1156.
- (510) Voyksner, R. D.; Straub, R.; Keever, J. T.; Freeman, H. S.; Hsu, W. N. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1993**, *27*, 7 (8), 1665–1672.
- (511) Straub, R. F.; Voyksner, R. D.; Keever, J. T. *Anal. Chem.* **1993**, *65* (15), 2131–2136.
- (512) Cioni, F.; Bartolucci, G.; Pieraccini, G.; Meloni, S.; Moneti, G. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **1999**, *13* (18), 1833–1837.
- (513) Zerbinati, O.; Diana, I.; Baiocchi, C. *Int. J. Environ. Anal. Chem.* **1999**, *74* (1–4), 43–54.
- (514) Trehy, M. L.; Gledhill, W. E.; Mieux, J. P.; Adamove, J. E.; Nielsen, A. M.; Perkins, H. O.; Eckhoff, W. S. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* **1996**, *15* (3), 233–240.
- (515) Reemtsma, T. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1996**, *733* (1–2), 473–489.
- (516) DiCorcia, A. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1998**, *794* (1–2), 165–185.
- (517) Marcomini, A.; Zanello, M. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1996**, *733* (1–2), 193–206.
- (518) Cserhati, T.; Forgacs, E. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1997**, *774* (1–2), 265–279.
- (519) Ventura, F.; Caixach, J.; Romero, J.; Espadaler, I.; Rivera, J. *Water Sci. Technol.* **1992**, *25* (11), 257–264.
- (520) Vincenti, M.; Minerio, C.; Pelizzetti, E. *Ann. Chim.* **1993**, *83* (9–10), 381–396.
- (521) Scullion, S. D.; Clench, M. R.; Cooke, M.; Ashcroft, A. E. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1996**, *733* (1–2), 207–216.
- (522) Evans, K. A.; Dubey, S. T.; Kravetz, L.; Dzidic, I.; Gumulka, J.; Mueller, R.; Stork, J. R. *Anal. Chem.* **1994**, *66* (5), 699–705.
- (523) Crescenzi, C.; DiCorcia, A.; Samperi, R.; Marcomini, A. *Anal. Chem.* **1995**, *67* (11), 1797–1804.
- (524) Castillo, M.; Ventura, F.; Barcelo, D. *Waste Manage. (Oxford)* **1999**, *19* (2), 101–110.
- (525) Shang, D. Y.; Ikonomou, M. G.; Macdonald, R. W. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1999**, *849* (2), 467–482.
- (526) Kamiyusuki, T.; Monde, T.; Nemoto, F.; Konakahara, T.; Takahashi, Y. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1999**, *852* (2), 475–485.
- (527) Jewett, B. N.; Ramaley, L.; Kwak, M. C. T. *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.* **1999**, *10* (6), 529–536.
- (528) Popenoe, D. D.; Morris, S. J.; Horn, P. S.; Norwood, K. T. *Anal. Chem.* **1994**, *66* (10), 1620–1629.
- (529) DiCorcia, A.; Casassa, F.; Crescenzi, C.; Marcomini, A.; Samperi, R. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1999**, *33*, 3 (22), 4112–4118.
- (530) Riu, J.; Gonzalez-Mazo, E.; Gomez-Parra, A.; Barcelo, D. *Chromatographia* **1999**, *50* (5/6), 275–281.
- (531) Gonzalez-Mazo, E.; Honing, M.; Barcelo, D.; Gomez-Parra, A. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1997**, *31*, 1 (2), 504–510.
- (532) Radke, M.; Behrends, T.; Förster, J.; Herrmann, R. *Anal. Chem.* **1999**, *71* (23), 5362–5366.
- (533) Wheat, T. E.; Lilley, K. A.; Banks, J. F. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1997**, *781* (1–2), 99–105.
- (534) Willetts, M.; Clench, M. R.; Greenwood, R.; Mills, G.; Carolan, V. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **1999**, *13* (4), 251–255.
- (535) Ayorinde, F. O.; Elhilo, E. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **1999**, *13* (21), 2166–2173.
- (536) Field, J. A.; Field, T. M.; Poiger, T.; Giger, W. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1994**, *28* (3), 497–503.
- (537) Ding, W.-H.; Chen, C.-T. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1999**, *857* (1–2), 359–364.
- (538) Bastian, B.; Knepper, T. P.; Hoffmann, P.; Ortner, H. M. *Fresenius’ J. Anal. Chem.* **1994**, *348* (10), 674–679.
- (539) Field, J. A.; Reed, R. L. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1996**, *30* (12), 3544–3550.
- (540) Lee, H. B.; Peart, T. E. *Anal. Chem.* **1995**, *67* (13), 1976–1980.
- (541) Richardson, S. D. Drinking Water Disinfection Byproducts. In *The Encyclopedia of Environmental Analysis and Remediation*; Meyers, R. A., Ed.; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1998; Vol. 3, pp 1398–1421.
- (542) Glaze, W. H.; Weinberg, H. S. *Identification and Occurrence of Ozonation By-Products in Drinking Water*; American Water Works Association Research Foundation: Denver, CO, 1993.
- (543) Weinberg, H. *Anal. Chem.* **1999**, *71* (23), A801-A808.
- (544) Krasner, S. W.; McGuire, M. J.; Jacangelo, J. G.; Patania, N. L.; Reagan, K. M.; Aieta, E. M. *J. Am. Water Works Assoc.* **1989**, *81* (8), 41–53.
- (545) Richardson, S. D.; Thruston, A. D., Jr.; Caughran, T. V.; Chen, P. H.; Collette, T. W.; Floyd, T. L.; Schenck, K. M.; Lykins, B. W., Jr.; Sun, G.-R.; Majetich, G. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1999**, *33*, 3368–3377.
- (546) Richardson, S. D.; Thruston, A. D., Jr.; Caughran, T. V.; Chen, P. H.; Collette, T. W.; Floyd, T. L.; Schenck, K. M.; Lykins, B. W., Jr.; Sun, G.-R.; Majetich, G. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1999**, *33*, 3378–3383.
- (547) De Leer, E. W. B.; Damste, J. S.; Erkelens, C.; de Galan, L. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1985**, *19*, 512–522.
- (548) Stevens, A. A.; Moore, L. A.; Slocum, C. J.; Smith, B. L.; Seeger, D. R.; Ireland, J. C. In *Water Chlorination: Chemistry, Environmental Impact and Health Effects*; Jolley, R. L., Condie, L. W., Johnson, J. O., Katz, S., Minear, R. A., Mattice, J. S., Jacobs, A., Eds.; Lewis Publishers: Chelsea, MI, 1990; Vol. 6, pp 579–604.
- (549) Komulainen, H.; Kosma, V.-M.; Vaittinen, S.-L.; Vartiainen, T.; Kaliste-Korhonen, E.; Lötjönen, S.; Tuominen, R. K.; Tuomisto, J. *J. Nat. Cancer Inst.* **1997**, *89* (12), 848–856.
- (550) Holmbom, B. R.; Voss, R. H.; Mortimer, R. D.; Wong, A. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1984**, *18*, 333–337.
- (551) Hemming, J.; Holmbom, B.; Reunanen, M.; Kronberg, L. *Chemosphere* **1986**, *15*, 549–556.
- (552) Meier, J. R.; Knohl, R. B.; Coleman, W. E.; Ringhand, H. P.; Munch, J. W.; Kaylor, W. H.; Streicher, R. P.; Kopfler, F. C. *Mutat. Res.* **1987**, *189*, 363–373.
- (553) Kronberg, L.; Holmbom, B.; Reunanen, M.; Tikkanen, L. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1988**, *22*, 1097–1103.
- (554) Backlund, P.; Kronberg, L.; Tikkanen, L. *Chemosphere* **1988**, *17* (7), 1329–1336.
- (555) Horth, H. *Aqua* **1989**, *38*, 80–100.
- (556) Langvik, V.-A.; Hormi, O.; Tikkanen, L.; Holmbom, B. *Chemosphere* **1991**, *22* (5–6), 547–555.
- (557) Kronberg, L.; Christman, R. F.; Singh, R.; Ball, L. M. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1991**, *25*, 99–104.
- (558) Kronberg, L.; Vartiainen, T. *Mutat. Res.* **1988**, *206*, 177–182.
- (559) Horth, H.; Fielding, M.; James, H.; Thomas, M.; Gibson, T.; Wilcox, P. In *Water Chlorination: Chemistry, Environmental Impact and Health Effects*; Jolley, R. L., Condie, L. W., Johnson, J. O., Katz, S., Minear, R. A., Mattice, J. S., Jacobs, A., Eds.; Lewis Publishers: Chelsea, MI, 1990; Vol. 6, pp 107–124.
- (560) Romero, J.; Ventura, F.; Caixach, J.; Rivera, J.; Guerrero, R. *Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* **1997**, *59* (5), 715–722.
- (561) Kanniganti, R.; Johnson, J. D.; Ball, L. M.; Charles, M. J. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1992**, *26* (10), 1998–2004.
- (562) Charles, M. J.; Chen, G.; Kanniganti, R.; Marbury, G. D. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1992**, *26* (5), 1030–1035.
- (563) Suzuki, N.; Nakanishi, J. *Chemosphere* **1995**, *30* (8), 1557–1564.
- (564) Glaze, W. H.; Koga, M.; Cancilla, D. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1989**, *23*, 838–847.
- (565) Glaze, W. H.; Koga, M.; Cancilla, D.; Wang, K.; McGuire, M. J.; Liang, S.; Davis, M. K.; Tate, C. H.; Aieta, E. M. *J. Am. Water Works Assoc.* **1989**, *81* (8), 66–73.
- (566) Weinberg, H. S.; Glaze, W. H.; Krasner, S. W.; Scilimenti, M. J. *J. Am. Water Works Assoc.* **1993**, *85* (5), 72–85.
- (567) Glaze, W. H.; Weinberg, H. S.; Cavanaugh, J. E. *J. Am. Water Works Assoc.* **1993**, *85*, 96–103.
- (568) Collette, T. W.; Richardson, S. D.; Thruston, A. D., Jr. *Appl. Spectrosc.* **1994**, *48* (10), 1181–1192.
- (569) Cavanaugh, J. E.; Weinberg, H. S.; Gold, A.; Sangaiah, R.; Marbury, D.; Glaze, W. H.; Collette, T. W.; Richardson, S. D.; Thruston, A. D., Jr. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1992**, *26* (8), 1658–1662.
- (570) Coleman, W. E.; Munch, J. W.; Ringhand, H. P.; Kaylor, W. H.; Mitchell, D. E. *Ozone Sci. Engin.* **1992**, *14*, 51–69.
- (571) LeLacheur, R. M.; Sonnenberg, L. B.; Singer, P. C.; Christman, R. F.; Charles, M. J. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1993**, *27* (13), 2745–2753.

- (572) Weinberg, H. S.; Glaze, W. H. *Water Res.* **1997**, *31* (7), 1555–1572.
- (573) Richardson, S. D.; Thruston, A. D., Jr.; Caughran, T. V.; Chen, P. H.; Guo, Y.; Collette, T. W.; Crumley, F. G.; Floyd, T. L.; Schenck, K. M.; Lykins, B. W., Jr. *Proc.-Water Qual. Technol. Conf.* [computer optical disk] **1998**, 2223–2234.
- (574) Colclough, C. A.; Johnson, J. D.; Christman, R. F.; Millington, D. S. In *Water Chlorination: Environmental Impact and Health Effects*; Jolley, R. L., Ed.; Ann Arbor Science: Ann Arbor: MI, 1983; Vol. 4, 219–229.
- (575) Richardson, S. D.; Thruston, A. D., Jr.; Collette, T. W.; Patterson, K. S.; Lykins, B. W., Jr.; Majetich, G.; Zhang, Y. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1994**, *28* (4), 592–599.
- (576) Richardson, S. D.; Thruston, A. D., Jr.; Collette, T. W.; Patterson, K. S.; Lykins, B. W., Jr.; Ireland, J. C. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1996**, *30* (11), 3327–3334.
- (577) Carlson, R. M.; Lin, S. In *Water Chlorination: Chemistry, Environmental Impact and Health Effects*; Jolley, R. L., Bull, R. J., Davis, W. P., Katz, S., Roberts, M. H., Jr., Jacobs, V. A., Eds.; Lewis Publishers: Chelsea, MI, 1985; Vol. 5, pp 835–841.
- (578) Coleman, W. E.; Munch, J. W.; Kaylor, W. H.; Streicher, R. P.; Ringhand, H. P.; Meier, J. R. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1984**, *18*, 674–681.
- (579) Seeger, D. R.; Moore, L. A.; Stevens, A. A. In *Water Chlorination: Chemistry, Environmental Impact and Health Effects*; Jolley, R. L., Bull, R. J., Davis, W. P., Katz, S., Roberts, M. H., Jr., Jacobs, V. A., Eds.; Lewis Publishers: Chelsea, MI, 1985; Vol. 5, pp 859–873.
- (580) Norwood, D. L.; Johnson, J. D.; Christman, R. F.; Millington, D. S. In *Water Chlorination, Environmental Impact and Health Effects*; Jolley, R. L., Brungs, W. A., Cotruvo, J. A., Cumming, R. B., Mattice, J. S., Jacobs, V. A., Eds.; Ann Arbor Science: Ann Arbor, MI, 1983; Vol. 4, pp 191–200.
- (581) Christman, R. F.; Johnson, J. D.; Pfaender, F. K.; Norwood, D. L.; Webb, M. R. Norwood, D. L.; Johnson, J. D.; Christman, R. F.; Millington, D. S. In *Water Chlorination, Environmental Impact and Health Effects*; Jolley, R. L., Brungs, W. A., Cumming, R. B., Jacobs, V. A., Eds.; Ann Arbor Science: Ann Arbor, MI, 1980; Vol. 3, 75–83.
- (582) Kopfler, F. C.; Ringhand, H. P.; Coleman, W. E.; Meier, J. R. In *Water Chlorination: Chemistry, Environmental Impact and Health Effects*; Jolley, R. L., Bull, R. J., Davis, W. P., Katz, S., Roberts, M. H., Jr., Jacobs, V. A., Eds.; Lewis Publishers: Chelsea, MI, 1985; Vol. 5, pp 161–173.
- (583) Becher, G.; Ovrum, N. M.; Christman, R. F. *Sci. Total Environ.* **1992**, *118*, 509–520.
- (584) Thompson, G. P.; Christman, R. F.; Johnson, J. D. In *Water Chlorination, Environmental Impact and Health Effects*; Jolley, R. L., Condie, L. W., Johnson, J. D., Katz, S., Minear, R. A., Mattice, J. S., Jacobs, V. A., Eds.; Ann Arbor Science: Ann Arbor, MI, 1990; Vol. 6, 171–178.
- (585) Horth, H.; Fielding, M.; James, J. A.; Thomas, M. J.; Gibson, M. J.; Wilcox, P. In *Water Chlorination, Environmental Impact and Health Effects*; Jolley, R. L., Condie, L. W., Johnson, J. D., Katz, S., Minear, R. A., Mattice, J. S., Jacobs, V. A., Eds.; Ann Arbor Science: Ann Arbor, MI, 1990; Vol. 6, 107–124.
- (586) Fox, T. C.; Keefe, D. J.; Scully, F. E., Jr.; Laikhter, A. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1997**, *31*, 1979–1984.
- (587) Keefe, D. J.; Fox, T. C.; Conyers, B.; Scully, F. E., Jr. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1997**, *31*, 1973–1978.
- (588) Killops, S. D. *Water Res.* **1986**, *20* (2), 153–165.
- (589) Anderson, L. J.; Johnson, J. D.; Christman, R. F. *Org. Geochem.* **1985**, *8* (1), 65–69.
- (590) Lawrence, J.; Tosine, H.; Onuska, R. I.; Comba, M. E. *Ozone Sci. Engin.* **1980**, *2*, 55–64.
- (591) Xie, Y.; Reckhow, D. A. *Analyst* **1993**, *118*, 71–72.
- (592) Hureiki, L.; Croue, J. P.; Legube, B.; Dore, M. *Ozone Sci. Engin.* **1998**, *20*, 381–402.
- (593) LeLacheur, R. M.; Glaze, W. H. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1996**, *30* (4), 1072–1080.
- (594) Jensen, J. N.; St. Aubin, J. J.; Christman, R. F.; Johnson, J. D. In *Water Chlorination: Chemistry, Environmental Impact and Health Effects*; Jolley, R. L., Bull, R. J., Davis, W. P., Katz, S., Roberts, M. H., Jr., Jacobs, V. A., Eds.; Lewis Publishers: Chelsea, MI, 1985; Vol. 5, pp 939–949.
- (595) Heasley, V. L.; Alexander, M. B.; DeBoard, R. H.; Hanley, J. C., Jr.; McKee, T. C.; Wadley, B. D.; Shellhamer, D. F. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* **1999**, *18* (11), 2406–2409.
- (596) Ells, B.; Barnett, D. A.; Froese, K.; Purves, R. W.; Hrudey, S.; Guevremont, R. *Anal. Chem.* **1999**, *71* (20), 4747–4752.
- (597) Martinez, D.; Borrull, F.; Calull, M.; Ruana, J.; Colom, A. *Chromatographia* **1998**, *48* (11/12), 811–816.
- (598) Sarrion, M. N.; Santos, F. J.; Galceran, M. T. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1999**, *859* (2), 159–171.
- (599) Merkel, T.; Sacher, F.; Maier, M.; Maier, D. *Proc.-Water Qual. Technol. Conf.* [computer optical disk] **1998**, 1461–1470.
- (600) Prakash, B.; Pepich, B. V.; Munich, D.; Frebis, C. *Proc.-Water Qual. Technol. Conf.* [computer optical disk] **1998**, 914–926.
- (601) Bao, M. L.; Barbieri, K.; Burrini, D.; Griffini, O.; Pantani, F. *Water Res.* **1997**, *31* (7), 1719–1727.
- (602) Palmentier, J. P. F. P.; Taguchi, V. Y.; Jenkins, S. W. D.; Wang, D. T.; Ngo, K. P.; Robinson, D. *Water Res.* **1998**, *32* (2), 287–294.
- (603) Graham, D.; Hayes, K. *Water (Artarmon, Aust.)* **1998**, *25* (5), 24.
- (604) Khiari, D.; Barrett, S. E.; Suffet, I. H. *J. Am. Water Works Assoc.* **1997**, *89* (4), 150–161.
- (605) Kenefick, S. L.; Brownlee, B. G.; Perley, T. R.; Hrudey, S. E. *Water Sci. Technol.* **1995**, *31* (11), 15–21.
- (606) Brownlee, B. G.; Kenefick, S. L.; MacInnis, G. A.; Hrudey, S. E. *Water Sci. Technol.* **1995**, *31* (11), 35–40.
- (607) Romero, J.; Ventura, F.; Caixach, J.; Rivera, J.; Gode, L. X.; Ninerola, J. M. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1998**, *32* (2), 206–216.
- (608) Hassett, A. J.; Rohwer, E. R. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1999**, *849* (2), 521–528.
- (609) Siren, H.; Jussila, M.; Liu, H.; Peltoniemi, S.; Sivonen, K.; Riekkola, M.-L. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1999**, *839* (1–2), 203–215.
- (610) Takino, M.; Daishima, S.; Yamaguchi, K. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1999**, *862* (2), 191–197.
- (611) Sherlock, I. R.; James, K. J.; Caudwell, F. B.; Mackintosh, C. *Nat. Toxins* 1997 (Pub. **1998**), *5* (6), 247–254.
- (612) Kaya, K.; Sano, T.; *Anal. Chim. Acta* **1999**, *386* (1–2), 107–112.
- (613) Dembitsky, V. M.; Shkrob, I.; Dor, I. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1999**, *862* (2), 221–229.
- (614) Pleasance, S.; Ayer, S. W.; Laycock, M. V.; Thibault, P. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **1992**, *6* (1), 14–24.
- (615) Gallacher, S.; Flynn, K. J.; Franco, J. M.; Brueggemann, E. E.; Hines, H. B. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **1997**, *63* (1), 239–245.
- (616) James, K. J.; Bishop, A. G.; Draisci, R.; Palleschi, L.; Marchi-afava, C.; Ferretti, E.; Satake, M.; Yasumoto, T. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1999**, *844* (1–2), 53–65.
- (617) Hua, Y. S.; Lu, W. Z.; Henry, M. S.; Pierce, R. H.; Cole, R. B. *Anal. Chem.* **1995**, *67* (11), 1815–1823.
- (618) Hartig, C.; Storm, T.; Jekel, M. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1999**, *854* (1–2), 163–173.
- (619) Hirsch, R.; Ternes, T. A.; Haberer, K.; Mehlich, A.; Ballwanz, F.; Kratz, K.-L. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1998**, *815*, 213–223.
- (620) Ternes, T. A.; Hirsch, R.; Mueller, J.; Haberer, K. *Fresenius' J. Anal. Chem.* **1998**, *362*, 329–340.
- (621) Muller, M. D.; Buser, H.-R.; Poiger, T. *Prepr. Ext. Abstr. ACS Natl. Meet., Am. Chem. Soc., Div. Environ. Chem.* **1999**, *39* (2), 191–193.
- (622) Huppert, N.; Wuertele, M.; Hahn, H. H. *Fresenius' J. Anal. Chem.* **1998**, *362* (6), 529–536.
- (623) Daughton, C. G.; Ternes, T. A. *Environ. Health Perspec.* **1999**, *107* (Suppl. 6), 907–938.
- (624) Lafont, F.; Aramendia, M. A.; Garcia, I.; Borau, V.; Jimenez, C.; Marinas, J. M.; Urbano, F. J. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **1999**, *13* (7), 562–567.
- (625) Motoyama, A.; Suzuki, A.; Shirota, O.; Namba, R. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **1999**, *13* (21), 2204–2208.
- (626) Puig, D.; Silgoner, I.; Grasserbauer, M.; Barcelo, D. *Anal. Chem.* **1997**, *69* (14), 2756–2761.
- (627) Puig, D.; Barcelo, D.; Silgoner, I.; Grasserbauer, M. *J. Mass Spectrom.* **1996**, *31* (11), 1297–1307.
- (628) Johnson, S. K.; Houk, L. L.; Feng, J.; Houk, R. S.; Johnson, D. C. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1999**, *33* (15), 2638–2644.
- (629) Alimpiev, S. S.; Belov, M. E.; Mlinsky, V. V.; Nikiforov, S. S. *Analyst* **1994**, *119* (4), 579–582.
- (630) Bolz, U.; Koerner, W.; Hagenmaier, H. *Organohalogen Compd.* **1998**, *35* (Analysis, Chlorinated Bornanes, Chiral Contaminants, Polymer Additives and Monomers), 93–96.
- (631) Chung, J.; Wells, R. J. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1997**, *771* (1–2), 203–211.
- (632) Crespín, M. A.; Curdenas, S.; Gallego, M.; Valcarcel, M. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1999**, *830* (1), 165–174.
- (633) Baltussen, E.; David, F.; Sandra, P.; Janssen, H.-G.; Cramers, C. J. *Microcolumn Sep.* **1999**, *11* (6), 471–474.
- (634) Geissler, A.; Scholer, H. F. *Water Res.* **1994**, *28* (10), 2047–2053.
- (635) Zapf, A.; Stan, H.-J. *J. High Resolut. Chromatogr.* **1999**, *22* (2), 83–88.
- (636) Sinkkonen, S. *J. Chromatogr.* **1993**, *642* (1–2), 47–52.
- (637) Lacorte, S.; Perrot, M.-C.; Fraisse, D.; Barcelo, D. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1999**, *833* (2), 181–194.
- (638) Olson, L. K.; Caruso, J. A. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1992**, *7* (6), 993–998.
- (639) Bulterman, A. J.; Vanloon, W. M.; Ghijsen, R. T.; Brinkman, U. A.; Huitema, I. M.; Degroot, B. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1997**, *31* (7), 1946–1952.
- (640) Trigg, R. J.; Keenan, G. A.; McMullan, D.; Conner, A. I. *Int. J. Environ. Anal. Chem.* **1998**, *70* (1–4), 47–57.
- (641) Wang, Y.; Lee, H. K. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1998**, *803* (1–2), 219–225.
- (642) Wenrich, L.; Engewald, W.; Popp, P. *Acta Hydrochim. Hydrobiol.* **1997**, *25* (6), 329–334.
- (643) Volmer, D. A.; Lay, J. O.; Billedeau, S. M.; Vollmer, D. L. *Anal. Chem.* **1996**, *68* (3), 546–552.

- (644) Plomley, J. B.; Koester, C. J.; March, R. E. *Anal. Chem.* **1994**, *66* (24), 4437–4443.
- (645) Taguchi, V.; Jenkins, S. D.; Wang, D. T.; Palmentier, J. P.; Reiner, E. J. *Can. J. Appl. Spectrosc.* **1994**, *39* (3), 87–93.
- (646) Zhao, Y. L.; Schelfaut, M.; Sandra, P.; Banks, F. *Electrophoresis* **1998**, *19* (12), 2213–2219.
- (647) Sacher, F.; Lenz, S.; Brauch, H. J. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1997**, *764* (1), 85–93.
- (648) Peters, R. J.; Vanduivenbode, J. A. *Fresenius' J. Anal. Chem.* **1994**, *348* (3), 249–251.
- (649) Hogue, C. *Chem. Eng. News* **2000**, Mar 27, 6.
- (650) Lopez-Avila, V.; Benedicto, J.; Prest, H.; Bauer, S. *Spectroscopy (Eugene, OR)* **1999**, *14* (6), 36, 38–41.
- (651) Koester, C. J.; Davisson, M. L.; Moran, J. E. *Proc.-Water Qual. Technol. Conf.* [computer optical disk] **1998**, 927–932.
- (652) Hong, S.; Duttweiler, C. M.; Lemley, A. T. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1999**, *857* (1–2), 205–216.
- (653) Johnson, S. K.; Houk, L. L.; Johnson, D. C.; Houk, R. S. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **1999**, *389* (1–3), 1–8.
- (654) Ahrer, W.; Buchberger, W. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1999**, *854* (1–2), 275–287.
- (655) Frauendorf, H.; Herzsuh, R. *Eur. Mass Spectrom.* **1998**, *4* (4), 269–278.
- (656) Fang, J.; Barcelona, M. *Anal. Lett.* **1999**, *32* (7), 1459–1473.
- (657) Neitzel, P. L.; Walther, W.; Nestler, V. *Fresenius' J. Anal. Chem.* **1998**, *361* (3), 318–323.
- (658) Nishikawa, Y.; Okumura, T. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1995**, *690* (1), 109–118.
- (659) Sheppard, R. L.; Henion, J. *Electrophoresis* **1997**, *18* (2), 287–291.
- (660) Teeter, B. K.; Dejarne, L. E.; Choudhury, T. K.; Cooks, R. G.; Kaiser, R. E. *Talanta* **1994**, *41* (8), 1237–1245.
- (661) Minero, C.; Vincenti, M.; Pelizzetti, E. *Ann. Chim.* **1993**, *83* (11–12), 511–521.
- (662) Miki, A.; Tsuchihashi, H.; Ueda, K.; Yamashita, M. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1995**, *718* (2), 383–389.
- (663) Brumely, W. C.; Shafter, E. M.; Tillander, P. E. *J. AOAC Int.* **1994**, *77* (5), 1230–1236.
- (664) Vitali, M.; Guidotti, M.; Macilenti, G.; Cremisini, C. *Environ. Int.* **1997**, *23* (3), 337–347.
- (665) Furtmann, K. *Fresenius' J. Anal. Chem.* **1994**, *348* (4), 291–296.
- (666) Fabbri, D.; Trombini, C.; Vassura, I. *J. Chromatogr. Sci.* **1998**, *36* (12), 600–604.
- (667) Ojala, M.; Ketola, R.; Mansikka, T.; Kotiaho, T.; Kostianen, R. *Adv. Mass Spectrom.* [computer optical disk] **1998**, *14*, D021910/1–D021910/6.
- (668) Heberer, T.; Gramer, S.; Stan, H.-J. *Acta Hydrochim. Hydrobiol.* **1999**, *27* (3), 150–156.
- (669) Read, R. W.; Black, R. M. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1999**, *862* (2), 169–177.
- (670) Kostianen, R.; Bruins, A. P.; Hakkinen, V. M. *J. Chromatogr.* **1993**, *634* (1), 113–118.
- (671) Mercier, J. P.; Chaimbault, P.; Morin, P.; Dreux, M.; Tambute, A. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1998**, *825* (1), 71–80.
- (672) Levsen, K.; Mussmann, P.; Berger-Preiss, E.; Preiss, A.; Volmer, D.; Wunsch, G. *Acta Hydrochim. Hydrobiol.* **1993**, *21* (3), 153–166.
- (673) Gates, P. M.; Furlong, E. T.; Dorsey, T. F.; Burkhardt, M. R. *TrAC, Trends Anal. Chem.* **1996**, *15* (8), 319–325.
- (674) Whittaker, M.; Pollard, S. J.; Fallick, T. E. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1995**, *16* (11), 1009–1033.
- (675) Krahn, M. M.; Ylitalo, G. M.; Buzitis, J.; Chan, S. L.; Varanasi, U. *J. Chromatogr.* **1993**, *642* (1–2), 15–32.
- (676) Xie, G.; Barcelona, M. J.; Fang, J. *Anal. Chem.* **1999**, *71* (9), 1899–1904.
- (677) Havenga, W. J.; Rohwer, E. R. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1999**, *848* (1–2), 279–295.
- (678) Reddy, C. M.; Quinn, J. G. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* **1999**, *38* (2), 126–135.
- (679) Mazeas, L.; Budzinski, H. *Analisis* **1999**, *27* (3), 200–203.
- (680) Jovancicevic, B.; Tasic, L.; Wehner, H.; Faber, E.; Susic, N.; Polic, P. *Fresenius' Environ. Bull.* **1997**, *6* (11–12), 667–673.
- (681) Whittaker, M.; Pollard, S. J.; Fallick, A. E.; Preston, T. *Environ. Pollut.* **1996**, *94* (2), 195–203.
- (682) Mansuy, L.; Philp, R. P.; Allen, J. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1997**, *31* (12), 3417–3425.
- (683) O'Malley, V. P.; Stark, A.; Abrajano, T. A.; Hellou, J.; Winsor, L. *Polycyclic Aromat. Compd.* **1996**, *9* (1–4), 93–100.
- (684) Uzaki, M.; Yamada, K.; Ishiwatari, R. *Geochem. J.* **1993**, *27* (6), 385–389.
- (685) Dowling, L. M.; Boreham, C. J.; Hope, J. M.; Murray, A. P.; Summons, R. E. *Org. Geochem.* **1995**, *23* (8), 729–737.
- (686) McRae, C.; Love, G. D.; Murray, I. P.; Snape, C. E.; Fallick, A. E. *Anal. Commun.* **1996**, *33* (9), 331–333.
- (687) Wang, Z. D.; Fingas, M. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1995**, *712* (2), 321–343.
- (688) Munoz, D.; Doumenq, P.; Guiliano, M.; Jacquot, F.; Scherrer, P.; Mille, G. *Talanta* **1997**, *45* (1), 1–12.
- (689) White, D. M.; Luong, H.; Irvine, R. L. *J. Cold Reg. Eng.* **1998**, *12* (1), 1–10.
- (690) Harris, S. A.; Whitar, M. J.; Eek, M. K. *Org. Geochem.* **1999**, *30* (8A), 721–737.
- (691) Rowe, D.; Muehlenbachs, K. *Org. Geochem.* **1999**, *30* (8A), 861–871.
- (692) Beneteau, K. M.; Aravena, R.; Frape, S. K. *Org. Geochem.* **1999**, *30* (8A), 739–753.
- (693) Ballentine, D. C.; Macko, S. A.; Turekian, V. C.; Gilhooly, W. P.; Martincigh, B. *Org. Geochem.* **1996**, *25* (1–2), 97–104.
- (694) Naraoka, H.; Yamada, K.; Ishiwatari, R. *Geochem. J.* **1995**, *29* (3), 189–195.
- (695) Bird, M. I.; Summons, R. E.; Gagan, M. K.; Roksandic, Z.; Dowling, L.; Head, J.; Fifield, L. K.; Cresswell, R. G.; Johnson, D. P. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **1995**, *59* (13), 2853–2857.
- (696) Baylis, S. A.; Hall, K.; Jumeau, E. *J. Org. Geochem.* **1994**, *21* (6–7), 777–785.
- (697) Dempster, H. S.; Lollar, B. S.; Feenstra, S. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1997**, *31* (11), 3193–3197.
- (698) Zeng, Y. Q.; Mukai, H.; Bandow, H.; Nojiri, Y. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **1994**, *289* (2), 195–204.
- (699) Creed, J. T.; Magnuson, M. L.; Pfaff, J. D.; Brockhoff, C. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1996**, *753* (2), 261–267.
- (700) Creed, J. T.; Brockhoff, C. A. *Anal. Chem.* **1999**, *71* (3), 722–726.
- (701) Diemer, J.; Heumann, K. G. *Fresenius' J. Anal. Chem.* **1997**, *357* (1), 74–79.
- (702) Yamanaka, M.; Sakai, T.; Kumagai, H.; Inoue, Y. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1997**, *789* (1–2), 259–265.
- (703) Nowak, M.; Seubert, A. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **1998**, *359* (1–2), 193–204.
- (704) Charles, L.; Pepin, D.; Casetta, B. *Anal. Chem.* **1996**, *68* (15), 2554–2558.
- (705) Charles, L.; Pepin, D. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1998**, *804* (1–2), 105–111.
- (706) Buchberger, W.; Ahrer, W. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1999**, *850* (1–2), 99–106.
- (707) Barnett, D. A.; Guevremont, R.; Purves, R. W. *Appl. Spectrosc.* **1999**, *53* (11), 1367–1374.
- (708) Sclimenti, M. J.; Hwang, C. J.; Krasner, S. W. *ACS Symp. Ser.* **1996**, *649*, 126–136.
- (709) Gazda, M.; Dejarne, L. E.; Choudhury, T. K.; Cooks, R. G.; Margerum, D. W. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1993**, *27* (3), 557–561.
- (710) Shang, C.; Blatchley, E. R., III. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1999**, *33* (13), 2218–2223.
- (711) Eaton, A.; Haghani, A.; Cox, N.; Wong, E. *Proc.-Water Qual. Technol. Conf.* **1998**.
- (712) Urbansky, E. T.; Maguson, M. L. *Prepr. Ext. Abstr. ACS Natl. Meet., Am. Chem. Soc., Div. Environ. Chem.* **1999**, *39* (2), 69–71.
- (713) Clewell, R.; Tsui, D. T. *Prepr. Ext. Abstr. ACS Natl. Meet., Am. Chem. Soc., Div. Environ. Chem.* **1999**, *39* (2), 71–74.
- (714) Kana, T. M.; Darkangelo, C.; Hunt, M. D.; Oldham, J. B.; Bennett, G. E.; Cornwell, J. C. *Anal. Chem.* **1994**, *66* (23), 4166–4170.
- (715) Schramel, O.; Michalke, B.; Kettrup, A. *Fresenius' J. Anal. Chem.* **1999**, *363* (5–6), 452–455.
- (716) Huggins, T. G.; Henion, J. D. *Electrophoresis* **1993**, *14* (5–6), 531–539.
- (717) Prange, A.; Schaumloffel, D. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1999**, *14* (9), 1329–1332.
- (718) Olesik, J. W.; Kinzer, J. A.; Olesik, S. V. *Anal. Chem.* **1995**, *67* (1), 1–12.
- (719) Rottmann, L.; Heumann, K. G. *Fresenius' J. Anal. Chem.* **1994**, *350* (4–5), 221–227.
- (720) Bettinelli, M.; Spezia, S. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1995**, *709* (2), 275–281.
- (721) Kumagai, H.; Yamanaka, M.; Sakai, T.; Yokoyama, T.; Suzuki, T. M.; Suzuki, T. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1998**, *13* (6), 579–582.
- (722) Ekechukwu, A. A.; Dewberry, R. A.; Bibler, N. E.; Kinard, F. *LC-GC* **1999**, *17* (9), 842–852.
- (723) Blake, E.; Raynor, M. W.; Cornell, D. *Am. Lab.* **1994**, *26* (9), 46–50.
- (724) Carey, J. M.; Vela, N. P.; Caruso, J. A. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1992**, *7* (8), 1173–1181.
- (725) Geerdink, M. J.; Erkelens, C.; Vandam, J. C.; Frank, J.; Luyben, K. C. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **1995**, *315* (1–2), 159–166.
- (726) Zaray, G.; Kantor, T. *Spectrochim. Acta, Part B* **1995**, *50* (4–7), 489–500.
- (727) Fairman, B.; Catterick, T. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1997**, *12* (8), 863–866.
- (728) Liu, H.-W.; Jiang S.-J.; Liu, S.-H. *Spectrochim. Acta, Part B* **1999**, *54B*(9), 1367–1375.
- (729) Pozebon, D.; Dressler, V. L.; Curtius, A. J. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1998**, *13* (5), 363–369.
- (730) Chapple, G.; Byrne, J. P. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1996**, *11* (8), 549–553.
- (731) Seubert, A.; Petzold, G.; McLaren, J. W. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1995**, *10* (5), 371–379.

- (732) Warnken, K. W.; Gill, G. A.; Wen, L.-S.; Griffin, L. L.; Santschi, P. H. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1999**, *14* (2), 247–252.
- (733) Beauchemin, D.; Specht, A. A. *Can. J. Anal. Sci. Spectrosc.* **1998**, *43* (2), 43–48.
- (734) Taylor, D. B.; Kingston, H. M.; Nogay, D. J.; Koller, D.; Hutton, R. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1996**, *11* (3), 187–191.
- (735) Yang, H. J.; Huang, K. S.; Jiang, S. J.; Wu, C. C.; Chou, C. H. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **1993**, *282* (2), 437–443.
- (736) Wills, J. D.; Jarvis, K. E.; Williams, J. G. *Sci. Total Environ.* **1993**, *135* (1–3), 137–143.
- (737) Stetzenbach, K. J.; Amano, M.; Kreamer, D. K.; Hodge, V. F. *Groundwater* **1994**, *32* (6), 976–985.
- (738) Vollkopf, U.; Baranov, V.; Tanner, S. *Spec. Publ.–R. Soc. Chem.* **1999**, *241* (Plasma Source Mass Spectrometry), 63–79.
- (739) Bayon, M. M.; Cambor, M. G.; Alonso, J. I. G.; Sanz-Medel, A. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1999**, *14* (9), 1317–1322.
- (740) Woods, G. D.; McCurdy, E. *Spec. Publ.–R. Soc. Chem.* **1999**, *241* (Plasma Source Mass Spectrometry), 108–119.
- (741) Wollenweber, D.; Strassburg, S.; Wunsch, G. *Fresenius' J. Anal. Chem.* **1999**, *364* (5), 433–437.
- (742) Becker, J. S.; Dietze, H.-J. *Fresenius' J. Anal. Chem.* **1999**, *365* (5), 429–434.
- (743) Willie, S. N.; Iida, Y.; McLaren, J. W. *At. Spectrosc.* **1998**, *19* (3), 67–72.
- (744) Santosa, S. J.; Mokudai, H.; Tanaka, S. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1997**, *12* (4), 409–415.
- (745) Yi, W.; Halliday, A. N.; Lee, D.-C.; Rehkamper, M. *Geostand. Newsl.* **1998**, *22* (2), 173–179.
- (746) Klemm, W.; Bombach, G.; Becker, K. P. *Fresenius' J. Anal. Chem.* **1999**, *364* (5), 429–432.
- (747) Field, M. P.; Cullen, J. T.; Sherrell, R. M. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1999**, *14* (9), 1425–1431.
- (748) Akatsuka, K.; Suzuki, T.; Nobuyama, N.; Hoshi, S.; Haraguchi, K.; Nakagawa, L.; Ogata, T.; Kato, T. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1998**, *13* (4), 271–275.
- (749) Wen, B.; Shan, X.-Q.; Xu, S.-G. *Analyst* **1999**, *124* (4), 621–626.
- (750) Saleh, M. A.; Wilson, B. L. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* **1999**, *44* (1), 113–117.
- (751) Leiterer, M.; Munch, U. *Fresenius' J. Anal. Chem.* **1994**, *350* (4–5), 204–209.
- (752) Gueguen, C.; Belin, C.; Thomas, B. A.; Monna, F.; Favarger, P.-Y.; Dominik, J. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **1999**, *386* (1–2), 155–159.
- (753) Matoba, S.; Nishikawa, M.; Watanabe, O.; Fujii, Y. *Kankyo Kagaku* **1998**, *8* (3), 421–427.
- (754) Barbante, C.; Cozzi, G.; Capodaglio, G.; van de Velde, K.; Ferrari, C.; Boutron, C.; Cescon, P. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1999**, *14* (9), 1433–1438.
- (755) Barbante, C.; Cozzi, G.; Capodaglio, G.; van de Velde, K.; Ferrari, C.; Veysseyre, A.; Boutron, C. F.; Scarponi, G.; Cescon, P. *Anal. Chem.* **1999**, *71* (19), 4125–4133.
- (756) Snyder-Conn, E.; Garbarino, J. R.; Hoffman, G. L.; Oelkers, A. *Arctic* **1997**, *50* (3), 201–215.
- (757) Hasseloev, M.; Lyven, B.; Haraldsson, C.; Sirinawin, W. *Anal. Chem.* **1999**, *71* (16), 3497–3502.
- (758) Hasseloev, M.; Lyven, B.; Beckett, R. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1999**, *33*, 4528–4531.
- (759) Gabler, H.-E.; Bahr, A.; Mieke, B. *Fresenius' J. Anal. Chem.* **1999**, *365* (5), 409–414.
- (760) Hirner, A. V.; Feldmann, J.; Goguel, R.; Rapsomanikis, S.; Fischer, R.; Andreae, M. O. *Appl. Organomet. Chem.* **1994**, *8* (1), 65–69.
- (761) Feldmann, J.; Cullen, W. R. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1997**, *31* (7), 2125–2129.
- (762) Katoh, T.; Akiyama, M.; Ohtsuka, H.; Nakamura, S.; Haraguchi, K.; Akatsuka, K. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1996**, *11* (1), 69–71.
- (763) Wang, L.; Zhang, L. H.; Li, J. *J. Electron. Mater.* **1999**, *28* (6), 793–798.
- (764) Hall, G. E. *J. Geochem. Explor.* **1993**, *49* (1–2), 89–121.
- (765) Lythgoe, P. R.; Poly, D. A.; Parker, C. *Spec. Publ.–R. Soc. Chem.* **1999**, *241* (Plasma Source Mass Spectrometry), 141–149.
- (766) Lindemann, T.; Prange, A.; Dannecker, W.; Neidhart, B. *Fresenius' J. Anal. Chem.* **1999**, *364* (5), 462–466.
- (767) Lam, J. W.; Sturgeon, R. E. *At. Spectrosc.* **1999**, *20* (3), 79–85.
- (768) Townsend, A. T. *Fresenius' J. Anal. Chem.* **1999**, *364* (6), 521–526.
- (769) Pergantis, S. A.; Winnik, W.; Betowski, D. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1997**, *12*, 531–536.
- (770) Van Holderbeke, M.; Zhao, Y.; Vanhaecke, F.; Moens, L.; Dams, R.; Sandra, P. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1999**, *14* (2), 229–234.
- (771) Michalke, B.; Schramel, P. *Electrophoresis* **1998**, *19* (12), 2220–2225.
- (772) Magnuson, M. L.; Creed, J. T.; Brockhoff, C. A. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1997**, *12* (7), 689–695.
- (773) Taniguchi, T.; Tao, H.; Tominaga, M.; Niyazaki, A. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1999**, *14* (4), 651–655.
- (774) Magnuson, M. L.; Creed, J. T.; Brockhoff, C. A. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1996**, *11* (9), 893–898.
- (775) Yehl, P. M.; Tyson, J. F. *Anal. Commun.* **1997**, *34* (2), 49–51.
- (776) Saverwyns, S.; Zhang, X. R.; Vanhaecke, F.; Cornelius, R.; Moens, L.; Dams, R. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1997**, *12* (9), 1047–1052.
- (777) Liu, Y. M.; Sanchez, M. L.; Gonzalez, E. B.; Sanz-Medel, A. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1993**, *8* (6), 815–820.
- (778) Claussen, F. A. *J. Chromatogr. Sci.* **1997**, *35* (12), 568–572.
- (779) Cullen, W. R.; Eigendorf, G. K.; Pergantis, S. A. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **1993**, *7* (1), 33–36.
- (780) Klauke, B.; Blum, J. D. *Anal. Chem.* **1999**, *71* (7), 1408–1414.
- (781) Creed, J. T.; Magnuson, M. L.; Brockhoff, C. A.; Chamberlain, I.; Sivaganesan, M. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1996**, *11* (7), 505–509.
- (782) Laszity, A.; Krushevska, A.; Kotrebai, M.; Barnes, R. M.; Amarasiriwardena, D. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1995**, *10* (7), 505–510.
- (783) Gregoire, D. C.; Ballinas, M. D. *Spectrochim. Acta, Part B* **1997**, *52* (1), 75–82.
- (784) Pergantis, S. A.; Wangkarn, S.; Francesconi, K. A.; Thomas-Oates, J. E. *Anal. Chem.* **2000**, *72*, 357–366.
- (785) Szostek, B.; Aldstadt, J. H. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1998**, *807* (2), 253–263.
- (786) Michalke, B.; Schramel, O.; Kettrup, A. *Fresenius' J. Anal. Chem.* **1999**, *363* (5–6), 456–459.
- (787) Kolbl, G. *Mar. Chem.* **1995**, *48* (3–4), 185–197.
- (788) Li, F.; Goessler, W.; Irgolic, K. J. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1999**, *830* (2), 337–344.
- (789) Cai, Y.; Cabanas, M.; Fernandez-Turiel, J. L.; Abalos, M.; Bayona, J. M. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **1995**, *314* (3), 183–192.
- (790) Moini, M.; Li, G. Q.; Perez, F.; Ibarra, F. E.; Sandoval, D. *J. Mass Spectrom.* **1997**, *32* (4), 420–424.
- (791) Gomez-Ariza, J. L.; Pozas, J. A.; Giraldez, I.; Morales, E. *Talanta* **1999**, *49* (2), 285–292.
- (792) Gomez-Ariza, J. L.; Pozas, J. A.; Giraldez, I.; Morales, E. *J. Chromatogr. Sci.* **1999**, *37* (11), 436–442.
- (793) Haygarth, P. M.; Rowland, A. P.; Sturup, S.; Jones, K. C. *Analyst* **1993**, *118* (10), 1303–1308.
- (794) Gomez-Ariza, J. L.; Giraldez, I.; Morales, E.; Pozas, J. A. *Analyst* **1999**, *124* (1), 75–78.
- (795) Gallus, S. M.; Heumann, K. G. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1996**, *11* (9), 887–892.
- (796) McCurdy, E. J.; Lange, J. D.; Haygarth, P. M. *Sci. Total Environ.* **1993**, *135* (1–3), 131–136.
- (797) Tomascak, P. B.; Carlson, R. W.; Shirey, S. B. *Chem. Geol.* **1999**, *158* (1–2), 145–154.
- (798) Russow, R. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **1999**, *13* (13), 1334–1338.
- (799) Demuth, N.; Heumann, K. G. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1999**, *14* (9), 1449–1453.
- (800) Gabler, H.-E.; Bahr, A. *Chem. Geol.* **1999**, *156* (1–4), 323–330.
- (801) D'Orazio, M. *Geostand. Newsl.* **1999**, *23* (1), 21–29.
- (802) Stewart, I. I.; Barnett, D. A.; Horlick, G. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1996**, *11* (9), 877–886.
- (803) Prohaska, T.; Latkoczy, C.; Stingeder, G. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1999**, *14* (9), 1501–1504.
- (804) Divjak, B.; Goessler, W. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1999**, *844* (1–2), 161–169.
- (805) Schnetger, B.; Muramatsu, Y.; Yoshida, S. *Geostand. Newsl.* **1998**, *22* (2), 181–186.
- (806) Muramatsu, Y.; Yoshida, S. *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.* **1995**, *197* (1), 149–159.
- (807) Hils, A.; Grote, M.; Janssen, E.; Eichhorn, J. *Fresenius' J. Anal. Chem.* **1999**, *364* (5), 457–461.
- (808) Fairman, B.; Sanz-Medel, A. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1995**, *10* (3), 281–285.
- (809) Wei, M.-T.; Jiang, S.-J. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1999**, *14* (8), 1177–1181.
- (810) Schedlbauer, O. F.; Heumann, K. G. *Anal. Chem.* **1999**, *71* (24), 5459–5464.
- (811) Rehkamper, M.; Halliday, A. N. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **1999**, *63* (6), 935–944.
- (812) Wann, C. C.; Jiang, S. J. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **1997**, *357* (3), 211–218.
- (813) Yang, K. L.; Jiang, S. J.; Hwang, T. J. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1996**, *11* (2), 139–143.
- (814) Orians, K. J.; Boyle, E. A. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **1993**, *282* (1), 63–74.
- (815) Hastings, D. W.; Emerson, S. R.; Nelson, B. K. *Anal. Chem.* **1996**, *68* (2), 371–377.
- (816) Ogura, H.; Oguma, K. *Microchem. J.* **1994**, *49* (2–3), 220–225.
- (817) Vanhaecke, F.; De Wannemacker, G.; Moens, L.; Hertogen, J. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1999**, *14* (11), 1691–1696.
- (818) Chassery, S.; Grousset, F. E.; Lavaux, G.; Quétel, C. R. *Fresenius' J. Anal. Chem.* **1998**, *360* (2), 230–234.
- (819) Paul, M.; Berkovits, D.; Cecil, L. D.; Feldstein, H.; Hershkovitz, A.; Kashiv, Y.; Vogt, S. *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. B* **1997**, *123* (1–4), 394–399.
- (820) Freydier, R.; Dupre, B.; Polve, M. *Eur. Mass Spectrom.* **1995**, *1* (3), 283–291.

- (821) Sacher, F.; Raue, B.; Klinger, J.; Brauch, H.-J. *Int. J. Environ. Anal. Chem.* **1999**, *74* (1–4), 191–201.
- (822) Arar, E. J.; Long, S. E.; Martin, T. D.; Gold, S. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1992**, *26* (10), 1944–1950.
- (823) Powell, M. J.; Boomer, D. W.; Wiederin, D. R. *Anal. Chem.* **1995**, *67* (14), 2474–2478.
- (824) Byrdy, F. A.; Olson, L. K.; Vela, N. P.; Caruso, J. A. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1995**, *712* (2), 311–320.
- (825) Posta, J.; Alimonti, A.; Petrucci, F.; Caroli, S. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **1996**, *325* (3), 185–193.
- (826) Andrie, C. M.; Jakubowski, N.; Broekaert, J. A. *Spectrochim. Acta, Part B* **1997**, *52* (2), 189–200.
- (827) Carey, J. M.; Vela, N. P.; Caruso, J. A. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1994**, *662* (2), 329–340.
- (828) Feldmann, J. *J. Environ. Monit.* **1999**, *1* (1), 33–37.
- (829) Mota, J. P. V.; Encinar, J. R.; de la Campa, M. R. F.; Alonso, J. I. G.; Sanz-Medel, A. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1999**, *14* (9), 1467–1473.
- (830) Park, C. J.; Yim, S. A. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1999**, *14* (7), 1061–1065.
- (831) Wu, J. F.; Boyle, E. A. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **1998**, *367* (1–3), 183–191.
- (832) Marechal, C. N.; Telouk, P.; Albarede, F. *Chem. Geol.* **1999**, *156* (1–4), 251–273.
- (833) De Smaele, T.; Moens, L.; Sandra, P.; Dams, R. *Mikrochim. Acta* **1999**, *130* (4), 241–251.
- (834) Kim, A.; Hill, S.; Ebdon, L.; Rowland, S. *J. High Resolut. Chromatogr.* **1992**, *15* (10), 665–668.
- (835) Prange, A.; Jantzen, E. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1995**, *10* (2), 105–109.
- (836) Evans, E. H.; Pretorius, W.; Ebdon, L.; Rowland, S. *Anal. Chem.* **1994**, *66* (20), 3400–3407.
- (837) Barshick, C. M.; Barshick, S. A.; Mohill, M. L.; Britt, P. F.; Smith, D. H. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **1996**, *10* (3), 341–346.
- (838) White, S.; Catterick, T.; Fairman, B.; Webb, K. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1998**, *794* (1–2), 211–218.
- (839) Jones, T. L.; Betowski, L. D. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **1993**, *7* (11), 1003–1008.
- (840) Dauchy, X.; Cottier, R.; Batel, A.; Jeannot, R.; Borsier, M.; Astruc, A.; Astruc, M. *J. Chromatogr. Sci.* **1993**, *31* (10), 416–421.
- (841) Jones-Lepp, T. L.; Varner, K. E.; McDaniel, M.; Riddick, L. *Appl. Organomet. Chem.* **1999**, *13* (12), 881–889.
- (842) Yang, H. J.; Jiang, S. J.; Yang, Y. J.; Hwang, C. J. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **1995**, *312* (2), 141–148.
- (843) Chao, W.-S.; Jiang, S.-J. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1998**, *13* (12), 1337–1341.
- (844) Plzak, Z.; Polanska, M.; Suchanek, M. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1995**, *699* (1–2), 241–252.
- (845) Mizuishi, K.; Takeuchi, M.; Hobo, T. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1998**, *800* (2), 267–273.
- (846) Stab, J. A.; Cofino, W. P.; Vanhattum, B.; Brinkman, U. A. *Fresenius' J. Anal. Chem.* **1993**, *347* (6–7), 247–255.
- (847) Suzuki, T.; Kondo, K.; Uchiyama, M.; Murayama, M. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **1999**, *47* (9), 3886–3894.
- (848) Brede, C.; Pedersen-Bjerggaard, S.; Lundanes, E.; Greibrokk, T. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1999**, *849* (2), 553–562.
- (849) Fairman, B.; Catterick, T.; Wheals, B.; Polinina, E. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1997**, *758* (1), 85–92.
- (850) Tao, H.; Rajendran, R. B.; Quetel, C. R.; Nakazato, T.; Tominaga, M.; Miyazaki, A. *Anal. Chem.* **1999**, *71* (19), 4208–4215.
- (851) Ritsema, R.; de Smaele, T.; Moens, L.; de Jong, A. S.; Donard, O. F. X. *Environ. Pollut.* **1998**, *99* (2), 271–277.
- (852) Yoshinaga, J.; Nakama, A.; Takata, K. *Analyst* **1999**, *124* (3), 257–261.
- (853) Wan, C. C.; Chen, C. S.; Jiang, S. J. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1997**, *12* (7), 683–687.
- (854) Hintelmann, H.; Evans, R. D.; Villeneuve, J. Y. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1995**, *10* (9), 619–624.
- (855) Szpunar, J.; Lobinski, R.; Wasik, A.; Pereiro, I. R.; Dietz, C. *Anal. Commun.* **1998**, *35* (10), 331–335.
- (856) Brown, R.; Gray, D. J.; Tye, D. *Water Air Soil Pollut.* **1995**, *80* (1–4), 1237–1245.
- (857) Debrah, E.; Denoyer, E. R. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1996**, *11* (2), 127–132.
- (858) Walker, G. S.; Ridd, M. J.; Brunskill, G. J. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **1996**, *10* (1), 96–99.
- (859) Allibone, J.; Fatemian, E.; Walker, P. J. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1999**, *14* (2), 235–239.
- (860) Smith, R. G. *Anal. Chem.* **1993**, *65*, 5 (18), 2485–2488.
- (861) Armstrong, H. L.; Corns, W. T.; Stockwell, P. B.; O'Connor, G.; Ebdon, L.; Evans, E. H. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **1999**, *390* (1–3), 245–253.
- (862) Colman, J. A.; Breault, R. F. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* **2000**, *57* (5), 1073–1079.
- (863) Fernandez-Perez, V.; Garcia-Ayuso, L. E.; deCastro, M. D. L. *Analyst* **2000**, *125* (2), 317–322.
- (864) Morrison, K. A.; Watras, C. J. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* **1999**, *56* (5), 760–766.
- (865) Shafawi, A.; Ebdon, L.; Foulkes, M.; Stockwell, P.; Corns, W. *Analyst* **1999**, *124* (2), 185–189.
- (866) Brown, A. A.; Ebdon, L.; Hill, S. J. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **1994**, *286* (3), 391–399.
- (867) Alrashdan, A.; Vela, N. P.; Caruso, J. A.; Heitkemper, D. T. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1992**, *7* (3), 551–555.
- (868) Mester, Z.; Pawliszyn, J. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **1999**, *13* (20), 1999–2003.
- (869) Kim, A. W.; Foulkes, M. E.; Ebdon, L.; Hill, S. J.; Patience, R. L.; Barwise, A. G.; Rowland, S. J. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1992**, *7* (7), 1147–1149.
- (870) Poitrasson, F.; Dundas, S. H. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1999**, *14* (10), 1573–1577.
- (871) Isobe, H.; Hidaka, H.; Ohnuki, T. *Mineral. Mag.* **1998**, *62A* (Pt. 2), 689–690.
- (872) Chatterjee, A.; Banerjee, R. N. *Sci. Total Environ.* **1999**, *227* (2), 175–185.
- (873) Weiss, D.; Shoty, W.; Schaefer, H.; Loyall, U.; Grollmund, E.; Gloor, M. *Fresenius' J. Anal. Chem.* **1999**, *363* (3), 300–305.
- (874) Oguri, K.; Shimoda, G.; Tatsumi, Y. *Chem. Geol.* **1999**, *157* (3–4), 189–197.
- (875) Woodland, S. J.; Pearson, D. G. *Spec. Publ.-R. Soc. Chem.* **1999**, *241* (Plasma Source Mass Spectrometry), 267–276.
- (876) Plessen, H.-G.; Erzinger, J. *Geostand. Newsl.* **1998**, *22* (2), 187–194.
- (877) Ely, J. C.; Neal, C. R.; O'Neill, J. A., Jr.; Jain, J. C. *Chem. Geol.* **1999**, *157* (3–4), 219–234.
- (878) Schramel, P.; Wendler, I.; Lustig, S. *Fresenius' J. Anal. Chem.* **1995**, *353* (2), 115–118.
- (879) Michalke, B.; Schramel, P. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1999**, *834* (1–2), 341–348.
- (880) Hou, H.-B.; Narasaki, H. *At. Spectrosc.* **1999**, *20* (1), 20–24.
- (881) Anderson, K. A.; Isaacs, B. *J. AOAC Int.* **1994**, *77* (6), 1562–1568.
- (882) Hou, H.-B.; Narasaki, H. *Anal. Sci.* **1999**, *15*, 5 (9), 911–914.
- (883) Braverman, D. S. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1992**, *7* (1), 43–46.
- (884) Yan, X.-P.; Kerrich, R.; Hendry, M. J. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1999**, *14* (2), 215–221.
- (885) Wen, B.; Shan, X.-Q.; Xu, S.-G. *Analyst* **1999**, *124* (4), 621–626.
- (886) Robinson, P.; Townsend, A. T.; Yu, Z.; Munker, C. *Geostand. Newsl.* **1999**, *23* (1), 31–46.
- (887) Griselin, M.; Pearson, D. G.; Ottley, C.; Davies, G. R. *Spec. Publ.-R. Soc. Chem.* **1999**, *241* (Plasma Source Mass Spectrometry), 246–252.
- (888) Haraguchi, H.; Itoh, A.; Kimata, C.; Miwa, H. *Analyst* **1998**, *123* (5), 773–778.
- (889) Deboer, J. L.; Verweij, W.; Vanderveldekoerts, T.; Mennes, W. *Water Res.* **1996**, *30* (1), 190–198.
- (890) Prohaska, T.; Hann, S.; Latkoczy, C.; Stingeder, G. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1999**, *14* (1), 1–8.
- (891) Becker, J. S.; Dietze, H.-J.; McLean, J. A.; Montaser, A. *Anal. Chem.* **1999**, *71* (15), 3077–3084.
- (892) Becker, J. S.; Soman, R. S.; Sutton, K. L.; Caruso, J. A.; Dietze, H.-J. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1999**, *14* (6), 933–937.
- (893) Becker, J. S.; Dietze, H.-J. *Adv. Mass Spectrom.* [computer optical disk] **1998**, *14*, Chapter 31/687–Chapter 31/695.
- (894) Becker, J. S.; Dietze, H.-J. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1999**, *14* (9), 1493–1500.
- (895) Bienvenu, P. G.; Brochard, E. A.; Excoffier, E. A. *ASTM Spec. Technol. Publ.* **1998**, STP 1344 (Applications of Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry to Radionuclide Determinations: Second Volume), 51–63.
- (896) Smith, L. L.; Crain, J. S.; Yaeger, J. S.; Horwitz, E. P.; Diamond, H.; Chiarizia, R. *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.* **1995**, *194* (1), 151–156.
- (897) Hodge, V. F.; Laing, G. A. *Radiochim. Acta* **1994**, *64* (3–4), 211–215.
- (898) Kim, Y.-J.; Kim, C.-K.; Kim, C.-S.; Yun, J.-Y.; Rho, B.-H. *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.* **1999**, *240* (2), 613–618.
- (899) Park, C. J.; Oh, P. J.; Kim, H. Y.; Lee, D. S. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1999**, *14* (2), 223–227.
- (900) Becker, J. S.; Dietze, H.-J. *Fresenius' J. Anal. Chem.* **1999**, *364* (5), 482–488.
- (901) Truscott, J. B.; Bromley, L.; Jones, P.; Evans, E. H.; Turner, J.; Fairman, B. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1999**, *14* (4), 627–631.
- (902) Hinrichs, J.; Schnetger, B. *Analyst* **1999**, *124* (6), 927–932.
- (903) Evans, E. H.; Truscott, J. B.; Bromley, L.; Jones, P.; Turner, J.; Fairman, B. E. *ASTM Spec. Technol. Publ.* **1998**, STP 1344 (Applications of Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry to Radionuclide Determinations: Second Volume), 79–88.
- (904) Ketterer, M. E.; Khoury, C. J. *ASTM Spec. Technol. Publ.* **1998**, STP 1344 (Applications of Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry to Radionuclide Determinations: Second Volume), 120–136.
- (905) Taylor, R. N.; Croudace, I. W.; Warwick, P. E.; Dee, S. J. *Chem. Geol.* **1998**, *144* (1–2), 73–80.
- (906) Kerl, W.; Becker, J. S.; Dietze, H.-J.; Dannecker, W. *Adv. Mass Spectrom.* [computer optical disk] **1998**, *14*, F027550/1–F027550/12.

- (907) Bowen, I.; Walder, A. J.; Hodgson, T.; Parrish, R. R. *ASTM Spec. Technol. Publ.* **1998**, STP 1344 (Applications of Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry to Radionuclide Determinations: Second Volume), 22–31.
- (908) Bou-Rabee, F. *Appl. Radiat. Isotopes* **1995**, *46* (4), 217–220.
- (909) Muramatsu, T.; Uchida, S.; Tagami, K.; Yoshida, S.; Fujikawa, T. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1999**, *14* (5), 859–865.
- (910) Sturup, S.; Dahlgard, H.; Chen Nielsen, S. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1998**, *13* (12), 1321–1326.
- (911) Rodushkin, I.; Lindahl, P.; Holm, E.; Roos, P. *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A* **1999**, *423* (2–3), 472–479.
- (912) Jerome, S. M.; Smith, D.; Woods, M. J.; Woods, S. A. *Appl. Radiat. Isotopes* **1995**, *46* (11), 1145–1150.
- (913) Kershaw, P. J.; Sampson, K. E.; McCarthy, W.; Scott, R. D. *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.* **1995**, *198* (1), 113–124.
- (914) Eroglu, A. E.; McLeod, C. W.; Leonard, K. S.; McCubbin, D. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1998**, *13* (9), 875–878.
- (915) Yamamoto, M.; Syarbain, I.; Kofuji, K.; Tsumura, A.; Komura, K.; Ueno, K.; Assinder, D. J. *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.* **1995**, *197* (1), 185–194.
- (916) Beals, D. M. *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.* **1996**, *204* (2), 253–263.
- (917) Hepiegne, P.; Dall'ava, D.; Clement, R.; Degros, J. P. *Talanta* **1995**, *42* (6), 803–809.
- (918) Moran, S. B.; Hoff, J. A.; Buesseler, K. O.; Edwards, R. L. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **1995**, *22* (19), 2589–2592.
- (919) Guo, L. D.; Santschi, P. H.; Baskaran, M.; Zindler, A. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **1995**, *133* (1–2), 117–128.
- (920) Tamborini, G.; Betti, M.; Carbol, P.; Koch, L. *Spec. Publ.–R. Soc. Chem.* **1999**, *234* (Environmental Radiochemical Analysis), 382–393.
- (921) Makishima, A.; Nakamura, E.; Nakano, T. *Geostand. NewsL.* **1999**, *23* (1), 7–20.
- (922) McKelvey, B. A.; Orians, K. J. *Mar. Chem.* **1998**, *60* (3–4), 245–255.
- (923) Anbar, A. D.; Papanastassiou, D. A.; Wasserburg, G. J. *Anal. Chem.* **1997**, *69* (13), 2444–2450.
- (924) Klinkenberg, H.; van der Wal, S.; de Koster, C.; Bart, J. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1998**, *794* (1–2), 219–232.
- (925) Saraf, A.; Larsson, L. *Adv. Mass Spectrom.* [computer optical disk] **1998**, *14*, Chapter 18/449–Chapter 18/459.
- (926) Larsson, L.; Saraf, A. *Mol. Biol.* **1997**, *7* (3), 279–287.
- (927) Fenselau, C. *ACS Symp. Ser.* **1994**, *541*, 1–7.
- (928) Cain, T. C.; Lubman, D. M.; Weber, W. J., Jr. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **1994**, *8*, 1026–1030.
- (929) Larsson, L. *APMIS* **1994**, *102* (3), 161–169.
- (930) Siuzdak, G.; Bothner, B.; Yeager, M.; Brigidou, C.; Fauquet, C. M.; Hoey, K.; Chang, C. M. *Chem. Biol.* **1996**, *3* (1), 45–48.
- (931) Siuzdak, G. *J. Mass Spectrom.* **1998**, *33* (3), 203–211.
- (932) Holland, R. D.; Wilkes, J. G.; Raffi, F.; Sutherland, J. B.; Persons, C. C.; Voorhees, K. J.; Lay, J. O. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **1996**, *10* (10), 1227–1232.
- (933) Claydon, M. A.; Davey, S. N.; Edwards-Jones, V.; Gordon, D. B. *Nature Biotechnol.* **1996**, *14* (11), 1584–1586.
- (934) Krishnamurthy, T.; Ross, P. L.; Rajamani, U. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **1996**, *10*, 883–888.
- (935) Krishnamurthy, T.; Ross, P. L. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **1996**, *10*, 1992–1996.
- (936) Krishnamurthy, T.; Richardson, S. D.; Kryak, D. D.; Ware, M.; Schaefer, F. W., III MALDI-MS Analysis of Pathogens (Cryptosporidium and Giardia) in Drinking Water Resources. Proc. 47th ASMS Conf. 1999.
- (937) Wellham, K. J.; Domin, M. A.; Scannell, D. E.; Cohen, E.; Ashton, D. S. *Adv. Mass Spectrom.* **1998**, *14*, C087580/1–C087580/18.
- (938) Demirev, P. A.; Ho, Y.-P.; Ryzhov, V.; Fenselau, C. *Anal. Chem.* **1999**, *71* (14), 2732–2738.
- (939) Saenz, A. J.; Petersen, C. E.; Valentine, N. B.; Gantt, S. L.; Jarman, K. H.; Kingsley, M. T.; Wahl, K. L. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **1999**, *13* (15), 1580–1585.
- (940) Lynn, E. C.; Chung, M.-C.; Tsai, W.-C.; Han, C.-C. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **1999**, *13* (20), 2022–2027.
- (941) Holland, R. D.; Duffy, C. R.; Raffi, F.; Sutherland, J. B.; Heinze, T. M.; Holder, C. L.; Voorhees, K. J.; Jackson, O. L., Jr. *Anal. Chem.* **1999**, *71* (15), 3226–3230.
- (942) Winkler, M. A.; Uher, J.; Cepa, S. *Anal. Chem.* **1999**, *71* (16), 3416–3419.
- (943) Leenders, F.; Stein, T. H.; Kablitz, B.; Franke, P.; Vater, J. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **1999**, *13* (10), 943–949.
- (944) Bundy, J.; Fenselau, C. *Anal. Chem.* **1999**, *71* (7), 1460–1463.
- (945) Goodacre, R.; Heald, J. K.; Kell, D. B. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* **1999**, *176* (1), 17–24.
- (946) Krishnamurthy, T.; Davis, M. T.; Stahl, D. C.; Lee, T. D. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **1999**, *13* (1), 39–49.
- (947) Birmingham, J.; Demirev, P.; Ho, Y.-P.; Thomas, J.; Bryden, W.; Fenselau, C. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **1999**, *13* (7), 604–606.
- (948) Hendrick, A. D.; Abbas-Hawks, C.; Basile, F.; Voorhees, K. J.; Hadfield, T. L. *Int. J. Mass Spectrom.* **1999**, *190/191*, 331–342.
- (949) Barshick, S. A.; Wolf, D. A.; Vass, A. A. *Anal. Chem.* **1999**, *71* (3), 633–641.
- (950) Goodacre, R.; Shann, B.; Gilbert, R. J.; Timmins, E. M.; McGovern, A. C.; Alsborg, B. K.; Kell, D. B.; Logan, N. A. *Anal. Chem.* **2000**, *72*, 119–127.
- (951) Fox, A. J. *Chromatogr., A* **1999**, *843* (1–2), 287–300.
- (952) Steinberg, P.; Fox, A. *Anal. Chem.* **1999**, *71* (9), 1913–1917.
- (953) Saraf, A.; Park, J.-H.; Milton, D. K.; Larsson, L. *J. Environ. Monit.* **1999**, *1* (2), 163–168.
- (954) Stevenson, F. J. *Humus Chemistry*; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1994.
- (955) Leinweber, P.; Schulten, H.-R. *J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis* **1999**, *49* (1–2), 359–383.
- (956) Schulten, H.-R.; Leinweber, P.; Schnitzer, M. *IUPAC Ser. Anal. Phys. Chem. Environ. Syst.* **1998**, *4* (Structure and Surface Reactions of Soil Particles), 281–324.
- (957) Christy, A. A.; Bruchet, A.; Rybacki, D. *Environ. Int.* **1999**, *25* (2/3), 181–189.
- (958) Schnitzer, M. *Biogeochem. Trace Met.* **1997**, 305–326.
- (959) Harrington, G. W.; Bruchet, A.; Rybacki, D.; Singer, P. C. *ACS Symp. Ser.* **1996**, *649*, 138–158.
- (960) Schmitt-Kopplin, P.; Hertkorn, N.; Schulten, H. R.; Kettrup, A. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1998**, *32* (17), 2531–2541.
- (961) Schulten, H.-R.; Gleixner, G. *Water Res.* **1999**, *33* (11), 2489–2498.
- (962) Peuravuori, J.; Paaso, N.; Pihlaja, K. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **1999**, *391* (3), 331–344.
- (963) Krüge, M. A.; Mukhopadhyay, P. K.; Lewis, C. F. M. *Org. Geochem.* **1998**, *29* (5–7), 1797–1812.
- (964) White, D.; Beyer, L. *J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis* **1999**, *50* (1), 63–76.
- (965) Brown, T. L.; Novotny, F. J.; Rice, J. A. *Spec. Publ.–R. Soc. Chem.* **1998**, *228* (Humic Substances), 91–107.
- (966) Novotny, F. J.; Eischen, J. M.; Rice, J. A. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **1999**, *392* (2–3), 319–332.
- (967) Solouki, T.; Freitas, M. A.; Alomary, A. *Anal. Chem.* **1999**, *71* (20), 4719–4726.
- (968) Brown, T. L.; Rice, J. A. *Anal. Chem.* **2000**, *72*, 384–390.
- (969) Evtuguin, D. V.; Domingues, P.; Amado, F. L.; Pascoal Neto, C.; Ferrer Correia, A. *J. Holzforschung* **1999**, *53* (5), 525–528.
- (970) Camarero, S.; Bocchini, P.; Galletti, G. C.; Martinez, A. T. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **1999**, *13* (7), 630–636.
- (971) Boutton, T. W.; Archer, S. R.; Midwood, A. J. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **1999**, *13* (13), 1263–1277.
- (972) Gleixner, G.; Bol, R.; Balesdent, J. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **1999**, *13* (13), 1278–1283.
- (973) McTiernan, K. B.; Jarvis, S. C.; Allen, D.; Bol, R.; Scholefield, D. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **1999**, *13* (18), 1803–1807.
- (974) Schweizer, M.; Fear, J.; Cadisch, F. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **1999**, *13* (13), 1284–1290.
- (975) Siebert, S.; Knicker, H.; Hatcher, M. A.; Leiffield, J.; Kogel-Knabner, I. *ACS Symp. Ser.* **1998**, *707* (Nitrogen-Containing Macromolecules in the Bio- and Geosphere), 293–308.
- (976) Boon, J. J.; Klap, V. A.; Eglinton, T. I. *Org. Geochem.* **1998**, *29* (5–7), 1051–1061.
- (977) Vilge-Ritter, A.; Masion, A.; Boulange, T.; Rybacki, D.; Bottero, J.-Y. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1999**, *33*, 3 (17), 3027–3032.
- (978) Farmer, P. B.; Sweetman, G. M. *J. Mass Spectrom.* **1995**, *30* (10), 1369–1379.
- (979) Qu, S. X.; Bai, C. L.; Stacey, N. H. *Biomarkers* **1997**, *2* (1), 3–16.
- (980) Chiarelli, M. P.; Lay, J. O. *Mass Spectrom. Rev.* **1992**, *11* (6), 447–493.
- (981) Hemminki, K. *J. Occup. Environ. Medicine* **1995**, *37* (1), 44–51.
- (982) Apruzzese, W. A.; Vouros, P. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1998**, *794* (1–2), 97–108.
- (983) McClure, G. Y.; Freeman, J. P.; Lay, J. O.; Hinson, J. A. *Biomarkers* **1996**, *1* (2), 136–140.
- (984) Herce-Pagliai, C.; Kotecha, S.; Shuker, D. E. G. *Nitric Oxide* **1998**, *2* (5), 324–336.
- (985) Ding, J. M.; Barlow, T.; Dipple, A.; Vouros, P. *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.* **1998**, *9* (8), 823–829.
- (986) Schrader, W.; Linscheid, M. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1995**, *717* (1–2), 117–125.
- (987) Watt, K. C.; Morin, D. M.; Kurth, M. J.; Mercer, R. S.; Plopper, C. G.; Buckpitt, A. R. *Chem. Res. Toxicol.* **1999**, *12*, 2 (9), 831–839.
- (988) Sannolo, N.; Mamone, G.; Ferranti, P.; Basile, A.; Malorni, A. *J. Mass Spectrom.* **1999**, *34* (10), 1028–1032.
- (989) Bryant, M. S.; Lay, J. O.; Chiarelli, M. P. *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.* **1992**, *3* (4), 360–371.
- (990) Lay, J. O.; Chiarelli, M. P.; Bryant, M. S.; Nelson, R. W. *Environ. Health Perspec.* **1993**, *99*, 191–193.
- (991) Nelson, R. W.; Krone, J. R.; Bieher, A. L.; Williams, P. *Anal. Chem.* **1995**, *67* (7), 1153–1158.
- (992) Pahler, A.; Volkel, W.; Dekant, W. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1999**, *847* (1–2), 25–34.
- (993) Melikian, A. A.; Sun, P.; Prokopczyk, B.; El-Bayoumy, K.; Hoffmann, D.; Wang, X.; Waggoner, S. *Cancer Lett. (Shannon, Irel.)* **1999**, *146* (2), 127–134.

- (994) Perez, H. L.; Cheong, H.-K.; Yang, J. S.; Osterman-Golkar, S. *Anal. Biochem.* **1999**, *274* (1), 59–68.
- (995) Lambert, G. R.; Padgett, W. T.; George, M. H.; Kitchin, K. T.; Nesnow, S. *Anal. Biochem.* **1999**, *268* (2), 289–296.
- (996) Volkel, W.; Pahlner, A.; Dekant, W. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1999**, *847* (1–2), 35–46.
- (997) Lash, L. H.; Putt, D. A.; Brashear, W. T.; Abbas, R.; Parker, J. C.; Fisher, J. W. *J. Toxicol. Environ. Health, Part A* **1999**, *56* (1), 1–21.
- (998) Lin, D. X.; Lay, J. O.; Bryant, M. S.; Malaveille, C.; Friesen, M.; Bartsch, H.; Lang, N. P.; Kadlubar, F. F. *Environ. Health Perspec.* **1994**, *102* (Suppl. 6), 11–16.
- (999) MacLeod, M. C.; Evans, F. E.; Lay, J.; Chiarelli, P.; Geacintov, N. E.; Powell, K. L.; Daylong, A.; Luna, E.; Harvey, R. G. *Biochem.* **1994**, *33* (10), 2977–2987.
- (1000) Yang, Y.; Griffiths, W. J.; Midtvedt, T.; Sjoevall, J.; Raftar, J.; Gustafsson, J.-A. *Chem. Res. Toxicol.* **1999**, *12* (12), 1182–1189.
- (1001) Melikian, A. A.; Meng, M.; O'Connor, R.; Hu, P.; Thompson, S. M. *Res. Rep.–Health Eff. Inst.* **1999**, *87*, A, 1–43, Health Effects Institute.
- (1002) Andreoli, R.; Manini, P.; Bergamaschi, E.; Mutti, A.; Franchini, I.; Niessen, W. M. A. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1999**, *847* (1–2), 9–17.
- (1003) Hardt, J.; Angerer, J. *J. Chromatogr., B: Biomed. Sci. Appl.* **1999**, *730* (2), 229–238.
- (1004) van Bekkum, Y. M.; van den Broek, P. H. H.; Scheepers, P. T. J.; Bos, R. P. *Chem. Res. Toxicol.* **1998**, *11* (11), 1382–1390.
- (1005) Truchon, G.; Begin, D.; Lesage, J.; Goldberg, M.; Talbot, D.; Drolet, D.; Gerin, M. *J. Occup. Health* **1998**, *40* (4), 350–355.
- (1006) Letcher, R. J.; Norstrom, R. J.; Bergman, A. *Anal. Chem.* **1995**, *67* (22), 4155–4163.
- (1007) Yan, Z.; Henderson, G. N.; James, M. O.; Stacpoole, P. W. *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.* **1999**, *19* (3–4), 309–318.
- (1008) Schecter, A. *Environ. Health Perspec.* **1998**, *106* (Suppl. 2), 737–742.
- (1009) Mocarelli, P.; Gerthoux, P. M.; Brambilla, P.; Marocchi, A.; Beretta, C.; Bertona, M.; Cazzaniga, M.; Colombo, L.; Crespi, C.; Ferrari, E.; Limonta, G.; Sarto, C.; Signorini, S.; Tramacere, P. L. *Chem., Man Environ., Proc. Meet., Ballarin-Denti, A., Ed.; Elsevier Science: Amsterdam, 1999; pp 41–51.*
- (1010) Gross, M. L.; Lay, J. O., Jr.; Lyon, P. A.; Lippstreu, D.; Kangas, N.; Harless, R. L.; Taylor, S. E.; Dupuy, S. E., Jr. *Environ. Res.* **1984**, *33*, 261–268.
- (1011) Schecter, A. J.; Ryan, J. J.; Constable, J. D. *Chemosphere* **1987**, *16* (8–9), 2003–2016.
- (1012) Schecter, A. J.; Ryan, J. J.; Masuda, Y.; Brandt-Rauf, P. W.; Constable, J. D.; Cau, H. D.; Dai, L. C.; Quynh, H. T.; Phuong, N. T. N.; Phiet, P. H. *Environ. Health Perspec.* **1994**, *102* (1), 135–147.
- (1013) Wolfe, W. H.; Michalek, J. E.; Miner, J. C.; Pirkle, J. L.; Caudill, S. P.; Patterson, D. G.; Needham, L. L. *J. Toxicol. Environ. Health* **1994**, *41* (4), 481–488.
- (1014) Wolfe, W. H.; Michalek, J. E.; Miner, J. C.; Rahe, A. J.; Moore, C. A.; Needham, L. L.; Patterson, D. G. *Epidemiol.* **1995**, *6* (1), 17–22.
- (1015) Michalek, J. E.; Pirkle, J. L.; Caudill, S. P.; Tripathi, R. C.; Patterson, D. G.; Needham, L. L. *J. Toxicol. Environ. Health* **1996**, *47* (3), 209–220.
- (1016) Rosenberg, C.; Kotsas, H.; Tornaeus, J.; Mutanen, P.; Jappinen, P.; Vainio, H.; Patterson, D. G.; Needham, L. L. *Chemosphere* **1995**, *31* (8), 3933–3944.
- (1017) Clenchaa, J.; Bartonova, A.; Oehme, M.; Lindstrom, G. *J. Toxicol. Environ. Health* **1992**, *37* (1), 73–83.
- (1018) Anderson, H. A.; Falk, C.; Hanrahan, L.; Olson, J.; Burse, V. W.; Needham, L.; Paschal, D.; Patterson, D.; Hill, R. H. *Environ. Health Perspec.* **1998**, *106* (5), 279–289.
- (1019) Oehme, M.; Biseth, A.; Schlabach, M.; Wiig, O. *Environ. Pollut.* **1995**, *90* (3), 401–407.
- (1020) Oehme, M.; Schlabach, M.; Hummert, K.; Luckas, B.; Nordoy, E. S. *Sci. Total Environ.* **1995**, *162* (2–3), 75–91.
- (1021) Tuinstra, L. G.; Traag, W. A.; Van Rhijn, J. A.; Van der Spreng, P. F. *Chemosphere* **1994**, *29* (9–11), 1859–1875.
- (1022) Kannan, K.; Kubit, J. A.; Williams, L. L.; Zabik, M. J. *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* **1999**, *36* (4), 432–446.
- (1023) Boggess, K.; Paper, J.; Wagner, J.; Smith, R. E.; Overstreet, D. *Organohalogen Compd.* **1998**, *35* (Analysis, Chlorinated Bornanes, Chiral Contaminants, Polymer Additives and Monomers), 89–92.
- (1024) Plebani, C.; Tranfo, G.; Salerno, A.; Panebianco, A.; Marcelloni, A. M. *Talanta* **1999**, *50* (2), 409–412.
- (1025) Riedel, K.; Ruppert, T.; Conze, C.; Scherer, G.; Adlkofer, F. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1996**, *719* (2), 383–389.
- (1026) Ljungkvist, G.; Larstad, M.; Mathiasson, L. *J. Chromatogr., B: Biomed. Sci. Appl.* **1999**, *721* (1), 39–46.
- (1027) Fustinoni, S.; Giampiccolo, R.; Pulvirenti, S.; Buratti, M.; Colombi, A. *J. Chromatogr., B: Biomed. Sci. Appl.* **1999**, *723* (1–2), 105–115.
- (1028) Andreoli, R.; Manini, P.; Bergamaschi, E.; Brustolin, A.; Mutti, A. *Chromatographia* **1999**, *50* (3/4), 167–172.
- (1029) DeBruin, L. S.; Pawliszyn, J. B.; Josephy, P. D. *Chem. Res. Toxicol.* **1999**, *12* (1), 78–82.
- (1030) Kotiaho, T.; Wood, J. M.; Wick, P. L.; Dejarne, L. E.; Ranasinghe, A.; Cooks, R. G.; Ringhand, H. P. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1992**, *26* (2), 302–306.
- (1031) Ameno, K.; Kinoshita, H.; Oomuro, A.; Takabatake, N.; Ameno, S.; Tanaka, N.; Kubota, T.; Zhang, X.; Kumihashi, M.; Ijiri, I. *Jpn. J. Forensic Toxicol.* **1998**, *16* (2), 144–145.
- (1032) Thompson, T. S.; Treble, R. G.; Magliocco, A.; Roettger, J. R.; Eichhorst, J. C. *Forensic Sci. Int.* **1998**, *95* (2), 89–98.
- (1033) Pauwels, A.; Wells, D. A.; Covaci, A.; Schepens, P. J. C. *Organohalogen Compd.* **1998**, *35* (Analysis, Chlorinated Bornanes, Chiral Contaminants, Polymer Additives and Monomers), 167–170.
- (1034) Pauwels, A.; Schepens, P. J. C. *Adv. Mass Spectrom.* **1998**, *14*, C062330/1-C062330/16.
- (1035) Williams, D. T.; Kennedy, B.; Lebel, G. L. *Chemosphere* **1993**, *27* (5), 795–806.
- (1036) Pauwels, A.; Schepens, P. J. C. *Int. J. Environ. Anal. Chem.* **1998**, *71* (2), 105–118.
- (1037) Liu, J.; Hara, K.; Kashimura, S.; Hamanaka, T.; Tomojiri, S.; Tanaka, K. *J. Chromatogr., B: Biomed. Sci. Appl.* **1999**, *731* (2), 217–221.
- (1038) Turrio-Baldassarri, L.; Bayarri, S.; Di Domenico, A.; Fulgenzi, A.; La Rocca, C.; Iacovella, N. *Organohalogen Compd.* **1998**, *35* (Analysis, Chlorinated Bornanes, Chiral Contaminants, Polymer Additives and Monomers), 187–190.
- (1039) Galceran, M. T.; Santos, F. J.; Caixach, J.; Ventura, F.; Rivera, J. *J. Chromatogr.* **1993**, *643* (1–2), 399–408.
- (1040) Buser, H. R.; Oehme, M.; Vetter, W.; Luckas, B. *Fresenius' J. Anal. Chem.* **1993**, *347* (12), 502–512.
- (1041) Vetter, W.; Luckas, B.; Oehme, M. *Chemosphere* **1992**, *25* (11), 1643–1652.
- (1042) Foreid, S.; Rundberget, T.; Severinsen, T.; Wiig, O.; Skaare, J. U. *Chemosphere* **2000**, *41* (4), 521–528.
- (1043) Loewen, M. D.; Stern, G. A.; Westmore, J. B.; Muir, D. C. G.; Parlar, H. *Chemosphere* **1998**, *36* (15), 3119–3135.
- (1044) Vetter, W.; Klobes, U.; Krock, B.; Luckas, B.; Glotz, D.; Scherer, G. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1997**, *31* (10), 3023–3028.
- (1045) Buser, H. R.; Muller, M. D. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1994**, *28* (1), 119–128.
- (1046) Glassmeyer, S. T.; DeVault, D. S.; Hites, R. A. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2000**, *34* (9), 1851–1855.
- (1047) Miskimmim, B. M.; Muir, D. C.; Schindler, D. W.; Stern, G. A.; Grift, N. P. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1995**, *29* (10), 2490–2495.
- (1048) Chan, H. M.; Yeboah, F. *Chemosphere* **2000**, *41* (4), 507–515.
- (1049) Witte, J.; Buthe, A.; Ternes, W. *Chemosphere* **2000**, *41* (4), 529–539.
- (1050) Serrano, R.; Lopez, F. J.; Hernandez, F. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1999**, *855* (2), 633–643.
- (1051) Bacon, C. E.; Jarman, W. M.; Costa, D. P. *Chemosphere* **1992**, *24* (6), 779–791.
- (1052) Strandberg, B.; Strandberg, L.; Bergqvist, P. A.; Falandysz, J.; Rappe, C. *Chemosphere* **1998**, *37* (9–12), 2513–2523.
- (1053) Reich, S.; Jimenez, B.; Marsili, L.; Hernandez, L. M.; Schurig, V.; Gonzalez, M. J. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1999**, *33*, 3 (11), 1787–1793.
- (1054) Pointet, K.; Milliet, A. *Chemosphere* **2000**, *40* (3), 293–299.
- (1055) Cai, Z. W.; Ramanujam, V. M.; Gross, M. L.; Cristini, A.; Tucker, R. K. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1994**, *28* (8), 1528–1534.
- (1056) Coelhan, M. *Anal. Chem.* **1999**, *71* (20), 4498–4505.
- (1057) Mu, H. L.; Sundin, P.; Wesen, C. *TrAC, Trends Anal. Chem.* **1997**, *16* (5), 274–286.
- (1058) Milley, J. E.; Boyd, R. K.; Curtis, J. M.; Musial, C.; Uthe, J. F. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1997**, *31* (2), 535–541.
- (1059) Sergeant, D. B.; Alae, M.; Luross, J.; Ikonou, M. G. *Organohalogen Compd.* **1998**, *35* (Analysis, Chlorinated Bornanes, Chiral Contaminants, Polymer Additives and Monomers), 379–382.
- (1060) Haglund, P. S.; Zook, D. R.; Buser, H. R.; Hu, J. W. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1997**, *31* (11), 3281–3287.
- (1061) Refsgaard, H. H. F.; Haahr, A. M.; Jensen, B. *J. Ag. Food Chem.* **1999**, *47* (3), 1114–1118.
- (1062) Wiberg, K.; Rappe, C.; Haglund, P. *Chemosphere* **1992**, *24* (10), 1431–1439.
- (1063) Tomy, G. T.; Stern, G. A. *Anal. Chem.* **1999**, *71* (21), 4860–4865.
- (1064) Buser, H. R.; Muller, M. D. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1993**, *27* (6), 1211–1220.
- (1065) Gehring, T. A.; Rushing, L. G.; Churchwell, M. I.; Doerge, D. R.; McErlane, K. M.; Thompson, H. C. *J. Ag. Food Chem.* **1996**, *44* (10), 3164–3169.
- (1066) Zhao, J. Y.; Thibault, P.; Tazawa, T.; Quilliam, M. A. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1997**, *781* (1–2), 555–564.
- (1067) Lacassie, E.; Dreyfuss, M.-F.; Daguat, J. L.; Vignaud, M.; Marquet, P.; Lachatre, G. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1999**, *830* (1), 135–143.
- (1068) Nagai, S.; Ito, S.; Tomioka, M.; Ishizuka, T. *Chromatography* **1998**, *19* (4), 290–291.

- (1069) Juhler, R. K.; Vahl, M. *J. AOAC Int.* **1999**, *82* (2), 331–336.
- (1070) DiCorcia, A.; Crescenzi, C.; Lagana, A. *J. Ag. Food Chem.* **1996**, *44* (7), 1930–1938.
- (1071) Yu, L.; Schoen, R.; Dunkin, A.; Firman, M.; Cushman, H. *J. Ag. Food Chem.* **1997**, *45* (3), 748–752.
- (1072) Lopez, M. L.; Riba, M. *J. Ag. Food Chem.* **1999**, *47* (8), 3228–3236.
- (1073) Yang, K.-W.; Eisert, R.; Lord, H.; Pawliszyn, J. *Applications of Solid Phase Microextraction*; Pawliszyn, J., Ed.; Royal Society of Chemistry: Cambridge, U.K.; **1999**, 435–447.
- (1074) Hut, R.; Hennion, B.; Urruty, L.; Monturyt, M. *Food Addit. Contam.* **1999**, *16* (3), 111–117.
- (1075) Ahmed, M. T.; Ismail, S. M. *J. Ag. Food Chem.* **1996**, *44* (11), 3694–3697.
- (1076) Steele, D. H.; Thornburg, M. J.; Stanley, J. S.; Miller, R. R.; Brooke, R.; Cushman, J. R.; Cruzan, G. *J. Ag. Food Chem.* **1994**, *42* (8), 1661–1665.
- (1077) Koske, G.; Leupold, G.; Angerhofer, D.; Parlar, H. *Chemosphere* **1999**, *39* (4), 683–688.
- (1078) Bellavia, V.; Natangelo, M.; Fanelli, R.; Rotilio, D. *J. Ag. Food Chem.* **2000**, *48* (4), 1239–1242.
- (1079) Wang, G. D.; Lee, A. S.; Lewis, M.; Kamath, B.; Archer, R. K. *J. Ag. Food Chem.* **1999**, *47* (3), 1062–1066.
- (1080) Mottier, P.; Parisod, V.; Turesky, R. *J. Ag. Food Chem.* **2000**, *48* (4), 1160–1166.
- (1081) Eitzer, B. D. *Chemosphere* **1995**, *30* (7), 1237–1248.
- (1082) Dejong, A. P.; Van der Heeft, E.; Marsman, J. A.; Liem, A. K. *Chemosphere* **1992**, *25* (7–10), 1551–1557.
- (1083) Hayward, D. G. *Chemosphere* **1997**, *34* (5–7), 929–939.
- (1084) Yoon, H. R.; Cho, S. Y.; Kim, J. M.; Yoon, Y. B.; Park, M. K.; Park, J. H. *Chromatographia* **1999**, *49* (9/10), 525–534.
- (1085) Ling, Y.-C.; Teng, H.-C.; Cartwright, C. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1999**, *835* (1–2), 145–157.
- (1086) Cook, J.; Enge, M.; Wylie, P.; Quimby, B. *J. AOAC Int.* **1999**, *82* (2), 313–326.
- (1087) Shafiei, H.; Shan, A.; Rose-Mansfield, R.; Davis, M.; Smith, R. E.; Overstreet, D. *Organohalogen Compd.* **1998**, *35* (Analysis, Chlorinated Bornanes, Chiral Contaminants, Polymer Additives and Monomers), 179–182.
- (1088) Ebdon, L.; Fisher, A.; Roberts, N. B.; Yaqoob, M. *Appl. Organomet. Chem.* **1999**, *13* (3), 183–187.
- (1089) Inoue, Y.; Date, Y.; Sakai, T.; Shimizu, N.; Yoshida, K.; Chen, H.; Kuroda, K.; Endo, G. *Appl. Organomet. Chem.* **1999**, *13* (2), 81–88.
- (1090) Schramel, O.; Michalke, B.; Kettrup, A. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1999**, *14* (9), 1339–1342.
- (1091) Mester, Z.; Horvath, G.; Vitanyi, G.; Lelik, L.; Fodor, P. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **1999**, *13* (5), 350–353.
- (1092) LeBouil, A.; Cailleux, A.; Turcant, A.; Allain, P. *J. Anal. Toxicol.* **1999**, *23* (4), 257–261.
- (1093) Chen, K.-L. B.; Amarasiwardena, C. J.; Christiani, D. C. *Biol. Trace Elem. Res.* **1999**, *67* (2), 109–125.
- (1094) Rodushkin, I.; Odman, F.; Branth, S. *Fresenius' J. Anal. Chem.* **1999**, *364* (4), 338–346.
- (1095) Harrington, C. F.; Fairman, B.; Ellis, L.; Roberts, D. J.; Case, P. *Spec. Publ.-R. Soc. Chem.* **1999**, *241* (Plasma Source Mass Spectrometry), 193–198.
- (1096) Dunemann, L.; Hajimiragha, H.; Begerow, J. *Fresenius' J. Anal. Chem.* **1999**, *363* (5–6), 466–468.
- (1097) Townsend, A. T.; Miller, K. A.; McLean, S.; Aldous, S. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1998**, *13* (11), 1213–1219.
- (1098) Hall, G. S.; Zhu, X.; Martin, E. G. *Anal. Commun.* **1999**, *36* (3), 93–95.
- (1099) Pons, B.; Carrera, A.; Nerin, C. *J. Chromatogr., B* **1998**, *716* (1–2), 139–145.
- (1100) Chaudhary-Webb, M.; Paschal, D. C.; Elliott, W. C.; Hopkins, H. P.; Ghazi, A. M.; Ting, B. C.; Romieu, I. *At. Spectrom.* **1998**, *19* (5), 156–163.
- (1101) Nixon, D. E.; Burritt, M. F.; Moyer, T. P. *Spectrochim. Acta, Part B* **1999**, *54B* (8), 1141–1153.
- (1102) Knight, R.; Haswell, S. J.; Lindow, S. W.; Batty, J. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1999**, *14* (2), 127–129.
- (1103) Pickford, C. J.; Haines, J.; Hearn, R.; McAughy, J. *ASTM Spec. Technol. Publ.* **1998**, STP 1344 (Applications of Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry to Radionuclide Determination: Second Volume), 111–119.
- (1104) Karpas, Z.; Lorber, A.; Halicz, L.; Gavrieli, I. *ASTM Spec. Technol. Publ.* **1998**, STP 1344 (Applications of Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry to Radionuclide Determination: Second Volume), 89–98.
- (1105) MacLellan, J. A.; Wyse, E. J.; Scott, L. P. *Radiat. Prot. Manage.* **1998**, *15* (3), 46–55.
- (1106) Lewis, L. A.; Schweitzer, G. K. *ASTM Spec. Technol. Publ.* **1998**, STP 1344 (Applications of Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry to Radionuclide Determination: Second Volume), 99–110.
- (1107) Sakao, S.; Uchida, T. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **1999**, *382* (1–2), 215–223.
- (1108) Raith, A.; Perkins, W. T.; Pearce, N. J.; Jeffries, T. E. *Fresenius' J. Anal. Chem.* **1996**, *355* (7–8), 789–792.
- (1109) Bettinelli, M.; Spezia, S.; Bizzarri, G. *At. Spectrosc.* **1996**, *17* (3), 133–141.
- (1110) Londesborough, S.; Mattusch, J.; Wennrich, R. *Fresenius' J. Anal. Chem.* **1999**, *363* (5–6), 577–581.
- (1111) Ackley, K. L.; B'Hymer, C.; Sutton, K. L.; Caruso, J. A. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1999**, *14* (5), 845–850.
- (1112) Branch, S.; Ebdon, L.; O'Neill, P. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1994**, *9* (1), 33–37.
- (1113) Dagnac, T.; Padro, A.; Rubio, R.; Rauret, G. *Talanta* **1999**, *48* (4), 763–772.
- (1114) Le, S. X.; Cullen, W. R.; Reimer, K. J. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1994**, *28* (9), 1598–1604.
- (1115) Vanhaecke, F.; Boonen, S.; Moens, L.; Dams, R. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1995**, *10* (2), 81–87.
- (1116) Wangkarn, W.; Pergantis, S. A. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1999**, *14* (4), 657–662.
- (1117) Fecher, P.; Ruhnke, G. *At. Spectrosc.* **1998**, *19* (6), 204–206.
- (1118) Bergdahl, I. A. *Analyst* **1999**, *124* (10), 1435–1438.
- (1119) Heydorn, K.; Alfassi, Z.; Damsgaard, E.; Rietz, B.; Solgaard, P. *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.* **1995**, *192* (2), 321–329.
- (1120) Buckley, W. T.; Ihnat, M. *Fresenius' J. Anal. Chem.* **1993**, *345* (2–4), 217–220.
- (1121) Lee, K. M.; Appleton, J.; Cooke, M.; Sawicka-Kapusta, K.; Damek, M. *Fresenius' J. Anal. Chem.* **1999**, *364* (3), 245–248.
- (1122) Bargagli, R.; Brown, D. H.; Nelli, L. *Environ. Pollut.* **1995**, *89* (2), 169–175.
- (1123) Slaets, S.; Adams, F.; Rodriguez Pereiro, I.; Lobinski, R. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **1999**, *14* (5), 851–857.
- (1124) Louise Armstrong, H. E.; Corns, W. T.; Stockwell, P. B.; O'Connor, G.; Ebdon, L.; Hywel Evans, E. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **1999**, *390* (1–3), 245–254.
- (1125) Baykut, G.; Franzen, J. *TrAC, Trends Anal. Chem.* **1994**, *13* (7), 267–275.
- (1126) Poppiti, J. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1994**, *28* (12), 536A–539A.
- (1127) Kotiaho, T. *J. Mass Spectrom.* **1996**, *31* (1), 1–15.
- (1128) Wise, M. B.; Thompson, C. V.; Merriweather, R.; Guerin, M. R. *Field Anal. Chem. Technol.* **1997**, *1* (5), 251–276.
- (1129) Eckenrode, B. A. *Field Anal. Chem. Technol.* **1998**, *2* (1), 3–20.
- (1130) Badman, E. R.; Cooks, R. G. *J. Mass Spectrom.* **2000**, *35*, 659–671.
- (1131) Chambers, D. M.; Grace, L. I.; Andresen, B. D. *Anal. Chem.* **1997**, *69* (18), 3780–3790.
- (1132) Cameron, D.; Hemberger, P. H.; Alarid, J. E.; Leibman, C. P.; Williams, J. D. *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.* **1993**, *4* (10), 774–781.
- (1133) Liu, S.; Chen, J.; Crowley, R.; Carley, R.; Clark, P.; Zack, P. *Field Anal. Methods Hazard. Wastes Toxic Chem., Proc. Spec. Conf. 710–718, Air & Waste Management Association: Pittsburgh, PA, 1997.*
- (1134) Schuetz, S. P.; Solinski, P. J.; Mickunas, D. B.; Humphrey, A. M.; Turpin, R. D. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **1995**, *43* (1–2), 67–75.
- (1135) Virkki, V. T.; Ketola, R. A.; Ojala, M.; Kotiaho, T.; Komppa, V.; Grove, A.; Facchetti, S. *Anal. Chem.* **1995**, *67* (8), 1421–1425.
- (1136) Reiner, T.; Mohler, O.; Arnold, F. *J. Geophys. Res., [Atmos.]* **1998**, *103* (D23), 31309–31320.
- (1137) McClennen, W. H.; Vaughn, C. L.; Cole, P. A.; Sheya, S. N.; Wager, D. J.; Mott, T. J.; Dworzanski, J. P.; Arnold, N. S.; Meuzelaar, H. L. *Field Anal. Chem. Technol.* **1996**, *1* (2), 109–116.
- (1138) McClennen, W. H.; Vaughn, C. L.; Cole, P. A.; Sheya, S. N.; Wager, D. J.; Mott, T. J.; Dworzanski, J. P.; Meuzelaar, H. L. C.; Arnold, N. S. *Field Analytical Methods for Hazardous Wastes and Toxic Chemicals*, Proceedings of a Specialty Conference, Las Vegas, NV, Jan. 29–31, 1997, Air and Waste Management Association: Pittsburgh, PA, 1997; pp 719–726.
- (1139) Matz, G.; Harder, A.; Schllings, A.; Schroder, W.; Rechenbach, P. *Field Analytical Methods for Hazardous Wastes and Toxic Chemicals*, Proceedings of a Specialty Conference, Las Vegas, NV, Jan. 29–31, 1997, Air and Waste Management Association: Pittsburgh, PA, 1997; pp 290–296.
- (1140) Gorshteyn, A. Y.; Kataenko, Z.; Smarason, S.; Robbat, A., Jr. *Instrum. Sci. Technol.* **1999**, *27* (2), 111–121.
- (1141) Almirall, J. R.; Wu, L.; Bi, G.; Shannon, M. W.; Furton, K. G. *Proc. SPIE - Int. Soc. Opt. Eng.* **1999**, *3576* (Investigation and Forensic Science Technologies), 18–23.
- (1142) Matz, G.; Schroder, W.; Kubler, J. *Field Anal. Methods Hazard. Wastes Toxic Chem., Proc. Spec. Conf.* **1997**, 283–289.
- (1143) Matz, G.; Kibelka, G.; Dahl, J.; Lenemann, F. *J. Chromatogr., A* **1999**, *830* (2), 365–376.
- (1144) Robbat, A.; Liu, T. Y.; Abraham, B. M. *Anal. Chem.* **1992**, *64* (13), 1477–1483.
- (1145) Matz, G.; Kesners, P. *Anal. Chem.* **1993**, *65* (17), 2366–2371.